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ABSTRACT

This study is a qualitative study of the Prescott Foursquare Church and its transformation from a small, broken denominational church to a disciple making and church planting fellowship of churches. The project sought to discover the key trigger events or processes that brought about church growth in the Prescott church and led to the growth of a fellowship of over twenty-two hundred churches in one hundred and sixteen countries. The result of interviews of pastors and review of historical data of the church and Christian Fellowship Ministries was the discovery of a discipleship culture in the local congregation in Prescott and the churches planted out of that ministry. Three primary elements of development of the Prescott ministry were evangelism for a crisis conversion of new souls, empowered discipleship, and focused church planting. The culture of discipleship involves the members of the congregation sincerely seeking the good of others. It means helping others to find their destiny and place in the kingdom of God. Transformation by the work of the Holy Spirit occurred when disciples were involved in real ministry. Disciples are made in the going. This was important to the Christian Fellowship Ministries worldwide growth. Discipleship is viewed as an impartation and not mere information. The most important crucible of discipleship discovered by the Christian Fellowship Ministries was the dignity God gives to the local church. Within the local church are the personnel, the resources and means of accomplishing the tasks God desires. The local congregation is able to transcend
smallness to reach out in world evangelism and church planting. When the local church is aligned to the will of God in evangelism for crisis conversion, empowered discipleship and focused church planting God provides the resources for accomplishing God’s his purposes.
CHAPTER ONE: THE PROBLEM AND SETTING

The Foursquare church on Ruth Street in Prescott, Arizona has undergone many changes in the last forty-five years. The small and mostly unnoticed beginnings have become a movement with impact on a world scale. Its story is an important example of what is possible in a small congregation and highlights some of the principles of transformation, discipleship and church planting.

Statement of the Problem

This project addresses how a small, rural, broken denominational church developed into one of discipleship and church planting in a worldwide context. A case study of the Prescott Foursquare Church was undertaken to (a) discover the biblical and theological themes associated with transformation, discipleship and church planting, (b) identify relevant literature of church transformation, discipleship and church planting, (c) research past and current sources from the Prescott Fellowship Church literature, training materials, key personnel and its pioneer works to identify important themes associated with transformation, discipleship and church planting, and (d) synthesize these discoveries of the Prescott church transformation, discipleship and church planting for use within the broader Christian community.

Delimitation of the Problem

This project will be limited to a case study of the Prescott Christian Fellowship Church in Prescott, Arizona. This church was originally the Prescott Foursquare Church
and part of the International Church of the Foursquare Gospel. It is focused on the internal factors that brought the church to a place of worldwide church planting.

**Assumptions**

The first assumption is God has established the church through the work and person of Jesus Christ.

The second assumption is the church takes on its primary character as a local assembly of believers in a concrete location for specific purposes. These purposes include worship, the preaching of the word of God, ministry for the edification and growth of believers and a multiplication of churches for global impact of the work and person of Christ.

Thirdly it is assumed the Bible contains accurate information about the early (1st century) church and is useful for determining the nature of the early church and as a standard of measure for the nature of the CFM ministry.

In addition, transformation is assumed to be biblical, good and necessary for both individuals and the corporate body of the church. It is assumed necessary for both because neither is perfected and all are in various stages of growth and maturity.

It is assumed that even small, rural and broken churches can be transformed through the power of the Holy Spirit and proper ministry under the Lordship of Jesus to become fully sustainable churches.

It is assumed the biblical narrative is relevant and applicable to the modern and postmodern world.

It is assumed the local church is important to the purposes and plan of God.
It is assumed God can and does work through the lives of humans to accomplish his purposes.

**Subproblems**

The first subproblem is the identification of the biblical and theological themes associated with the transformation of a broken church to one of discipleship and church planting.

The second subproblem is the discovery of relevant data concerning transformation of communities and organizations, discipleship, and church planting from the literature within the Christian community and other relevant organizations.

The third subproblem involves the description and discovery of the processes, changes and events that transpired in the transformation, discipleship and church planting of the Prescott church during the initial twenty years of ministry.

The fourth subproblem is to synthesize the themes from the biblical data, the literary data and the research to provide a narrative of important themes that may be a useful example to the wider Christian community.

**Setting of the Project**

The Prescott ministry of this research project began in the period of time that became known as the Jesus People Movement (JPM). "It is widely accepted that the phrase ‘Jesus Movement’ first appeared in an article written by Brian Vachon in LIFE magazine in 1971."¹ The late 60s and early 70s was a time when many baby boomers were disillusioned with life, rebelling against parents and home, and seeking spiritual

---

expression and happiness. It was a time of protests against the Vietnam War and racism. There was an antiestablishment energy among young people. It was a time of drugs, sex and rock-n-roll. “The movement lasted from 1967 to the end of the 1970s and its aftermath has continued to grow, diversify, and influence American Christianity into the present day.”  

The cultural and spiritual dynamics of the 60s and 70s impacted the JPM. The movement united culturally important symbols with theological boundaries from American Evangelicalism and Pentecostalism. This amalgam of symbols and boundaries characterize the ministries that arose out of the JPM.

The Jesus People Movement

The JPM as a phenomenon occurred in a short period of time (primarily in the 1970s) but birthed spiritual movements that have continued even though the cultural dynamics have changed. Larry Eskridge’s *God’s Forever Family* is a history of the JPM and traces its beginning to the antiestablishment hedonism of the Beat Movement of the 1950s. Richard Bustraan, whose Ph.D. dissertation was developed into a history of the JPM, establishes the beginning date as the Summer of Love concert June 16, 1967. Bustraan notes the heyday of the movement was between 1970 and 1973 and follows the story to the beginning of the twenty-first century.

---

2 Bustraan, xvii.


5 Bustraan, 44.
Ronald Enroth, Edward Erickson and C. Breckinridge Peters wrote *The Jesus People Movement.* It is a contemporary account published in 1972 and notes an interesting theory about the timing of the JPM. Lonnie Frisbee, an early Jesus freak, claims the impetus for the JPM was the six-day war in the Middle East. He claimed it was fulfillment of the end time prophecies of Joel and the coming of Christ. It was a time of sometimes radical and seemingly spontaneous spiritual activity in many different parts of the United States. Out of this varied spiritual activity came a movement known as Jesus People Movement.

The Haight-Ashbury district of San Francisco was a gathering place for thousands of young people associated with the hippie movement. In the park of Haight-Ashbury one could obtain a variety of drugs including LSD. If one wanted religious experience there was a myriad of religious gurus and mystics. Enroth notes that there was a biblically spiritual movement associated with Haight-Ashbury out of the northern California area of Marin County. A drug user, Ted Wise, was saved in 1966. Two friends from Des Moines had moved to California to experiment with drugs also became Christians. Shortly after that another friend became a Christian. In late 1967 this small group began a coffee house in Haight-Ashbury. In the two years of its existence it contacted between thirty and fifty thousand young people. This was one of many similar spiritual events that marked the JPM.

---


7 Enroth, Erickson and Peters, 12.

8 Enroth, Erickson and Peters, 13.
Enroth, Erickson and Peters’ account was largely focused on the vocal and radical elements of the JPM. It was generally disapproving of the JPM. They felt their orthodox evangelical Protestant doctrine was threatened by the JPM. They described the movement as simplistic, anti-intellectual, experience-oriented, and disdaining of social involvement. They focused their report on radical groups such as the Tony and Susan Alamo Foundation, the Children of God, Shiloh Fellowship, and the Christian World Liberation Front. These groups faded in prominence from the JPM.

Other ministries with beginnings near that time have survived. Important leaders of the JPM came out of the International Church of the Foursquare Gospel (ICFG). Ralph Moore established Hope Chapel. Roy Hicks Jr., whose father was an important leader in the ICFG, established the Eugene Faith Center. Jack Hayford was a teacher and Dean of Men at the Lighthouse of International Foursquare Evangelism Bible College (LIFE) when he began to pastor a small congregation in Van Nuys, California. His Church on the Way grew to have five services on Sunday and a prominent role in the JPM even though Pastor Jack never identified himself as part of the JPM. The Jesus People rock band Second Chapter of Acts and Pat Boone, a self-identified Jesus Person, made Church on the Way their home church.10

Two Foursquare pastors who did identify their churches with the JPM were Chuck Smith and Wayman Mitchell. Chuck Smith’s Calvary Chapel grew from a youth center ministering to beach youth in Southern California to a worldwide ministry.11

---

9 Enroth, Erickson and Peters, 17.
10 Bustraan, 3, 75.
Wayman Mitchell is a graduate of LIFE. His ministry, through what is now known as The Potter’s House Church or Christian Fellowship Ministries (CFM), has also grown from its beginning in Prescott, Arizona, to having 540 churches in the United States and over 1600 churches in over 100 countries around the world. Although various churches of the CFM ministry have different names they remain part of a cohesive movement or fellowship.

Prescott, Arizona

In January 1970 the Prescott Foursquare Church of Prescott, Arizona, was struggling through a series of crises. Prior to 1970 the Prescott Foursquare Church had been a thriving church in the small rural Arizona town of Prescott. Wayman Mitchell considered it his hometown. After military service in WWII, Mitchell was converted and also filled with the Holy Spirit in a Phoenix, Arizona Foursquare Church. He then moved his family to California to attend LIFE Bible School, graduated and was ordained a Foursquare minister. After pastoral ministry in the Northwest he took a pastorate in Carson, California. Shortly after being established in Carson he was confronted by several credentialed people in the congregation who let him know they expected to have control of the church. He understood that the politics of denominational life would not allow him to preach and teach as he felt God would desire and resigned to seek a place to simply preach and raise his family. He had asked once before to take the Prescott church when they had a pastoral change but was denied. Then when the church had a tragic failure in the ministry, the Foursquare organization asked Mitchell if he would take the church.
He knew it was a ruined church. The pastor and his son both had affairs and had abandoned it. Before deciding if they would accept the pastorate Mitchell and his wife and children, a family of seven, decided to travel to Arizona and look at the circumstances. In Prescott they talked to the two remaining families that comprised most of what was left of the once thriving church and asked them if they would stay if he accepted the call. When they agreed Mitchell accepted the call and moved his family to Prescott on January 11, 1970. This case study begins with a broken church and a disillusioned pastor. Mitchell commented about that start, “So I was disillusioned at that point in my life. I just wanted to have a church where I could have a place to live, preach, and I could raise my family and that is when they offered the Prescott church to me with the mess that was here.”12 There was a remnant of families committed to remain with the church. The subject of this case study is the transformation of Mitchell’s ministry and the Prescott Foursquare Church.

Expansion

Mitchell became the pastor of the Prescott Foursquare Church in 1970. Over the next forty years the church would produce out of its ministry in Prescott over two thousand churches in over one hundred countries in the world. In 2015 there were 544 works in the United States and 1,615 international works. In North America there were in addition to the works in the United States 17 works in Canada and over 300 works in Mexico. There were several dozen churches in Central America and 55 in South America. There were churches in more than half the nations in Africa with 34 indigenous works in Kenya, 28 in Namibia, 60 in Sierra Leone (one of the world’s poorest nations),

---

51 in Zambia, 27 in Uganda, 29 in Zimbabwe, and 90 in South Africa. There were over 260 fully indigenous churches in the Philippines and 70 in Australia. Over 30 churches have been planted in China and 27 in Russia. Additional churches have been planted in Ukraine, Romania, the Balkans and Mongolia. In Europe churches have been established in Spain, France, and Portugal, and are indigenous in the Netherlands (over 50 churches), Germany, and the United Kingdom (over 60 churches). Churches have been planted in the Pacific islands of Fiji, Vanuatu, Guam, Chuuk Islands, Samoa, American Samoa, Saipan, Solomon Islands, and others. In Southeast Asia churches have been planted in Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam.\(^{13}\) Except for a few ministers who have come from outside of the ministry these are directly attributable to the Prescott ministry. These pastors, pioneers, evangelists and workers were converted in the Prescott ministry and after having been discipled were established as pioneer pastors as the ministry unfolded in Prescott, Arizona. This expansion began in the culture and theological identity of the JPM. This identity had a marked influence on the ministry in Prescott.

*Cultural and Spiritual Dynamic of the Jesus People Movement*

Hope Chapel, Calvary Chapel, The Association of Vineyard Churches, the CFM and a number of other churches and movements identify their roots with the JPM.\(^{14}\) The setting of this project involves the cultural and spiritual emphasis of the JPM that were popular and powerful in shaping the CFM. Donald Miller’s research found that quite unselfconsciously these movements followed simple principles that have been part of

\(^{13}\) Data is from the mailing list of Christian Fellowship churches, July 2015.

\(^{14}\) Bustraan, 36.
every religious movement throughout history. Miller calls these churches as he saw them in 1997 “new paradigm churches.” His focus was on the Calvary Chapel, Vineyard and Hope Chapel movements. Miller says they are distinctive in incorporating elements of the therapeutic, individualistic and anti-establishment values of counter-culture without narcissism. They are doing significant cultural repair. They rebuild marriages, care for their children and provide alternatives to a perceived violence in contemporary society. He lists twelve characteristics that define these new paradigm churches including lay ministry and leadership, small groups, bodily (not merely cognitive) worship, the gifts of the Holy Spirit and biblical teaching rather than topical sermonizing. These were all important to the ongoing ministries arising out of the JPM. Miller’s analysis provides an important group of ministries for comparison with the CFM movement.

Enroth, Erickson and Peters contemporary 1972 account is generally critical of the JPM but notes several cultural factors that were important in the movement. It was a time of disenchantment with traditional religion or the established church. It was an antiestablishment period of time. There was a genuine concern and need for belonging that in many cases led to the establishment of communes or group houses. These communes and coffee houses played an important role in almost every major US city and in many towns. They say the Jesus People were “fundamentalist theologically” and desired strong leadership, discipline and morality but “sociologically they were not.”

15 Miller, 27.
16 Miller, 21-22.
17 Enroth, Erickson and Peters, 15.
18 Enroth, Erickson and Peters, 16.
19 Enroth, Erickson and Peters, 17.
The distinctive often pointed out is their desire for experience. They call it fundamentalism’s over-emphasis.\textsuperscript{20} The drug culture of the 60s and 70s was also antiestablishment, experience-oriented and catered to a community of those with similar lifestyles.

Bustraan also notes the antiestablishment and communal orientation of the culture. He adds that the Jesus People identified with hippies and were generally anti-war.\textsuperscript{21} The productions of \textit{Godspell} in 1971 and \textit{Jesus Christ Superstar}, the rock opera by Andrew Lloyd Weber the same year, were culturally important productions establishing the idea that Jesus was OK but church was not.\textsuperscript{22}

The JPM seemed to arise spontaneously. It seemed to happen in many places at about the same time but there was great a variety of expression. Nonetheless principles were discovered that gave symbolic antiestablishment boundaries and a theological identity common to movements with the JPM including the CFM.

\textit{Symbolic Boundaries and Theological Identity}

As a group the Jesus People of 1970 were young, white and male. They came from middle-class homes. Although predominantly white they were not racist. They identified themselves with Jesus’ name and not any particular church or group. They were not second and third generation Pentecostal youth and they were not denominational youth groups meeting in coffee houses.\textsuperscript{23}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{20} Enroth, Erickson and Peters, 11.
\item \textsuperscript{21} Bustraan, 20-21.
\item \textsuperscript{22} Bustraan, 3-4.
\item \textsuperscript{23} Bustraan, 116, 119-123.
\end{itemize}
Theologically they identified themselves as converted and filled with the Holy Spirit. They were Pentecostal and not charismatic. They were experiencing revival and were counter-cultural. They were eschatologically oriented in both music and theology. The song “I Wish We’d All Been Ready” and Hal Lindsay’s book *The Late Great Planet Earth* were popular and formative.²⁴ Larry Norman was a leader in the music of the JPM. His song “I Wish We’d All Been Ready” appeared on both his albums. His “Right Here in America” dealt with persecution of Christians and his “Forget Your Hexagram” was appealing to the counter-culture.²⁵ Wayman Mitchell was just getting established in Prescott as the new pastor of the Foursquare Church and was nothing like the Jesus People. He was Pentecostal. He was not a second or third generation Pentecostal. He was not old but would not have been considered part of the youth culture. He was, however, interested in changing the culture of the broken church he was called to pastor.

Mitchell’s initial ministry in Prescott was marked by the JPM. A month after taking the church Mitchell had a special series of meetings (a revival) with a guest speaker followed by an evangelistic concert. The church seated seventy-two people and the ensuing revival brought in new people. The concert was held in a neutral site to accommodate a larger crowd. That night in the Prescott Boys Club there were a few less than two hundred people. They were mostly unsaved and unchurched teens. Mitchell commented, “The only teenagers I’d ever seen were church kids that … would stand there and mock you and sit in the church and make remarks. When I saw these kids it

---

²⁴ Enroth, Erickson and Peters, 24, 83.

²⁵ Enroth, Erickson and Peters, 81-83.
looked like we were reaching the whole world. I’d never seen that many people in the church scene.”

This research is set in the context of this ministry. The ministry continues to expand with new church plants being announced monthly from conference centers around the world. The JPM was important in the formation of the CFM.

**Importance of the Research**

The findings of this research project will be important to the author, the researcher’s ministry and the broader Christian community. The author is a pastor in the ministry of the Prescott church and has also been involved in evangelism and church planting.

**Importance of the Project to the Researcher**

The researcher’s personal interest in the project is two-fold. The researcher is both an insider and an outsider to the project setting. Since 1976 the researcher has been embedded in the ministry of the Prescott Christian Fellowship Church. Raised in a Foursquare Christian home, the researcher was converted as a junior in high school during a revival at Pomona First Assembly of God. Following high school the researcher pursued a career path in the sciences, earning an Associate of Arts degree from a local California community college (Mount San Antonio College, Walnut, California) and then a Bachelor of Arts in zoology from the University of Arizona. Then at Central Washington University the researcher earned a Master of Science degree in biology and followed that with entrance to a New Mexico State University. The researcher passed a preliminary qualifying exam in 1970 for a PhD degree before being drafted into the US

---

26 Drum, 143.
Army in January 1971. Two years as a Biological Sciences Research Assistant at the Letterman Army Institute of Research at the Presidio in San Francisco followed. In 1973 after duty in the army he began a career teaching biological sciences, environmental science and anatomy and physiology for nursing students.

Becoming involved in the rapidly growing Eagle Rock Foursquare Church in Southern California stirred a passion for greater involvement. It was thought that teaching experience and a fluency in Spanish would open doors for summer missions to Mexico and in 1976 the researcher moved to Sierra Vista, Arizona. Enrolling in a Latin Assembly of God Bible college, the researcher was assigned to intern at the newly established Foursquare church. The Sierra Vista Foursquare Church was one of the first pioneer works out of what became the Christian Fellowship Ministries (CFM).

After being a disciple for nearly three years in the Sierra Vista Foursquare Church the researcher was launched to pioneer a work in New Mexico. After a year of pioneering came a year and a half as associate pastor in a rapidly growing CFM church in Colorado Springs. This was followed by a call to pastor a small church in New Mexico that had experienced a moral failure in the pastorate. Nearly three years later the researcher was called to take a Phoenix First Foursquare Church that had also experienced a moral failure in its pastor. After a number of years in Phoenix a time of evangelism ministry followed. Nearly six months of evangelistic ministry in Australia was followed by time in the United Kingdom, Kenya, Uganda and Argentina. In August 1989 the researcher was called to Liberia, West Africa as a missionary. A civil war was brewing and broke out in December and no mission was established. Two years later another attempt to establish a mission in Liberia was made and again abandoned. Additional pastoring in California and
more years in evangelism led to the decision to take the church in Globe, Arizona in 1996.

The Globe Christian Fellowship Church has been the researcher’s base of ministry since 1996. The church was originally pioneered out of Prescott in 1976 and was among the first churches planted. As a daughter church it has had a close relationship with Prescott for forty years. For the researcher, twenty years in various ministries with the Prescott Christian Fellowship Church and twenty years in one of its first pioneering efforts make the project personally important. During that time the researcher has also been involved on a broader scale within the Prescott ministry as a teacher, writer and speaker.

The researcher has collected much of the in-house material provided in the first ten years in a handbook for pioneer pastors, has written the story of Wayman Mitchell’s involvement in healing ministry, and has written and taught from a syllabus of doctrine designed for indigenous pastors of underdeveloped countries. Involvement in the Prescott Christian Fellowship Church also includes preaching and teaching seminars in the fellowship-wide Bible conferences in Prescott and area-wide Bible conferences in the United States and the foreign field. Preaching in local congregations in over 30 different nations and teaching has been a part of the researcher’s ministry and given a depth of experience within the fellowship had by only a few outside of the leadership.


The researcher’s interest is not only as an insider but also as an outsider. The academic background in the sciences and conversion outside of the Prescott Christian Fellowship Church created a background and experience quite different from the typical converts associated with the Jesus People hippie and drug culture ministry. Never having been a drug or alcohol user, being a few years older than many and having an academic background made the researcher an anomaly within the early CFM churches and someone to be viewed with skepticism. Mitchell told some of those early pastors (including the researcher’s own pastor) that this researcher would not make it in the CFM fellowship. The personal importance of this research is a heavily vested in the CFM but it is also academic. It is an interest that is spiritual and scientific. It is important to the researcher because it offers an opportunity to reflect on and evaluate the years of investment and personal ministry.

*Importance to the Ministry Setting*

The importance of this research is to provide an example of an effective and fruitful ministry and to provide hope for others in similar broken communities. The Globe Christian Center was originally pioneered in 1976 by a disciple trained in the Prescott church. It was started as a Foursquare church but became a self-supporting independent church within a few months. It is the aim of all the Prescott Christian Fellowship churches to become established in their own right and reproduce the life of their mother churches. In the course of nearly forty years of ministry the Globe Christian Center has sent over fifty couples to pioneer new churches. A number of these churches have been successes, a few have produced their own pioneer churches and some have been failures.
This research is important if transformation, discipleship and church planting are to continue beyond a second generation. Discovery of internal factors that trigger conversions,\textsuperscript{30} sustain a post conversion lifestyle\textsuperscript{31} and foster church planting are important for church growth.

*Importance to the church at large*

This project is important to any Christian ministry or church. The CFM is over forty years old and there are churches in over one hundred countries. Many of them are indigenous, that is, they have locally raised pastors and workers established in a cultural context they call home. The factors that produce such expansion of ministry and workers are important to the Christian community. Description and discovery of these factors can lead to understanding what aspects are transferrable to other cultures and contexts and what factors might be omitted because they are unique to a time or era. It is important to know what things might be called singularities and what things mark the church universally for successful transformation. There are many churches—including some within the Prescott Christian Fellowship Church context—that are small, broken by failure in leadership and unable to impact the world around them. They may also benefit from the discoveries of the important factors in the transformation of a small, rural broken church.

\textsuperscript{30} Miller, 72.

\textsuperscript{31} Miller, 75.
CHAPTER TWO: BIBLICAL PARADIGM

There has been a consensus that the JPM and the continuing ministries including the CFM are centered on Christ, the Bible, the Holy Spirit and the hope of Jesus’ return. Although there seems to be a consensus of the importance of these issues there has been little theological grounding. This is perhaps due to the anti-intellectual stance of the JPM and its resistance to “doctrine” in favor of the pragmatic.

There are theological parameters held by the JPM that have also been foundational for the CFM. These include the need for transformation in believers, the culture of the church and church planting. The centering scriptures in this portion of the project include Romans 12:1-2 as the paradigm for change, Matthew 28:16-20 for discipleship and mission, and the Book of Acts as a paradigm for church planting.

Theological Perspective on Transformation from Romans 12:2

Jesus people and the CFM to talk about change commonly use the first two verses of Romans twelve. Change is commonly referred to as transformation or renewal—words in these verses. The verb is an imperative. It indicates a parameter recognized by Jesus People and the CFM that salvation means a new life. Transformation has important ramifications not only in the individual but also in the community of believers operating as a church. It speaks of an event and a process that involves the whole person. It is not merely outward adherence to rules and regulations by one’s body and it is not merely an inward work of the mind. Transformation means a thing undergoes a change of form or
nature—it is transformed. Transformation could also go under several common labels such as “revival” or “renewal” or a more modern phrase such as turn-around or breakout churches.

There is a deeper spiritual nuance that is important. The Old Testament verb hāpak “can refer to God’s power to transform things from one reality to another.” The New Testament term metamorphoō means to transfigure in the case of Jesus change on the mountain (Matt. 17:2 and Mark 9:2). In the case of Romans 12:2 metamorphoō is used as transformation to a whole new way of thinking and in 2 Corinthians 3:18 it is used to describe change to ever-increasing glory. For Paul in Romans 12:2 transform carries the meaning of an invisible process in a Christian already begun in this present life. It is far removed from mystery but is a process for a new moral life in the Spirit.

Transformation or change is essentially the goal of teaching and preaching with the unashamed objective of preserving the true gospel and Word of God. Obedience of individuals to the Word of God is necessary for assimilation of new converts in the church. Ernst Käsemann does not see change as merely a private matter. Change or transformation of an individual has a public and eschatological nature that is important to

4 Mounce, 739.
Transformation and change in a believer’s life affects the congregation. A deeper understanding is possible by looking at cultures that more closely resemble the world of the New Testament authors.

**Context and setting**

Romans 12:1-2 comes between a lengthy doctrinal discussion of how a sinner becomes a new person (Chapters 1-11) and a discussion of what being a new person (creation) means in daily life (12:3-15:13). Paul opens this new section of Romans with “Therefore,” that is, in the light of what has gone before there is more to say. Paul is perhaps reflecting on the issues of conduct he faced in the churches he has pioneered or in Corinth. His concern is to root out a person’s own wisdom and self-will and establish the Corinthians in the perfect will of God. Paul meshes creed with conduct for an understanding that holiness is neither automatic nor inevitable. The first eleven chapters do not seem at a casual reading to offer much moral content but the next chapters contain clear exhortations to practice the new life in a real community. The challenge is to become what the new life explained in chapters one through eleven means in practical life. Instead of a downward spiral of living as a sinner (1:18-32) the readers are to be renewed and live rightly. In chapters 1-11 Paul has established that Jew and Gentile are

---

6 Käsemann. 330.


on equal footing regarding grace and disgrace and concerning salvation and sin.\textsuperscript{11} Paul has put everyone on the same level of needing salvation. Leon Morris refers to this section of Romans as “Application.” Morris continues, saying, “It is foundational” that the person justified not live as the unjustified sinner rather, \textsuperscript{12} Clearly Paul’s theology has a therefore regarding human behavior. “All doctrines of justification, grace, election, and final salvation taught in the preceding part of the epistle are made the foundation for the practical duties enjoined in this [part].” Douglas Moo captures the thought with “All theology is practical, and all practice, if it is truly Christian, is theological.”\textsuperscript{13}

The transition in Romans twelve to fifteen to moral instruction is set in the framework of the new eschatological reality. Imperatives dominate these first two verses. Schreiner says “I exhort” should be seen as a command. The two commands to not be conformed and to be transformed should also be seen as imperatives because it is the more difficult reading.\textsuperscript{14} Paul applies the consequences of his messages to daily life\textsuperscript{15} reflecting the earlier moral instruction (6:11ff) about living in the present evil era.\textsuperscript{16} Paul alters the Jewish worldview so that the eschatological age is now present and not just an
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age to come. The new age is at work in believers currently.\footnote{Moo, 396.} Although 12-15 may appear to be a loosely constructed addition these verses are not standard parenesis tacked onto the end of Paul’s doctrine. Paul is seeking to establish a relationship with a community he did not pioneer and does not personally know. He is seeking to establish a community ethos of unity in the midst of diversity (Jew and Gentile).\footnote{Witherington, 280.} Transformation (verse 2) is part of many religions as a mystery but this is not Paul’s thought. Transformation is already operative and reshapes the Jewish hope and the believer’s lifestyle. There is certainly an age to come but it is already working within the present age not merely an eschatological hope and not as an escape but as part this age.\footnote{James D. G. Dunn, \textit{Romans 9-16}, vol. 38b, \textit{Word Biblical Commentary} (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1988), 713.} Although easily overlooked moral instruction is also found in the first part of the letter (especially chapters 6 and 8). Romans 12:1-2 expand on the previous parts of Romans and reflect back on the opening chapter’s downward spiral of sin.

\textit{New Life}

Readers of the letter are called to present their bodies to God. This language may have roots in a baptismal or cultic formula. It is certainly about sacrifice as part of true worship.\footnote{Käsemann, 329. Witherington, 284. Dunn 38b, 709.} For Käsemann either the whole of Christian life is worship—and the cult language establishes the idea of worship—or else acts such as baptism are absurd.\footnote{Käsemann, 327. Dunn, 711.} Paul’s reaching to the Old Testament idea of sacrifice is important. Paul has often
criticized the Jews for their false reliance on the cult activities of sacrifice. Here Paul
draws out the implication that presentation of our bodies is reasonable in the light of the
new era of salvation in Jesus.\textsuperscript{22} With the sacrifice of Jesus doing away with animal
sacrifices Paul does not remove sacrifice from faith but extends the concept to the whole
person so that every facet of the believer’s existence is involved.\textsuperscript{23} Ritual is important for
identification with a group. Handing over the body in sacrifice means identification or
membership with the group that does the same.\textsuperscript{24} This is important because the church is
an identifiable entity in this current age. The transformation that occurs in the members
also transforms the church. For the broken Prescott Foursquare Church this was essential
if it was to move forward. The language of sacrifice and transformation come together in
the sense of a community of change.

\textit{Not Conformed but Transformed}

The new life in Christ is lived by the mercies of God.\textsuperscript{25} It comes by the offering of
one’s person to God. In so doing the person rejects conformity to the world and accepts
transformation by the renewal of the mind to accomplish the will of God. The intention of
transformation is not simply private but reaches another level empowering the church for
transformation of the world. The offering of the body is part of a baptismal formula that
characterizes the change from the old to the new aeon so that God’s will becomes the
normal conduct of a believer.\textsuperscript{26} The command to offer (also 6:13, 16, 19) one’s body is in
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response to the basic demand of chapter 6 to not let sin reign in one’s mortal body nor offer any part of it as an instrument of wickedness.²⁷ Offering spiritual sacrifices is logical worship as in 2 Peter 2:5. It means, “worship worthy of thinking beings”²⁸ as the heading in the New Jerusalem Bible paraphrases it. This offering of the body is a central demand of God resulting from the message of justification and is crucial because it results in the ability to communicate with the Creator.²⁹ It means knowing the will or wants of God (Rom 2:18) on a daily or ongoing basis and living accordingly.³⁰ Offering the body in worship is pleasing to God. Worship expresses itself in concrete acts of service. That is, authentic Christian worship includes the body and has both negative and positive effects. It means either presenting it to God for service or mortifying the body’s sinful nature.³¹ The presenting of the body in spiritual worship (sacrifice) is not simply the presentation of the fleshly parts but includes the mind as well as the body.

Dunn says somata clearly stands for the whole person in concrete relationship with the world. It is only through the body that one can relate to other persons and only in the concrete realities of daily life.³² To Witherington this is a “deliberate and stark contrast. One is not to be conformed but rather transformed. Paul will contrast the way ‘this age’ tries to mold a person and the way the eschatological situation now present in
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the midst of this age seeks to change a person.” Paul is talking about a paradigm change in worldview. “The verbs translated ‘conform’ and ‘transform,’ while imperative, are in the present continual sense. Paul is talking about a process of de-enculturation and reorientation.” Fitzmyer stresses that the issue is that in Jesus we are suited for something beyond the cult of animal sacrifice. We are suited by our rational nature to live uprightly before God by offering our whole self for obedience from faith. Käsemann notes that this decision to offer one’s self to God is precisely at the point where it seems irrational. It is irrational in the same vein as the Father sending the Son into the world to save sinners. The Stoic idea included inward transformation but it was not necessarily expressed outwardly. The demand of a bodily sacrifice to God is something designed to shock the Greeks and Jews. It was also shocking to the mystery religions that saw worship as only mystical or inward. And it is shocking today to those who feel religion is only an inward acknowledgement or a belief system. Evidence of this concrete change in life is an essential marker of new believers in the CFM as it was in the early JPM.

The plays on the words conform and transform has been debated in scholarly arenas. Witherington comments there may be some force for distinguishing between inward transformation that is outwardly expressed and outward conformity but outward conformity “seems to be in view here.” According to Dunn they are not used to
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distinguish inward and outward forms. Paul understands social groups, institutions and traditions have the power to mold individual behavior. The imperative tone indicates human responsibility to stop being conformed and instead be transformed. Individuals are responsible to accept or reject these influences and choose the things, actions and thoughts that are in accord with the will of God. This occurs in the process of renewal. It is specifically by the renewing of the mind.

Renewed

The terminology of renewal is unique to Christian literature. Renewal of the mind involves the ability to discern or test the will of God. The process is: first the Word of God and the Spirit of God renew the mind, then one is able to discern and desire the will of God and is then increasingly transformed by it. These are the stages of moral transformation of the believer. Renewal is necessary for Jew and Gentile. If Paul were asked about the source of renewal, Dunn says Paul would respond saying, “The Spirit.” This is supported by 2 Corinthians 3:18, 4:16 and Titus 3:5. Moo describes renewal as a process that is internal. “The fact that calls on believers to engage in this renewing of the mind shows that it does not automatically happen to us when we believe.” It is an internal process produces fruit pleasing to God. There are still commands that impinge in an obligatory way on believers. The expectation of renewal is not for mere obedience
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but rather moral discernment.\textsuperscript{45} It is a rationality fitting for humankind and distinguishing humankind from the rest of creation. Humankind is not like the beasts. “This emphasis on inner transformation,” according to Dunn, “becomes a way of distinguishing the Christian emphasis from the too ethnic, law-centered spirituality of contemporary Judaism.”\textsuperscript{46} The renewed mind is one of critical judgment by Christians that can differentiate and accept what is fitting, “precisely at the point where, corresponding to God’s will, they oppose the trend of this world and do what seems irrational, as God did himself in sending his Son to the cross.” Only God’s will is fitting and it involves but is not restricted to the moral arena.\textsuperscript{47}

\textit{Importance to the Church}

Dunn points to the ability to form the correct ethical judgment and then comments that Paul is “probably thinking of a corporate and not merely individual process.” He points out that the remaining elements of Romans form the foundation of Christian ethics.\textsuperscript{48} Paul’s intention in Romans is not an uncritical comment on common household codes of justice and behavior but to establish a theological grounding. It is a more fundamental intention. Paul intends that the church in Rome be a transforming influence in society. Because a person has a concrete relationship with the world and with the church there can be a corporate force for change in the world.\textsuperscript{49} It is at this point Paul co-
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opts the cultural convention to empower the church for transformation of society.⁵⁰

Worship is the way we live and not just what we do on Sunday morning.⁵¹ “True worship
means agreement with God’s will to his praise in thought, will, and act.”⁵² It is
completely reasonable for believers to radically dedicate themselves wholly to God.⁵³
This is exactly what the world says is unreasonable. This is the challenge for every
beleaguered church. There must be renewal individually and therefore corporately.

There are only two options for believers to model their lives after—the value
system of the world and the value system of God. “These two value systems (this world
and God’s will) are incompatible, even in direct collision with one another,” according to
John Stott. He continues saying, “The two sets of standards diverge so completely that
there is no possibility of compromise.”⁵⁴ This present evil age cannot be the regulative
principle. Conformity to this age results in an unfit mind. Radical renewal is possible
because the new age has begun and is advanced by every decision and ends in the glory
of God.⁵⁵ Renewal is a day-by-day progress (2 Cor. 4:16, Col 3:10). “The Christian finds
out the will of God not to contemplate it but to do it. It goes without saying that what is
known to be God’s will is—perfect.”⁵⁶
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The Prescott Foursquare Church needed renewal. The inward brokenness of a violated people and the outward discouragement had to first be transformed. This is akin to the efforts needed by Hezekiah and Josiah for renewal in the nation. Jesus People have been described as “restorationists” or “primitivists,” that is, they seek a renewal of the old paths. This is what was sought in Prescott.

**Biblical and Theological Perspective on Evangelism and Discipleship**

Admittedly little has been done with the theological and biblical grounding of the JPM and even less concerning the CFM. There is, however, a large consensus that Christ, the Bible, and evangelism based on an eschatological expectation are firmly part of the JPM and CFM. The JPM is centered on the Great Commission of Matthew 28:16-20.

It is important to look more closely at this passage in Matthew. David Di Sabatino says the JPM was pneumacentric, Christocentric and apocalyptic. The expectation is to look for these themes in this popularly referenced scripture. One can make the case that within these verses or the “forty words” of the Great Commission the themes of evangelism, witness, discipleship, sending, church planting, empowerment of the Holy Spirit, and the age to come can be found.

*Setting, Context and Importance of Matthew 28:16-20*

After the resurrection the eleven disciples traveled to a prearranged mountain in Galilee of the Gentiles to meet Jesus. Some traditions say it was Mount Tabor, the traditional site of the transfiguration, and others say it was the site of the Sermon on the Mount where Jesus could refresh their memories with the words “everything I have
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commanded you.” Others say it was only a place recognized by the disciples and Jesus. Mountains figure prominently in Matthew (16 times) as sites of revelation or perhaps a new Sinai motif. Coming as it does at the end of Matthew these words suggest more than just another narrative. This is the last of six discourses of Matthew that Michael J. Wilkins says lead to highlighting the importance of this text. It is a summary of the aim and purpose of Matthew’s gospel.

Matthew is essentially a missionary text and the parallel texts in Luke 24:47 and John 20:21 (and pseudo-Mark 16:15) reflect perhaps differing traditions but similar thought and the multiple attestation of mission suggests the accuracy of Jesus’ commission. David J. Bosch says New Testament scholarship for many years paid little attention to this passage and perhaps even thought it was a later addition. Eventually in the early part of the twentieth century scholars took note. Bosch translates from Harnack, “It is impossible to say anything greater and more than this in only forty words.” It was not, however, until the 1940s that scholars began to pay serious attention to these forty
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words and their pivotal nature. Today scholars agree that the entire gospel of Matthew points to these pivotal words, calling them the “theological program of Matthew,” “the climax of the gospel,” “a manifesto,” and Matthew’s “the table of contents”. Agreed upon almost universally is that these words cannot be lifted from the rest of Matthew’s text without their becoming a mere slogan. It is perhaps the most Matthean part of Matthew. It is recognized as unique to Matthew by most. Hagner says, “These words … distill the outlook and various emphases of the Gospel.” France notes that it is theologically possible to read from these final words back into earlier chapters to illuminate their significance.

The combination of authority and mission in this passage (“all power” and “make disciples”) is also found in Luke 24:47 (his “name” and “all nations”) and John 20:21 (the “authority” of the Father and I am sending you). The arrangement of final instructions in Matthew and the parallel accounts of Luke and John announce the fulfillment of the limited mission of Matthew 10:1-5 where Jesus sent the disciples to the lost sheep of the House of Israel. Jesus expands the mission to the Gentiles but does not replace the mission to Israel. The result of the expanded mission is the establishment of the new community (ekklesia) fulfilling Jesus’ words of Matthew 16:18. The commission comes together with the fearless and authoritative preaching of the risen
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Jesus and the mission to do so to the ends of the earth establishing the new community (the church) of the kingdom. The church now in existence started from a handful of doubting, confused and powerless disciples responding to these words given on an unknown hillside (mountain) in Galilee. They waited for the empowering of the Holy Spirit and then starting in Jerusalem pursued the call to go and make disciples to all nations.

Structure of the Great Commission

The Great Commission contains one primary or central command, the imperative “make disciples,” with three subordinate participles of “going,” “baptizing” and “teaching.” Although there have been two views, one with a strong emphasis on “go” and the other on make disciples. Cleon Rogers claims the imperative idea is to be preferred because the connotation is set by the verb *(mathēteuō)*.70 Robert Coleman says *(mathēteuō)* is the “true verb” in the passage and is the source of emphasis for the word “go.”71 Similar constructions are found in Matthew 17:27 where Peter is given a specific task and in Matthew 28:7 when women are given a task to report that Jesus is risen from the dead.72 The imperative command gives the thrust of the mission and the three participles describe the various aspects of mission with the imperative force of the command.73
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“which is to say, one command that is carried out three ways.” The participle “going” could be read as “on your way,” “as you go” or “having gone.” A parallel is found in the mission of the twelve where Jesus uses the aorist in Matthew 10:7 “as you go (NIV).” The word “make disciples” is to be understood in a rabbinic sense or Jewish context of a student in relationship to a highly esteemed master. The passage has a two-fold relationship in the conclusion of Matthew tying together the resurrection narrative and the most notable of Matthew’s teaching—Christology, discipleship, ecclesiology and eschatological hope.

The idea of a commission or even it’s being the Great Commission is not universally ascribed to this passage. Francis Beare claims the entire passage and the parallels of Jesus’ sending of the twelve in Mark 6:7-11, Matthew 10:1-10 and Luke 9:1-6 are foils that Matthew uses to teach about discipleship. It is true that teaching about discipleship is found in these scriptures but it seems unlikely that Matthew uses them only in that sense. Imbedded in discipleship is the mission to the world that seems to be the theme of Matthew’s gospel.

Grant R. Osborne documents others who do not see a mission or summary statement in Matthew’s ending. Some see an epiphany or exaltation story because of Jesus’ new status as the Son of Man following his resurrection. The idea of exaltation
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parallels that of Ephesians 1:21 in Philippians (“far above all rule and authority,” and exalted, highest place, name above all names, 2:9ff) and Colossians (“over all creation,” 1:15). Others see it as a royal enthronement similar to the Old Testament passage “I will make the nations your inheritance, the ends of the earth your possession (Ps 2:8).”

These parallels reflect an important aspect of the exalted Jesus. It does not seem that this is the main idea of the Great Commission. For Keener “going remains an essential part of the commission.” Matthew’s statement and context of the Great Commission reflect the reason and meaning of Jesus’ resurrection. “The Great Commission is not an idea tacked inelegantly to the end of Matthew’s Gospel, as if Matthew had nowhere else to put it. Rather, it summarizes the heart of this Gospel’s message.” Osborne adds, “Matthew did not simply repeat that command. He lived it—and so must we. For Matthew, it summed up his entire theology. We must ask whether it summarizes ours.” It was in many ways a summary of the JPM and provides a centering focus for the CFM. Jesus becomes the issue of proclamation to the world for the expansion of the kingdom of God as a new community (the Church).

**The Scope of the Mission**

Matthew connects the command of making disciples with the words “of all nations.” This is a striking new development. Jesus, on the basis of his authority
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commissions the disciples to make disciples “having gone” to all nations.\textsuperscript{84} Craig S Keener says, “Ancient hearers would and modern hearers should recognize a drastic innovation in the command to disciple all nations.”\textsuperscript{85} Wilkins says the theme of God’s salvation being universally available climaxes the whole book.\textsuperscript{86} This universal availability of salvation is hinted at in 1:1, 2:1-12, 8:5-13 and 15:21-28 and the fulfillment of the task in the New Testament church through witness (Acts 1:8) to the ends of the earth and proclamation (Col 1:23) to every creature under heaven.\textsuperscript{87} The all (\textit{pas}) signals the inclusive nature of salvation with the most difficult or troublesome issue for the disciples being the commission to Samaria.\textsuperscript{88} The mission to the nations is extremely important if a church is to reach or have a multicultural dimension. Most people groups are parochial and an intentional strategy to incorporate non-members is generally met with strong opposition.

There has been some contention over what “all nations” means. Certainly the Jesus People and the CFM have understood it to mean simply every nation or all peoples on the earth. But Douglas R. A. Hare and Daniel J. Harrington say the phrase \textit{panta ta ethnē} is not transparently clear. They ask if the phrase includes the nation of Israel or is there a deliberate contrast between Jews and Gentiles? Their contention is that in Matthew 21:43 God has taken the kingdom from Israel and given it to the church. The time of Jewish mission was Matthew 10:5. Although Jews may still be incorporated into
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the church Israel has been replaced by the church. Nolland notes the similar phrase in 24.14 where the gospel of the kingdom will be preached as a testimony “to all nations” (pasin tois ethnēsin). The phrase is also found in 24:9 and in 25:32 it occurs where all the nations are gathered together for judgment. The scope of the mission is generally agreed to be a universal mission without discrimination between the Gentile and Jew and Matthew does not suggest that God has given up on the Jews. Wilkins agrees saying most scholars agree that it means all nations inclusive of Israel as the natural meaning of the terms. Some believe a better translation would be “all people groups.” It seems most natural to include the Jews in the scheme of the Great Commission as certainly the New Testament church did. The disciples and Paul went first to the synagogues to preach the good news.

Practical Theology of the Great Commission

The commission Jesus gave in his parting words recorded by all four gospel writers had practical meaning for the disciples. “Going” seems to imply a number of things including witness (Acts 1:8), evangelism, discipleship, sending and church planting.
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The task of presenting in this limited space a theology of evangelism is made difficult for two reasons. First it has been given limited attention. It is almost a foregone conclusion and has been left dangling in systematic theology with only a few words attached to the theology of the church. Wayne Grudem expresses it as a task or purpose of the church from Luke 6:35-36. The church is to worship (ministry to God), nurture (ministry to believers) and evangelize with mercy (ministry to the world by loving enemies and doing good). Duffield and Van Cleave say evangelism is the primary mission of the church given by Jesus before his ascension. However they go on in the next three pages to list evangelism as one of eight purposes of the church and evangelism is in fifth place with only Romans 15:19-21 as its biblical basis. Erickson places evangelism in the purpose of the church as Jesus’ command saying obedience shows a love for Christ. It appears a theology of evangelism falls between a rock and hard place. It either suffers from the silence of systematic theology or when viewed practically it cannot sustain itself in the face of criticism or even be self-critical. The theology of evangelism suffers from shortsightedness by not connecting it with the overall task of the Great Commission in a meaningful way.
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The proclamation of the gospel is often seen as an end in itself. Proclamation either alone or proclamation with a decision are seen as a completed process. William Abraham points out the problem. Thinking of proclamation alone disconnects the local church from its life as the body of Christ. It creates an attitude that any entrepreneur can engage in this crucial ministry. And the other part of the problem is that proclamation alone cannot create a sense of personal ownership of the gospel that can be embraced by the hearer.99 The Great Commission, however, does not imply that proclamation is the end of the task. It does not imply that church growth is the end of the task. Yet both of these viewpoints are common and both circumvent the true issue of the Great Commission—making disciples.

**Theology of Witness and Evangelism**

In his two-volume history and analysis of the early church mission, Eckhard Schnabel says that, with possible and limited exception of the Jews, no religious community that made such exclusive claims concerning salvation as the Christian community. No religious community gave such a central place to a historical person as the Christian community. No religious community engaged in deliberate missionary expansion with such clear and strategic goals with a result-oriented implementation as the first Christians.100 Ferdinand Hahn said:

The early church was a missionary church. The proclamation, the teaching, all activities of the early Christians had a missionary dimension. The fact that it is not possible to find a defined concept of ‘missions’ in the New Testament does not
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alter the fact that early Christianity was controlled by the missionary task in their entire existence and in all their activities.\footnote{Ferdinand Hahn in Schnabel, \textit{Early}, 1:5.}

Schnabel says that Hahn is certainly correct and, “No scholar doubts that the first Christians actively spread their faith, doing missionary work.”\footnote{Schnabel \textit{Early}, 1:5.} What is important to understand in the light of the commission to make disciples is that Christian mission is not a matter of getting a confession of faith or establishing a morality but the end result of producing disciples. The commission is not found in the means of proclamation but on the product—disciples.\footnote{France, 1115.} The product of the church is a disciple. Marketing does not establish moral criteria or truth and what the church calls mission is not just about proclamation or evangelism. It is about making disciples for the multiplying of the work by additional committed laborers.\footnote{Keener, \textit{Missiology}. 14.} It is not enough to hear the message of Jesus. There must be a response with the same whole hearted commitment required of those who became disciples of Jesus.\footnote{France, 1115.}

While the content of the proclamation of the Word of God is rich and deep, it is “fundamentally simple it is not simplistic; while affirmative, it is never trivial or cheap.”\footnote{Daniel L. Migliore, \textit{Faith Seeking Understanding: An Introduction to Christian Theology} (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing, 1991), 210.} The Prescott CFM and other CFM churches have been from the beginning militant evangelists. Mitchell recognized that the secret to keeping and nurturing new converts was in pointing them to a purpose and he pointed them to Matthew 28 and the
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task of world evangelism.\textsuperscript{107} In a sermon, Greg Mitchell said, \textquotedblleft Evangelism is a foundational principle of who we are as a fellowship.\textquotedblright\textsuperscript{108} In a published sermon G. Mitchell says, \textquotedblleft It became clear . . . that our call [as a fellowship] is to take the gospel beyond the four walls of the church, rather than sitting in the church building and hoping that sinners somehow will come.\textquotedblright\textsuperscript{109} Evangelism is presented in the CFM churches as a call to every believer. It is often referenced as an implication of the Great Commission. The song \textquotedblleft Go Ye\textquotedblright was a standard choir presentation on the final night of the international conferences in the 1970s and early 1980s. It set the tone for the announcements of new churches that followed the concluding sermon of the conferences.

\textit{Theology of Church Growth}

Church growth has been an issue for a number of decades. Interest was stirred by the publication of \textit{Bridges of God} by Donald McGavran in 1955.\textsuperscript{110} McGavran was the impetus for what has been called the Church Growth School of missiology. His ideas developed out of his experiences as a missionary in India. In India he noticed what all can see—some churches grow and others stagnate. He attributed the difference to methodology. McGavran saw the primary methodology of establishing a mission station as a contradiction. Mission implied going and station implied staying. What McGavran saw was converts removed from their local setting and placed at the mission station detaching them from their community. The effect was that being transplanted to an
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essentially alien culture meant they could no longer influence their non-Christian friends, relatives and neighbors. Meanwhile they learned an ethic and language foreign to their culture. The other effect McGavran saw was that resources to sustain the station and the families of the new converts were spent outside of the communities the mission said they were trying to reach. The overall impact was that local church growth stopped or stagnated. Lesslie Newbigin was also a missionary to India and summarized these effects in *The Open Secret*. Newbigin does not dispute McGavran’s view of the facts.

“McGavran is right to press the question, ‘Why is there not more concern for the multiplication of believers and more evidence of its happening?’” Newbigin says, “Anyone who knows Jesus Christ as Lord and Savior must desire ardently that others should share that knowledge and must rejoice when the number who do is multiplied.” Certainly the New Testament records increasing numbers in the kingdom. There were 3000 on the day of Pentecost, then another 5000 in Acts 5, and multiplication of disciples and churches in Acts 6, and increase of the Word in Acts 12. It is right, adds Newbigin, believers should question why their church does not grow and why churches and believers are not concerned about the multitudes that have either not heard the gospel or have rejected it. Why is there not the seemingly spontaneous growth of the church as

---


112 Newbigin, 127.

113 Newbigin.

114 Newbigin, 124.
we see in the ministry of Paul and the New Testament? McGavran rightly raised these questions and it seems the answer must certainly be found in discipleship.

**Theology of Discipleship**

The task of taking the gospel to the whole world was monumental in Jesus’ day. The burgeoning population of the world today and the unsurpassed growth of technology tempt one to look for other methods of fulfilling the Great Commission. However, as Keener says, “This commission was no afterthought . . . It summarizes much of the heart of [Matthew’s] message.” It is a fitting conclusion brought to bear on the reader by the Holy Spirit. There is, according to Graham Duncan, a clear link between the command to make disciples in Matthew 28 and the command in Romans 12 to be transformed. Transformation and the disciplines demanded by discipleship are linked and the process of discipline releases rather than hampers true growth.

The costs of discipleship are not hidden in the Scriptures. Ralph Martin looking at Acts 14:22 says, “Discipleship is a costly commitment, and there is no easy road to glory.” It is a choice that does not allow excuses or postponement. He says that although there is promise of exaltation Jesus’ demand has been “intensified and deepened” by Luke’s addition of hating family relations (Luke 14:26) and “most of all,  
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he has added to Q: ‘even his own life also’ as part of the total demand.”119 It is a choice to finish regardless of the costs. It is a choice to establish a priority over family, surrender control of wealth and take up a cross of self-denial.

Craig Keener sees the demand for a cross—a symbol of execution—as the greatest demand in discipleship.120 It means a priority of Jesus over job security (Matt. 4:19-20), residential security (Matt. 8:18-20), financial security (Matt. 19:21) and cultural obligations (Matt. 8:21-22). It means a cost in marriage and family (Peter was already married), having no permanent place (Jesus with no place to lay his head), sacrificing financial gain (the rich young ruler’s reluctance) and going against a myriad of social norms (let the dead bury the dead).121

Discipleship is not a popular cultural calling but has a destiny linked, as with Jesus, in “confronting” people and demanding of them a decision for or against God’s rule.”122 “Those who would be his disciples must prepare the way for [Jesus’] future coming as John the Baptist did for his first (3:1-3).”123 John was arrested, unjustly treated and killed without trial. The disciple can expect to be unjustly judged, misunderstood and maligned. All of the demands of discipleship come with the promise that Jesus will be with his disciples during times of wars and famines (24:6-8) until the kingdom is proclaimed among all peoples (24:14) until the end.124 It was into the world that the
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disciples were sent. They were a somewhat odd group that Jesus commissioned to carry the good news to the world.

Theology of World Evangelism

The Gospel of John and John’s epistles emphasize that Jesus was sent (John 3:17, 6:33, 51, 1 John 4:9, 14) to the world that it might be saved. As is common in John’s gospel the world is representative of humanity that is hostile to God. Yet it is from that same hostile humanity that Jesus saves and sends with his gospel of salvation. The sending of the disciples into the world is after the manner of the Father sending Jesus (John 17:18, 20:21). “Not all believers in the community [of faith] have the same role as the first disciples, but the community as a whole shares the same mission and purpose: to make Christ known.” This makes Jesus the model of what it means to be sent.

Luke’s gospel records the sending of the larger group of disciples suggesting that the evangelistic mission was not limited to the apostles or an official commissioning action. “Rather, [Luke] presents an account of a Spirit-inspired spontaneous mission which includes apostles, the seventy, and other unnamed witnesses.” Paul, as Mark Keown views Luke-Acts, functioned in a mission mode that stimulated his converts to evangelize. They took the gospel they had received to their family networks (Lydia, the
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Philippian jailor and on Malta) and throughout their regions of influence (the city of Ephesus, the Lycus Valley).  

Paul’s proactive strategy for evangelism reached from Antioch to Ephesus and beyond. The most obvious statement of what Paul’s church planting mission accomplished is in 1 Thessalonians 1:6-8, “You became imitators of us and of the Lord, for you welcomed the message in the midst of severe suffering with the joy given by the Holy Spirit. And so you became a model to all the believers in Macedonia and Achaia (NIV).”

Paul saw himself as “sent” (1 Cor. 1:17) to preach making it his aim to preach where Christ was not known (Rom. 15:20). His letter to the Colossian believers reveals his understanding that he has been assigned by God to bring about maturity in believers even in churches he did not pioneer or visit such as Colossae. There was a personal responsibility he felt to see that the mission of Epaphras not fail in the face of heresy that threatened them. Paul accounted to the Romans that his interest in coming to them was his calling as an apostle and included a mission of bringing people to an obedience of the faith (1:5-6, 15:16-17). Paul’s preaching on Mars Hill was part of what Paul saw as a mission to the world. It was consistent with his application of the biblical principle of evangelizing people who have not heard the good news. Paul preached for results. He
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desired that his hearers be saved, become obedient to the faith, and heed the commandments and call of Christ.\textsuperscript{135} It had impact in his generation. It must have impact in our generation. Keener notes that in 1830 there were one billion people in the world. In 1930 there were two billion persons on the earth. Today humanity numbers over seven billion souls. He invites believers to devote all to mobilize the church to fulfill Christ’s mission and says that God’s power will be commensurate to the task.\textsuperscript{136}

\textit{Theology of Church Planting}


\begin{quote}
In Sum. Acts is a piece of Hellenistic and Jewish historiography that treats the theme of how the new community is rooted in God’s old promises, the Lord Jesus’s current activity, and the Spirit’s effective presence. Acts focuses on key human players as well, such as Peter, Stephen, Philip, Paul and James. The book places these characters and events in the contexts of the world’s larger history.\textsuperscript{137}
\end{quote}

Bock sees Acts as answering important questions about God and the gospel: How can salvation come from God and the Jews and also include gentiles? How is salvation the promise of God and yet the Jews reject it? What role does Jesus have? What does the faithful witness accomplish? In spite of these questions Luke raises he says the real center of Acts is God.\textsuperscript{138}

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem{Bowers} Bowers, 187
\bibitem{Keener} Keener, \textit{Missiology}. 20.
\bibitem{Bock2} Bock, 6-7
\end{thebibliography}
Eckhard Schnabel finds the story of the church in Acts. The story of the church in Jerusalem covers chapters 1-7. The story of the church in Samaria, Damascus, Caesarea, and Antioch is found in chapters 8-12. The church in Asia Minor and into Europe is told in chapters 13-20. The book concludes with the impact of the church reaching to Rome resulting in the trial of Paul in chapters 21-28.\textsuperscript{139} Schnabel claims the mission of the church is obedience to the divine commission and it is no coincidence that the identity of the church as God’s people and the mission as witness is the theme of the first section of narrative in Acts.\textsuperscript{140}

In Acts 1:8 Jesus fulfills the divine function of establishing his own witnesses (Isa. 43:10, 12; 44:8-9) and lays out their mission (Isa. 49:6). Jesus uses witness in the legal sense of someone who helps establish the facts. The disciples direct and real experience of Jesus’ death and resurrection qualifies them as witnesses. The Old Testament provides a precedent for witnesses. Numbers 35:30 (Deut. 17:6-7) establishes the need for multiple witnesses in capital cases. Jesus’ mission or calling of the disciples is to receive the enabling of the Holy Spirit and be witnesses for Jesus to the ends of the earth. It is not the determining of times and seasons, or the time of the end. The witness of the Life, death and resurrection of Jesus in Acts results in the spread of the Word of God, the multiplication of disciples and the establishing of churches.

\textit{Rationale for Establishing and Planting Churches}

The biblical case for church planting is more modest than many assume. Arguably there is no need for a biblical foundation of church planting just as there is no need of one
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for many of the things churches do—revival services, radio evangelism, secretaries, bookkeepers and a myriad of other things. There are plenty of good reasons for church planting. However, two things need to be kept separated. The biblical rationale for church planting is not the same thing as the biblical guidelines of how to plant a church. Church planting generally is based on the Great Commission, the central place of the church in the purposes of God and the methodology of the first century work of the apostles. Hence the Book of Acts is probably the most relevant portion of the New Testament for establishing a rationale for church planting. Acts 14:21-28 recounts Paul’s activities in Derbe, Iconium and Lystra and the return to Antioch reporting back to the church that sent out the mission. It is a good summary statement of the activities involved in planting churches.

Church planting is not generally a part of systematic theologies. It has even less a place than evangelism. It can be legitimately subsumed under either missiology or ecclesiology. Historically mission was used to define the acts of God under the heading of missio dei. There are three components of a theology of church planting listed by Stuart Murray in his Church Planting: Laying the Foundations. Church planting involves the missio dei, the incarnation and the kingdom of God. The missio dei cannot be reduced to evangelism, discipleship or church planting. It is part of the kingdom of God.
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God. The church is a place where kingdom activity takes place. Church planting is larger than evangelism and larger than discipleship. Stuart Murray agrees with Bosch (Witness to the World) that “for Protestants as others, mission came primarily to mean the planting of churches. This development was an important deviation from the pietistic view of mission as being first and foremost concerned with the saving of individual souls.” Murray and Paul Bowers see Paul’s mission as bringing into being Christian communities [churches]. Conversion meant incorporation into a community. The evangelistic endeavor for Paul was larger than evangelism. “For him it was a mission that embraced evangelism within an ecclesiastical intention.” His mission was devoted to the emergence of church communities but went beyond just starting churches. Paul revisited and wrote letters to them. He revisited to strengthen them (Acts 15:36, 16:1-5) and to nurture them as a father (1 Thess. 2:10-12). Paul’s letters also indicate his desire for their success and continuing growth in the faith. Paul writes of his great desire to see the Thessalonians (1 Thess. 2:17-18; 3:1-5, 10-11) and reports of finishing or fulfilling his role in one region before moving on to another un-evangelized region. To the Philippians Paul claims he is torn with a desire to remain with them for their sake (Phil. 1:24-27). We gather from Paul’s ministry that he was committed to founding churches that continued to thrive and have impact even multiplying disciples and leaders as in Ephesus (Acts 20:17).
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Paul’s ministry and Acts are not the sole indicators of a rationale for church planting. Murray says,

The New Testament can and should be read as a mission document … . Every strand of the New Testament teaching, it seems, can be mined for perspectives on church planting. This breadth of material clarifies why it is difficult to find a succinct biblical rationale for church planting.\(^{152}\)

The simple “proclaiming the gospel” that Paul uses to describe his missionary activity belies the scope of his activities. Beginning with evangelistic preaching and moving on to contending for a response and founding Christian communities that remained firm, Paul’s missionary vocation finds fulfillment in these well established churches.\(^{153}\) These churches were not all that was established. From a handful of churches in Paul’s day to hundreds within a century of Christ’s resurrection tells an even greater story. Stuart Murray says, “Self-propagation or reproduction is not just an admirable quality of some churches, but integral to the definition of the church.”\(^{154}\)

**Summary and Conclusions**

Jesus came into the world to save sinners or, to put it differently, to save the world. That means change. Change is both necessary and painful for a church that has been traumatized. When Wayman Mitchell accepted the pastorate of the Prescott Foursquare Church he understood change was necessary. The JPM was peaking in popularity and influence. It was centered on Christ, the Bible, conversions, the Holy Spirit, and the end times. Mitchell adapted the JPM strategies and principles to his own view of God’s call to bring about a transformation of the church and its ministry. The
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theological foundation or grounding of the transformation was found in Romans 12:1-2 and Matthew 28:16-20. Working from this theological grounding led to the Book of Acts and church planting.

Romans 12 provided the theological framework for the “new life” of believers leading as it does to the practical aspects of what kind of life a new believer is to live. The command to be transformed to a Christian lifestyle by renewal of the mind and the sacrifice of the body for service in the church were important elements incorporated in the CFM. Transformation of the lives of new converts and the older core of believers resulted in a new vision and hope in the congregation.

That new vision and hope moved them to see the Great Commission of Matthew 28 as their own mission. The scope of Matthew 28 encompassed the call to witness to the lost and to become followers of Jesus through a radical commitment and costly discipleship. Disciples sent into the world as evangelists brought about church planting as they saw in the book of Acts.

The theological grounding does not rest upon only a few scriptures. Transformation is found as a theme throughout Romans. It is echoed in 1:24, 25, 28; 2:18; and 6:13, 16, 19. The sacrifice of the body is echoed in Romans 6:6; 7:4, 24; 8:10, 11, 13, 23 and renewal of the mind in 7:23, 25.\textsuperscript{155} Change and new life are found throughout the scriptures in the numerous miracles such as the deliverance of the demoniac in Gadara and the transformation of the lives of the disciples as well.

The scope of the Great Commission is broad indeed. Making disciples implies that in going there is proclamation or evangelism calling for a decision about the reign of
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God. It implies witness and is connected to the Commission by Jesus in Acts 1:8. Calling is implied. Moses was called (Exod. 3:1-4, 16), Gideon was called (Judg. 6:11-21), Jeremiah was called (Jer. 1:1-4) and many other examples show clearly calling for service in the kingdom of God. Likewise, the disciples were called and hence the application today for followers of Jesus.

Jesus connects the Commission to the coming and empowering of the Holy Spirit in Acts 1:8 and Luke 24:47-49. Preaching repentance and forgiveness to all nations before the end of the age is empowered by the Holy Spirit. The eschatology implied in the Great Commission gives an urgency and priority to making disciples. The evangelism, witness, discipleship, new life, sending and church planting activities of the CFM flow out of an understanding of the Great Commission. It is not to be assumed that this is the sum of all that occurs in and through the CFM. It cannot be assumed that many other viable and necessary ministries of the CFM are invalid or ignored. The Great Commission and conversion to a new life began the work of renewing a broken and disillusioned church for service to their Lord and Savior. It all began very simply. As F. F. Bruce says, “Those who believed the good news carried it to others.”

Casual reading of the New Testament gospels might leave one with the impression that Jesus is ambivalent or even dismissive of marginalized peoples. Jesus says, “You will always have the poor with you (John 12:8),” “Go [only or exclusively] to the lost sheep of Israel (Matt. 10:5-6),” “Let the dead bury the dead (Luke 9:60),” and he
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intimates that those outside of the Hebrew community (and perhaps women generally) are “dogs (Mark 7:26).”

A careful reading, however, gives clear evidence of Jesus’ profound regard for marginalized peoples and those who minister to them. Ministry to the disenfranchised is so important that in the judgment account of Matthew’s end time discourse ministry to “the least of these” discriminates between the sheep and the goats (Matt. 25:45).

The poor and minority people groups are important elements in the world. These two large groups of marginalized peoples—the poor and minorities—are important to the theology of the continuing ministries out of the JPM. The JPM was largely white and male. Rising to prominence at nearly the same time was an alternative view of mission. These other groups have been impacted by Liberation Theology and are skeptical of churches and church life. They view these entities as white and representative of a Christianity that despises the poor and minority people maintaining a theology and culture of the status quo.

These liberation theologies see the church as an agent of social change and social justice. Their theologies find a theological grounding in the scriptures relating to human ethical behavior toward other humans. Matthew 25:45 is particularly important as are the references in the social order directives of Romans 12-15.

Black Liberation Theology began with a demand for aggressive action to eradicate racism in America on July 31, 1966. It was a call from 51 black pastors who saw the biblical story as a struggle for justice.\footnote{Barbara Bradley Hagerty, “A Closer Look at Black Liberation Theology,” in \textit{NPR News}, ed. Melissa Block (NPR, 2008).} Black liberation, however, has roots in the activities of A. Philip Randolph and Bayard Rustin. Randolph organized marches,
met with labor leaders, mayors, and President Roosevelt. His fame and moral qualities created a model for civil rights leaders. Bayard Rustin’s pacifism was a way of life and spearheaded demonstrations and sit-ins. Their activities in the twenties through the fifties established a political ground for liberation theology.159

The publication of James Cone’s *Black Liberation and Black Power*160 in 1969 and his *A Black Theology of Liberation* the following year put a voice to Black Liberation Theology. These books appeared essentially at the same time as the height of the JPM. Cone said in the preface to the 1970 edition of *A Black Theology*, “It is my contention that Christianity is essentially a religion of liberation.”161 James Cone was a disciple of Malcolm X and learned the theology of black liberation from Martin Luther King.162 Cone put the politics of liberation together with the religion of liberation.

Black liberation theology was grounded largely in politics and race. In Latin America Gustavo Gutierrez (schooled in Roman Catholic traditions) was establishing a theology of liberation that was a biblical theology of the poor. A 1968 conference in Medillin, Colombia is generally considered the beginning of liberation theology in Latin America. Gustavo Gutierrez is considered the father of Latin American Liberation Theology.163 Gustavo Gutierrez published his seminal work on liberation theology in 1971. It was translated into English in 1973. In the fifteenth anniversary edition (1988)


Gutierrez added a new introduction. In it Gutierrez defines Liberation Theology as “an expression of the right of the poor to think out their own faith.” In Latin America Gutierrez sees the Church as necessarily in the process of revolution and defined by political context rather than ecclesiastical. It is a theology grounded in Matthew 25 and Romans 12-15.

Of interest is the side-by-side rise of the Holy Spirit theologies of the JPM and liberation theologies in the 1970s. Pentecostal theologies and liberation theologies are often seen as hostile to one another. Liberation theologies are strongly praxis oriented and see God as identified with the present human community. Pentecostal theologies are thought to be eschatologically oriented with a concern for a transcendent God. Hence they are often viewed as theologies divorced from concern for the present human community. Liberation theology emphasizes a concrete spirituality of living before the Lord inspired by the Spirit. Spirituality is a dominion of the Spirit who will guide into all truth. Hence there is liberation because where the Spirit of the Lord is there is liberty (2 Cor. 3:17). It is living the Gospel. Liberation theologies are strongly tied to the political process and justice in terms of material things. There is no escaping the political nature of liberation and ministry. All theology is political. Even budgets are moral
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Liberation theologians understand concerns of the oppressed are not just special interest groups that lobby for beneficial legislation. The oppressed and marginalized, the poor and others have common interests that seek economic change from the bottom up and not the top down. Pentecostal theologies are more often tied to personal moral change. However, they also seek social change from the bottom up as well. It is not that these theologies are incompatible but that they focus on two aspects of what is needed by, as Jesus put it, the “least of these (Matt. 25:45).”

Miroslav Volf sees that Liberation and Pentecostal theologies are similar in one crucial aspect of their soteriology. Volf argues that both have a materialistic view of salvation. On the one hand Liberation theology seeks a commitment to end the historical-social inequality that has billions of humans suffering (materially) in inequitable living conditions. Pentecostal doctrine of divine healing is no less materialistic. Volf points to Guy P. Duffield and Nathaniel M. Van Cleave’s *Pentecostal Theology* to make his point. Volf says they claim divine healing is one-fourth of the Foursquare Gospel and is included in the atonement. Volf continues saying Pentecostals who have historically been concerned about body and soul are eager to help the needy but blind to social
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realities that keep people in bondage. They are becoming more open and aware of the societal dimensions of life.\textsuperscript{175} Volf does not say this idea is a total soteriology for either Liberation or Pentecostal theologians but a point of contact.

Jesus, obviously, does not see incompatibility between Matthew 28 and Matthew 25. Ministry based on the Great Commission is not necessarily exclusive or dismissive of obligations to minister to the poor and oppressed. The marginalized people and people groups that Jesus calls “the least of these.” (Matt. 25:40). The commission to the ends of the earth and to the end of the era is inclusive of all humankind and inclusive of all means (1 Cor. 9:22).
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CHAPTER THREE: LITERATURE PARADIGM

The theological and cultural identity of the CFM is rooted in the Jesus People Movement. Although the Jesus People Movement peaked in influence in the early 1970s there are at least eight ministries that remain viable today. Calvary Chapel Ministries remain a strong group usually having one or more of their churches in the top twenty largest churches in the United States. They are centered on the biblical teaching of Chuck Smith (1927-2013). The Vineyard Christian Fellowship remains strong with teachings of John Wimber, church planting and music ministries. The Gospel Outreach emphasized praxis of the Word but only a small remnant remains. Jesus People USA is well known but anchored today on their magazine Cornerstone and their Cornerstone Festival.

Maranatha Campus Ministries and Dove Christian Fellowship International still remain small but viable ministries. Hope Chapel and her daughter churches are still affiliated with the ICFG but are functionally independent. The Potter’s House Foursquare Church and the resulting Christian Fellowship Ministries (CFM), sometimes referred to as the Potter’s House Christian Fellowship (PHCF), is the case study of this paper.¹

The identity of the JPM provides a baseline for understanding these continuing elements of the JPM and the CFM. David Di Sabatino’s exhaustive bibliography of the movement led him to describe the revival’s spiritual theme as Christocentric,

bibliocentric, apocalyptic, primitivistic, and pneumacentric. Beliefs of the JPM concerning Christ include the virgin birth, death of Jesus as atonement for humanities sins, bodily resurrection, and physical return to earth to establish the kingdom of God. Jesus People were experimentalists spiritually but had a penchant for a literal reading of the Bible and felt they were the re-establishment of New Testament Christianity. The Jesus People were motivated eschatologically with a full expectation of the return of Jesus in their lifetime. There was an apocalyptic urgency affirming Käsemann’s dictum “the apocalyptic is the mother of all theology.” They were generally counter-cultural, sought ecstatic experience such as visions, speaking in tongues and gifts of the Holy Spirit, and believed in prayer. David Di Sabatino says that first and foremost the JPM was centered on salvation through an experience of faith in Jesus Christ as the “one way” to receive reconciliation and relationship with God.

Jesus People were Bible literalists. Miller notes the difficulty of definition here but recalling conversations with Vineyard pastors says the Jesus People had a biblical orthodoxy with a twist. For example one Vineyard pastor recounted that when he read the Bible it meant what it said. If it said, “love you enemies” it meant love you enemies. Another Vineyard pastor acknowledged that he found miracles a difficulty but also saw there was a biblical mandate to pray for the sick and so he did. As a result he saw people
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healed. He could not explain why or how people were healed and even reported the anomaly that when his faith was lowest he saw the most healings. The Bible was important to Jesus people. In a survey Miller found 32 percent of respondents said the Bible was the “actual” Word of God and a preponderant 65 percent said it was the “inspired” Word of God. Even though the tendency of Jesus People was to shun orthodoxy and be counter-cultural or anti-intellectual they saw Bible reading as important. Miller’s survey of 3500 Christians in churches with roots in the JPM found that thirty-two percent read the Bible daily and twenty percent said they did so more than once a day. Twenty-five percent said they read the Bible two or three times a week.

Miller’s study challenged his personal theological convictions. He had earlier written *The Case for Liberal Christianity* at a time when mainline churches were losing members at an alarming rate. The JPM was long gone. He counted himself lucky to still be a Christian. In the 1990s he received a grant to study the rapid growth of non-mainline churches. His study included 200 interviews with leaders of the churches and movements (primarily Calvary Chapels, Vineyard churches and Hope Chapels). He attended 200 church events, took field notes and surveyed numerous groups of Christians. These churches he identified as “New Paradigm Churches (NPC)” and labeled members “new paradigm Christians.” These new paradigm Christians had an assumption “that the Bible contains the narratives for understanding how God relates to humans.”
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faith that was “verified empirically, as the Bible becomes validated in everyday life.”

They saw that in the Bible people were healed and transformed morally by the leading of the Holy Spirit. They assumed that there was authority for them to see and experience the same things. “The Bible seems to assume authority for these individuals as they practice what it says and have prayers answered, see people healed, watch people being transformed morally, and experience the ‘leading’ of the Holy Spirit in their own lives.”

Miller found himself in the midst of people who did not just talk about miracles, healing and casting out demons, they were doing it.

Bustraan says his investigation of the JPM supports several claims. He says that the JP should be untethered from the Fundamentalist label. The JPM shares its theological roots with both Evangelicalism and Pentecostalism but are distinct in their theological expression. The Pentecostalism of the JPM was more accommodating to variations of ecclesial structure and their *praxis* contained a strong theological resemblance to American Pentecostalism in general. Bustraan’s conclusion is that theologically the JPM should be placed in the domain of American Pentecostalism.

These preliminary remarks establish a base line for the JPM and starting point for the theological and cultural identity of the CFM. The Prescott Foursquare Church in January of 1970 was a broken church. In the next twenty years certain principles were established within the CFM that remain important to the ministry. These were not unique to the CFM. There were popular books and articles that were useful in fashioning the transformation, discipleship and church planting of the CFM. This chapter will review the
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important themes of transformation, discipleship and church planting in the biblical literature.

**Biblical and theological perspectives on transformation**

Transformation in its common understanding means change. When transformation is used as a noun it means one thing is derived from another. When transformation is used as a verb it means a thing undergoes a change of form or nature.\(^\text{15}\) The Prescott Foursquare Church of 1970 was transformed from a broken institution and discouraged and possibly disillusioned congregation to one involved in discipleship and church planting.

The task of the church is to make disciples. This implies evangelism and conversion. Transformation requires a relationship between the disciple and the disciple maker. The relationship is a unique and vitally important one. Without it there can be no spiritual transformation or impartation of spiritual life into the disciple. Discipleship is meant to be a long-term, stable relationship that allows for spiritual growth and maturity—transformation. Although research in sociology, psychology and human physiology support the importance of relationships in many areas of society there needs to be additional research in the arenas of adult spiritual attachments.

**Spiritual Formation**

The popular book *Celebration of Discipline* by Richard Forster gave impetus and shape to what has come to be known as spiritual formation.\(^\text{16}\) Jeffrey Greenman says that
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the consensus of 2000 years is that spiritual formation is a “transformation” of heart, mind and spirit. It cannot be pursued in isolation but takes place in “the community of faith.”17 “Spiritual formation is our continuing response to the reality of God’s grace shaping us into the likeness of Jesus Christ, through the work of the Holy Spirit, in the community of faith for the sake of the world.”18 Jesus sends his witnesses into the world for the sake of the world and the genius of spiritual formation is in the capacity of a witness to be the salt of the earth and the light of the world—literally a city set on a hill.19 Eugene Peterson says, “The great weakness of North American spirituality is that it is all about us: fulfilling our potential, getting in on the blessing of God, expanding our influence, finding our gifts, getting a handle on principles by which we can get an edge on the competition. And the more there is of us the less there is of God.”20 Greenman sees spiritual formation as a transformation that occurs in a faith community that encourages, mentors and brings persons to a place of accountability for the purpose of fulfilling the Great Command of loving God and our neighbors. Spiritual formation or transformation is becoming a loving person. God calls for a renewing of the mind (Rom. 12:1-2) and commands a radical love (Mark 12:30).21 Spiritual formation is necessary to impact the world with the love of God. We are transformed to fulfill the Great
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Commission and the Great Commandment. These two commandments are sometimes viewed as mutually exclusive but both are necessary for believers.

In an analysis of the Vineyard Movement and spiritual formation, Vineyard missions expert Mark Fields and Vineyard pastor Stephen Summerell note that the spiritual formation of leaders will “ultimately contribute to the health and ongoing success of the churches mission in the world.”22 The self-identification of the Vineyard Movement is that they are a church planting movement.23 In Fields and Summerell’s analysis they find the sustaining factor for ministers is that they pay attention to their spiritual formation.

We have observed several points of intersection between personal spirituality and effective engagement in mission, two of which stand out. The first corollary is between a pursuit of spiritual formation and the potential for longevity in ministry and mission. When committed leaders consider leaving ministry due to burnout or lack of spiritual maturity, we have found one sustaining factor to be actively engaging in their own spiritual formation. The second is the importance of integrity, that as much as possible one’s life mirrors the message one proclaims. Followers of Jesus are called to be good news not only share it.24

Fields and Summerell say the “ongoing response to the Holy Spirit” in the Greenman definition of spiritual formation is a disconnect for many. They see in some a lack of connection between their understanding of God and what Jesus taught. Their view of God does not connect with what Jesus taught and what the Bible teaches. Their lifestyle is then not connected to the biblical teaching.25 “If leaders are struggling with caring for
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their own souls,” Fields and Summerell continue, “what do we imagine they are modeling to those they lead?”

A minister can only lead by what and who they are.

Spiritual formation or the lack of spiritual formation in the inner person is mirrored in how a person lives. The Vineyard definition of mission taken from Fuller Theological Seminary’s’ Charles van Engen is about crossing barriers to reconcile non-faith persons to themselves, to one another and to God. Following repentance they are gathered into churches for spiritual transformation by the work of the Holy Spirit.

Individual transformation occurs in churches for the transformation of the church and the world. It is not simply about being a member or acknowledging a confession. Transformation is not just for gaining spiritual knowledge. As Greenman says, “the purpose of theology is formation of mission.”

David J. Bosch (1929-1992) can properly be described as a missionary-scholar. He was raised in South Africa and was a student during the height of the apartheid crisis. As a member of the Dutch Reformed church in South Africa’s Cape Province, a pro-apartheid Afrikaner, and believing that blacks were simply part of the natural environment, Bosch had a sort of conversion when teaching a Sunday service for blacks. It was a lasting discovery that many of those blacks were also Christians. Convinced of a call to Christian service and particularly the mission field, he became a divinity student. He received two degrees, one in languages and the other in theology. He also began to question the morality of apartheid.

---
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After receiving his doctorate he became a Dutch Reformed Church (DRC) missionary to the Xhosa people in Transkei. His continued academic endeavor while a missionary distanced him from the mainstream DRC that still supported apartheid. He was denied a position as DRC professor in Pretoria. He became professor of missiology in 1972 at the University of South Africa where he served until his untimely death in an automobile accident in 1992. His ability in language (Afrikaans, English, German, Dutch, French and Xhosa), his traditional Western education in Switzerland under Oscar Cullman and Karl Barth, and his South African heritage and mission work made him an international person. This allowed him to be a connection between the World Council of Churches, the Lausanne Committee and the World Evangelical Fellowship. He was, therefore, uniquely qualified to write Transforming Mission.30

Transforming Mission is Bosch’s attempt to deal with defining mission in the current or contemporary world. He believes that this cannot be done without a firm grasp on the past twenty centuries of church, and in particular, mission history. He finds this important because of a crisis in missions he calls a malaise or failure of nerve in contemporary Christian missionary enterprise. The crisis faced in missions is because of a series of interconnected cultural phenomena that includes the preeminence of science and technology, a de-Christianized West, an acute sense of guilt in the West, increasing disparity between the rich and poor, and a younger generation that does not accept the norms of the past.31
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Bosch believes a grasp of history and the many “interim” definitions the church has held concerning evangelism and missions is necessary before any redefinition or transforming of mission is possible. The bulk of the text in Transforming Mission is a recounting of the history of missions. Bosch accomplishes this in two of the three main sections of the book covering about 60 percent of the text. The first of these sections covers the New Testament church. He treats the New Testament as a missiological document\(^{32}\) with particular attention to Matthew and the Great Commission, Luke-Acts as the gospel to the rich and poor and the letters of Paul as invitation to the world to join God’s community.

It is during the New Testament era that the church is finding itself as the unique community of God. That community, according to Bosch, is about evangelism or mission.\(^{33}\) Matthew is a mandate for the conflicted early Christian community not yet separate from Judaism. Those who view the law as important to Christian living are in conflict with those who desire to live by the Spirit.\(^{34}\) It is not a passion story with a long introduction. In Luke Bosch sees liberation but it is not just from law but liberation to a new life. Luke writes to a second generation of Christians whose enthusiasm is flagging. They have not seen the parousia as promised, and are increasingly hostile to the Jews.\(^{35}\) Salvation of those ‘outside’ is an important theme for Luke.
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Paul, Bosch summarizes, understood the church as a new community, although an interim one, that must be unique to accomplish its mission as a missionary community.36 Bosch, building on an earlier idea, sees Paul’s theology as coming from his praxis rather than from his theologia. Paul’s evangelism (the “Mother of Theology”)37 gave rise to his theology of the church. The church is unlike any other community or group because of its distinctive nature in three arenas. The righteousness of God is a gift to the church because individual believers do not exist in isolation. The church language of belonging or kinship speaks to the transcendence of social and cultural barriers so all may belong. And the church exists for the sake of the world. These mark the church as a unique social group unlike the Stoics, Epicureans or others.38 Bosch is making the point that the church operates by conduct and not by declaration or creedal membership. It is conduct that comes by the work of the Holy Spirit transforming believers.

It is in the second section about the history of missions that Bosch introduces the theme of transformation as a paradigm shift. In Thomas Kuhn’s The Structure of Scientific Revolutions a paradigm shift is a revolutionary change. Bosch says the idea is relevant because we live in an era of change.39 In a theological paradigm shift the old view does not completely disappear. It also possible in theological paradigm shifts something old might be rediscovered.40
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An Anthropological Perspective on the Theology of Transformation

By looking at cultures that more closely resemble the world of the New Testament authors a deeper understanding of transformation is possible. Paul Hiebert (1932-2007) was a professor of mission and anthropology and pastor and missionary in India. He was born in India to missionary parents and at his death was arguably the leading missiological anthropologist in America. Hiebert was able to fuse theology and anthropology in a unique and important way. He saw spiritual transformation as the work of God in sinners that makes them citizens of heaven. Transformation is also a work of God in the church or community of God’s people. Since it is a work of God we can see only vaguely the divine nature of transformation.41 In his view people are called to respond to an invitation to leave their false gods, the self-idolatry of wealth, pride, sex, and race and return to God their Creator and Lord. When they respond they are transformed and their spiritual change has earthly consequences that take place in their concrete history. It is both an individual and corporate change because people do not live outside of their culture.42 Hiebert sees that the Western mind views people without the corporate or communal connection and believes conversion is something people think or do individually. While verbally denying salvation by works this view ignores the work of God.43 Hebrew thought, however, operates in extrinsic or relational categories that are important to one’s understanding of transformation or conversion.44 In other words, one
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cannot be transformed without a corresponding change or transformation in the persons and circumstances of their life.

The Old Testament word and call to turn also implies a turn in relationship to persons and/or other things. Similarly the New Testament *metanoein* and *epistrephein* for conversion mean to turn around. Luke uses the more dynamic *epistrephein* and Paul uses *apostrephein* and *anastrephein* that, although Greek, carry a meaning of turning around and then walking. For Hiebert the more Hebrew and biblical view of transformation is both a point and a process. That is, it has a simple beginning of turning from wherever or whatever one is but it produces radical and lifelong consequences. Turning perhaps involves a minimal amount of information about Christ but changes one’s relationship with him to a commitment to follow him in a lifelong series of decisions after an initial turning.45 This view of transformation determines much about how we do missions. The mission of evangelism is far more than simply getting people to assent to particular truths.

When we begin to think about discipleship and church planting this becomes important to our understanding. This is illustrated in a mission project among African rainforest pygmies. Benno Van den Toren studied a work among pygmies in the Central African Republic that began in the 1950s. It appeared to have produced a number of churches with indigenous pastors. However, apart from the pastors and a few workers there was little growth and maturity in the converts. Mission work brought them to a confession of faith though they did not see themselves as sinners. Without downplaying the importance of confession Van den Toren found that after confession of faith and

45 Hiebert, 311.
baptism, new converts continued in traditional religion and practices that contradicted their Christian faith. What he discovered was a lack of discipleship and, importantly, the gospel they received did not relate to their everyday life. The confession was not based on conviction of sin or understanding of following Jesus but rather simply in answer to some questions that a Western missionary might ask.46

The pygmy converts understood a confession of faith was important—especially to the missionary—but were unable to translate that into a transformed lifestyle because the confession or point of turning was not contextualized. The confession “Jesus is Lord” must make sense in daily living.47 In getting a confession many feel the work is done. But transformation individually and hence corporately in a church or culturally must relate to the relationships of confessor. The rainforest pygmy culture is simple. It is about survival. After generations of being abused and enslaved by other tribes they have a deep insecurity. Their traditional religion encourages additional gods and ancestors in their worship and Jesus is, to their thinking, a god like all the rest. They are pragmatic. Religion must pay immediate dividends. The Christian principle of patient endurance is difficult to understand in a culture of day-to-day survival. Family structure is also important. Respect in the family and in the community come by having a skill (hunting, fishing, building, etc.) that contributes to the entire community.48 To further complicate the issue Bible translators used the name of a pygmy Traditional god (kamba) as the
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Transformation is not simply change and not simply a decision about words. The product of mission is a change in conduct that is meaningful individually and corporately. Everyone is a member of a community. Transformation of the community occurs as the members are transformed.\textsuperscript{50}

Rodney Lambert is a missionary of the CFM in Vanuatu, South Pacific. Vanuatu is the former New Hebrides and has a history of cannibalism (of missionaries) and a strong tribal/family structure. He reports the dynamic of salvation affecting one member also affecting the whole of the family or tribe. In some cases he has witnessed when a king (leader of a tribal or extended family unit) prays to receive Jesus the impact is nearly immediate and the whole tribe responds. He is not necessarily saying that they all suddenly become Christians but is noting the impact of one transformation on the whole.\textsuperscript{51}

David Bosch said we live in an era of change. This makes the idea of “paradigm shift”\textsuperscript{52} relevant, according to Bosch, for the “church and missions.”\textsuperscript{53} The JPM brought a paradigm shift into the religious atmosphere of American culture. It brought a contextualized gospel to a generation of young people. It was more than a confession. It brought a theology of \textit{praxis}. It was not their father’s religion it was theirs. What they believed found its way into their everyday lives. Bosch says evangelism is the “mother of
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theology.” When Paul writes to the Romans he fuses his theology of how a human becomes right with God to how that person is then expected to act in living the life of a believer.

Discipleship: The Crucial Process

Making disciples is the commission of the church. This assures the faithful reproduction of the core content and character of the church that is the result of Jesus’ commission to evangelize the nations. Dallas Willard in *The Great Omission* identifies the New Testament as, “a book about disciples, by disciples, and for the disciples of Jesus Christ.” He goes on, however, to lament the absence of real disciples, disciple making and discipleship in the church of today. The idea that we should make converts and sign them onto the rolls of church membership has subverted the process of making disciples. “Not having made our converts disciples, it is impossible [italics original] for us to teach them how to live as Christ lived and taught (Luke 14:26).”

Although discipleship has wide appeal and doctrinal credibility the place of the church in discipleship is increasingly being criticized. Frank Viola and George Barna have documented a critical view of the church and Barna has prophesied its demise into irrelevance as millions of attendees leave in favor of an alternative community. Barna estimates that at the turn of the century as many as twenty percent of Americans had a faith journey revolving around various cultural sources. This will increase to around
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thirty-five percent by 2025, leaving the local church as the primary means of spiritual “experience and expression” for only thirty to thirty-five percent of Americans.\(^{58}\) He says “revolutionaries” are drawing people away from local congregations. “Theirs is a personal choice based on a genuine desire to be holy and obedient, but finding that need better served outside the framework of congregational structures.”\(^{59}\) Other prominent leaders such as Brian McLaren have expressed deep reservations about the church as it is currently conceived in the western world.\(^{60}\) Reggie McNeal notes, “The single most challenging cultural shift facing many spiritual leaders involves the huge reorientation away from the church, a shift that has accelerated since the late 1980s.”\(^{61}\)

To their credit McLaren, Barna and McNeal are concerned for the faith once delivered to the saints. Nonetheless, the church is the vital structure for spiritual formation and therefore discipleship. In *Transforming Discipleship*, Greg Ogden quotes the late Ray Stedman, “The Life of Jesus is still being manifest among people, but now no longer through an individual physical body, limited to one place on earth, but through a complex, corporate body called the church.”\(^{62}\) Ogden himself says, “The Scriptures picture the church as an essential, chosen organism in whom Christ dwells; the reality is that people view the church as an optional institution, unnecessary for discipleship.”\(^{63}\)
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Chris Shirley argues the church is not irrelevant but required for making disciples. “What I would argue … is that the local church is the biblically-ordained and relevant vehicle for transformational discipleship.” The word disciple means being an adherent of someone, some teaching or philosophy. Discipleship implies being in a process of formation. Shirley says, “I would suggest that formation is the result of discipleship” and “although the word discipleship does not appear in the New Testament, the concept is implied through Jesus command in the Great Commission to make disciples.”

James C. Wilhoit argues that the process of spiritual formation takes place through the commonness of the community Christ has established, the church. He comments in his opening chapter, “Spiritual formation is the task of the church. Period.” and “The church was formed to form. Our charge, given by Jesus himself, is to make disciples.” He goes on to lament the “disquieting trend” that so many have “settled for secondary goals” that do not produce spiritually healthy disciples. Spiritual activity is taking place but it is not making disciples of Jesus Christ. Rather it is producing a “mediocre product.”

*Attachment: The Crucial Relationship*

As important as the church community is to the discipleship process, it is not the only ingredient in discipleship. The relationship of the twelve to Jesus was of obvious
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importance to their spiritual formation. H. Usener in *Organisation der Wissenschaft* noted,

> It has long been recognized that the Gk. Philosophical schools both at the time of their formation and in the manner of their operation were working fellowships under the decisive leadership of the master who formed their centre. There are also important reasons for assuming that this was true from the very first, and not just from the time of Plato and Aristotle.⁶⁹

From the beginning, then, there was an attachment of the disciple to a disciple maker.

The almost technical sense of the word [disciple], which implies a direct dependence of the one under instruction upon an authority superior in knowledge, and which emphasizes [sic] the fact that this relation cannot be dissolved, controls the whole usage, no matter whether the reference is to the winning of technical or academic information and skill.⁷⁰

In the first century discipleship was a common methodology for the formation of life in students of a master.

> “Antiquity knows the master-disciple in two forms. The first is in the sphere of philosophical culture, the second in that of cultic and religious activity. The two forms come together where philosophical and religious elements intersect in the person of the master. This may be seen at the time of early Christianity.”⁷¹

Attachment and loss might be considered a relatively new field of study. It came about as an extension of Freud and later Abraham Maslow’s⁷² ideas about motivational drives in humans. The idea that humans are moved by the physiological drives of sex, nourishment and warmth dominated psychology until the publication of *King Solomon’s*
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Following that book on imprinting in animals Desmond Morris and E. O. Wilson published popular books placing the framework of animal social behavior on human social patterns. The primary pioneer in the field was John Bowlby who according to Mario Mikulincer and Philip R. Shaver, “borrowed from ethology the concept of a behavioral system” that effectively organized “survival responses of an organism to changing environmental factors.” The concept of attachment is important in humans and discipleship because the attachment figures “are not just close, important relationship partners,” rather, “they are special individuals to whom a person turns when protection and support are needed.”

The definition of an attachment figure would narrow down to when a close relationship partner “provides (or is perceived as providing) a safe haven and secure base in times of threat or danger.”

Most of the studies done by Bowlby and his successor in the field were with children. The language of attachment theory reflects that pioneering work. However, the field has opened up and numerous studies dealing with adult relationships have been conducted. The field of romantic partners has certainly become a fruitful one. Other adult relationships have been studied, however, and are applicable to the relationship between

---


77 Mikulincer and Shaver.

78 Mikulincer and Shaver.
the disciple maker and the disciple. This relationship is the necessary one for spiritual transformation.

A. B. Bruce’s *The Training of the Twelve*, first published near the end of the nineteenth century begins by pointing out the difficulty of discovering how this all came about.

All beginnings are more or less obscure in appearance, but none were ever more obscure than those of Christianity. What an insignificant event in the history of the church, not to say the world, this first meeting of Jesus of Nazareth with five humble men, Andrew, Peter, Philip, Nathanael, and another unnamed! It actually seems almost too trivial to find a place even in the evangelic narrative. For we have here to do not with any formal solemn call to the great office of apostleship, or even with the commencement of an uninterrupted discipleship, but at the utmost with the beginnings of an acquaintance with and of faith in Jesus on the part of certain individuals who subsequently became constant attendants on His person, and ultimately apostles of His religion. Accordingly we find no mention made in the three first gospels of the events here recorded.79

Bruce calls the earliest disciples five “humble men” but that is reading much into the story. These soon to be disciples had issues similar to the ones faced in our generation. It seems reasonable to assume something akin to modern day issues in the disciples because of the biblical truth of the commonality of humankind: “No temptation has seized you except what is common to man (1 Cor. 10:13).” Biblically, the issues of the fall, the image of God, the dignity and destiny of humankind all apply universally. The images of the Twelve in the gospels tell us they were fearful, prone to peer pressure, prejudiced, uncomprehending, ambitious and argumentative as much as any person today. At least one was not just capable of betrayal but actually carried it out.

We also know some specifics. We know the fishing contingent among the disciples was at least part of the time unsuccessful and even discouraged with their

production. We know that at least one of the disciples, Simon the Zealot, was a political malcontent, an ex-zealot of a rebel named Judas whose political ambitions were the overthrow of the Roman government adopting the watchword, “We have no Lord or Master but God.”\(^{80}\) We know of another disciple that must have experienced the rejection of his brethren. He was a publican or tax collector. Collecting taxes for the occupying Roman government was a despised occupation. The Jews considered such work tantamount to being a traitor. Matthew was one of three Publicans to come to Jesus and he became one of the Twelve. Simon and Matthew come from opposite poles of the social scale. One worked for the Roman government and the other vowed to take it apart by force. The irony was not lost on Bruce.

It gives me pleasant surprise to think of Simon the zealot and Matthew the publican, men coming from so opposite quarters, meeting together in close fellowship in the little band of twelve. In the persons of these two disciples extremes meet – the tax-gatherer and the tax-hater: the unpatriotic Jew, who degraded himself by becoming a servant of the alien ruler; and the Jewish patriot, who chafed under the foreign yoke, and sighed for emancipation.\(^{81}\)

Bruce was disturbed, however, by the idea that these twelve are considered by some to be “all but useless.”\(^{82}\) In defending the Twelve’s character he notes they were obscure but not useless. Not all became Peters or Johns but thankfully there was a diversity of gifts. Again Bruce says, we cannot simply assume because there are so few facts.\(^{83}\) John MacArthur calls them “twelve ordinary men”\(^{84}\) and William Barclay simply
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calls them “Chosen.” But “unschooled, ordinary men (Acts 4:13)” does not mean they were more susceptible to sin, vices and failure. They were definitely not useless. Scripture does at least tell us they were people with the same kinds of issues that press upon every generation.

The presence of Matthew and Simon the Zealot in the apostolic band tells us at a minimum that Jesus discipleship was able to connect with a diversity of people with varying degrees and kinds of human problems. It is not just the diversity of the apostolic band that informs us of Jesus’ ministry. Jesus ministry also encountered women. Some were considered the dregs of society such as Mary Magdalene, the woman at the well in Samaria and a woman caught in adultery. Jesus also encountered some of the elite of society such as Nicodemus (John 3) and Joseph of Arimathea (John 19:38). These encounters show us clearly Jesus was not entrenched in making categorical assumptions about people. In all of these events there was a possibility of becoming a follower of Jesus. Not everyone responded well but Jesus was able to communicate effectively and lead him or her to decisions vital to life. In contrast the Pharisees and religious schools of that era were exclusive. Jesus was inclusive, not exclusive.

_The Relationship of Pastor and Disciple—Imitation_

William Willimon says, “Picture this: Would I begin a homiletics class by saying, ‘The goal of this class is for you to imitate me?’” He continues, “No. It strikes us as the height of conceit.” But, this is exactly the issue of discipleship as Jesus did it. His call to
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discipleship was to be with him and be like him.\textsuperscript{87} Willimon relates, “At a faculty retreat a few years ago, one of my colleagues asked, ‘Does it bother any of you that some of our students are sexually promiscuous, that some are indulging in self-destructive and addictive practices?’\textsuperscript{88} He uses the question to make a basic but profound point about discipleship. Paul makes it clear. To the Corinthisans he writes, “Even though you have ten thousand guardians in Christ, you do not have many fathers, for in Christ Jesus I became your father through the gospel. Therefore I urge you to imitate me (1 Cor. 4:15-16).” Paul is contrasting the teacher relationship (translated “guardians”) with that of being a follower (“imitate me”) and then adds, “For this reason I am sending to you Timothy, my son, whom I love, who is faithful in the lord. He will remind you of my way of life in Christ Jesus, which agrees with what I teach everywhere in every church (1 Cor. 4:17).” These statements go beyond a student-teacher relationship. There is an invitation to be like the teacher. To the Philippians Paul invites them to, “Join with others in following my example, brothers, and take note of those who live according to the pattern we gave you (Phil. 3:17).”

To the Thessalonians Paul uses the verb mimeomai to describe their experience in the gospel. “You became imitators of us and the Lord; in spite of severe suffering, you welcomed the message with the joy given by the Holy Spirit (1 Thessalonians 1:6)” and, “For you brothers, became imitators of Christ’s churches in Judea, which are in Christ Jesus: You suffered from your own countrymen the same things those churches suffered from the Jews, who killed the Lord Jesus … (1 Thess. 2:14-15).” And the same verb is
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used in Hebrews 6:12, “We do not want you to become lazy, but to imitate those who through faith and patience inherit what has been promised.” W. E. Vine says this word is always used in a good sense and in a continuous form signaling a definitive act in the past (conversion) and teaches that what we became at conversion we must continue to be “thereafter.”

In this New Testament language we have something far greater and more important than a casual relationship, common acquaintance, teacher-student relationship, or a master slave relationship. There is uniqueness to the disciple maker-disciple relationship. The father-son language in contrast to pedagogue-student language tells us something akin to a genetic transfer of life occurs. It is not simply transferring of the pedagogue’s notes onto the notebooks of the students. Willimon’s point is that until we are willing to say we want you to be ‘like’ us we are really just asking the students to take good notes, study hard, and pass the exams. This may relieve the teacher or professor of responsibility for the student’s behavior but it is not discipleship. Disciples are not being transformed because they are not required to do so.

The issue for Willimon is the product of the church—the disciple of Christ. What does the church produce? “For the little band of Christians at Philippi, constantly in danger of seduction by the majority pagan culture, there was no better textbook than the lives of those who bore the burden of leadership. Discipleship still depends on identifying examples, saints, people worthy of imitation.” It is important to note, “The world is quite right in judging Christianity by the lives it produces. Lacking changed lives, we
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pervert the gospel into a cerebral exercise. But Christianity is a lifestyle, the following of someone headed in a direction one would not normally go. “Discipleship is about following the Christian life of another person. We learn best by following an example. Willimon uses the example of learning to ride a horse. “One learns to ride a horse by watching someone who is good at riding, by being led step-by-step by that person, and by imitating her moves.”

Paul Hiebert and Discipleship

Paul Hiebert, long term missiologist and missions writer, tells of the South Indian proverb of the banana and the banyan. He points out that in South India there are huge trees that dot the landscape. These banyans grow to tremendous stature and elegance. Air roots drop to the ground; they develop secondary trunks and buttresses to support their massive size. They become so massive that they cover as much as an acre of real estate. Birds nest in them. Animals and humans find shade and protection there. An entire ecosystem can reside in, on, and among their branches and shade. At the same time there are bananas that grow not as tall but bear a popular fruit. The uniformity of the banana’s ecosystem supports few species. However, while the banyan is spreading its huge branches, while it is building the buttresses that support its massive size and while it is dropping down its air roots for nourishment, the banana within six months begins to put out new sprouts that begin to grow. Within six months these sprouts are putting out new sprouts. At eighteen months bananas appear on the original plant. Meanwhile the other sprouts are continuing the cycle. The difference between the banana and the banyan,
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however, comes at death. When the banyan dies it leaves a barren landscape. The 
nutrients of the soil have been used. Nothing has grown within its sphere of influence. Its 
shade has been too dominant to allow anything else to take root or grow beneath its 
branches and the ecosystem it supported has to move on. Members find another shelter or 
perish from exposure. The banana, on the other hand, has produced dozens of additional 
banana trees. A transfer of life from generation to generation has occurred. The Indian 
proverb says, “Nothing grows under a banyan tree.”93

Hiebert uses the illustration to contrast leadership styles. Many very good leaders 
have great ministries but when they pass from the scene there are no leaders to step into 
their shoes. This is the core of discipleship for Hiebert. It is not merely imitation nor is it 
mere following. There must be transformation. Discipleship is about transformation. As 
Hiebert points out, “It is gratifying to train followers. They are an appreciative audience 
who make us feel important. They imitate our ways. They do not challenge our thinking or go beyond our teaching.” He says, “It is easy to train followers. We decide what they should learn and how they should learn it.”94 Indeed the making of disciples is a different 
matter than gathering a crowd or getting people to be followers or imitators. There must be inward transformation that matches the outward conformity.

The training of leaders (disciples who will make disciples) is “less rewarding for our egos.”95 These people are transformed and carry the DNA, so to speak, of what has made the disciple maker a disciple maker. The disciple maker must involve disciples in
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real tasks. They must have real ministry and have the potential and possibility of failing. Hiebert points out that this process of training leaders produces transformation at every level of their life and lifestyle. “Spouses who encourage their husbands . . . to be leaders develop family styles of mutual submission. Parents who build their children as leaders begin early to teach them to think.” And the same goes for pastors and disciple makers who encourage and challenge: they establish strong churches that survive crisis and produce strong leaders.

Paul’s contrast of father and pedagogue, Willimon’s insight into teaching that produces examples for the world, and Hiebert’s comparison of leaders and followers, all point to a unique relationship in the Kingdom of God between the disciple maker and the disciple. It is a relationship that begins at some point and continues through the ministry of the pastor and the disciple. Discipleship also involves a healing process. Many converts have past relationship issues that have left them wounded. These wounds hinder the formation of relationships that are necessary for discipleship. Neil Anderson, in his book *Discipleship Counseling*, after dealing with how to overcome bitterness, rebellion, habitual sin and a number of other issues comes to the issue of ancestral sin. Ancestral sin is the idea that something spiritual is transmitted from generation to generation. He says, “The last issue that needs to be resolved is ancestral sins that are passed on from one generation to another . . . .This is a crucial step for those people who come from dysfunctional families or families involved in cults or the occult.”
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He is talking about former attachments that hinder an attachment to God and an attachment to a pastor or disciple maker. Can sin be inherited? Anderson answers, “No, but dispositions (genetic, environmental and spiritual)” may be. He points to Jeremiah 32:17-18: “[You] . . . bring the punishment for the father’s sins into the laps of their children after them.” Anderson comments that Jesus does not let the generation that rejects him miss this lesson (Matt. 23.32, 34-36). Attachments have a profound effect on life.

The relationship between the pastor/leader (disciple maker) and the disciple is unique and vitally important to personal success. Paul Stanley and Robert Clinton found after reviewing the lives of 600 leaders that most do not end well.

Research on mid-career, contemporary leaders led to another conclusion – other individuals helped most of these men and women in timely situations along the way. We do not yet know if they will finish well, but their relationship to another person significantly enhanced their development. Most case studies listed three to ten significant people who helped shape their lives. And what is true of these leaders is also true of us.

Their research focused on mentoring but it certainly fits discipleship. Richard Nixon spent most of his post-presidency career writing about politics. In Leaders he writes about his experiences with many of the leaders throughout the world. He makes a point about transitions similar to the point of Hiebert’s Indian proverb. About Churchill’s return to power at 76 years of age Richard Nixon writes, “It was also assumed he would turn over the reigns to his chosen successor, Anthony Eden. But for . . . an old man,
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[giving up power and position] can be the same as giving up life itself.”\textsuperscript{100} He goes on to comment that De Gaulle, Churchill and Adenauer all had trouble allowing a successor and in fact put down those destined to succeed them.\textsuperscript{101} “It is a truism of leadership that great leaders rarely groom younger men because they are so captivated by their own accomplishments that they cannot imagine anyone taking their places.”\textsuperscript{102} Douglas MacArthur was a notable exception. His post war appointee Shigeru Yoshida led Japan to fruitful policies that extended from 1957 to 1972 through his successors Nobusuke Kishi, Hayato Ikeda, and Eisaku Sato. The last two were graduates of the Yoshida School.\textsuperscript{103} MacArthur and Yoshida were men who trained leaders. They discipled them so that what was in them became part of what was in their successors. Relationships are vital in every arena of life from political to spiritual. Destiny and transformation are tied to relationships.

**Church Planting and Mission**

The New Testament records the missionary activities of Paul that resulted in new churches. It appears obvious that church planting is part of the mission of God and that the church should be involved. The Director of the School of Cross-Cultural Mission of the Sydney Missionary and Bible College (Sydney, Australia) Richard Yates Hibbert writes, “Church planting, while not the ultimate goal of mission, is the primary means of bringing in the blessings of the kingdom.”

He continues,

\begin{flushright}
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In summary, both the church and kingdom are brought about by *missio Dei*, preaching the kingdom seems to be a synonym for evangelism and church planting, and although the kingdom is the final goal of God’s mission, the church is the way and means by which he is accomplishing that purpose now.  

In the gradually unfolding revelation of the New Testament Hibbert sees church planting as implied in the Great Commission. “Under the influence of the Holy Spirit, churches appear as the natural consequence, and God’s intended result of proclaiming the gospel.” The Antioch church provides a model for churches and was the focal point for the critical decision to allow incorporation of Gentiles in the churches. The planting of churches has been a characteristic of missions since the apostolic age.

Stuart Murray has directed the church planting and evangelism at Spurgeon’s College and developed a Church Planting course for Baptist and other ministers. He is deeply involved in city ministries. He writes that though you can argue that the facts of church planting speak for themselves and no biblical foundation is needed there is still a demand for one. “The subject of church planting . . . is peripheral rather than central in the New Testament, whereas the kingdom of God is arguably the central theme of Jesus’ teaching and the integrating paradigm for the mission of the church.” The terms church planting, evangelism, mission and discipleship are many times used interchangeably or almost as synonyms. Other times they are used in conjunction with mission in such a way as to reduce mission to one defining element. Mission is not just soul winning. Mission is
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not just proclamation. Murray says mission is not just a “recruitment drive.” It is obedience to the will of God and God’s purposes to as Savior of the world. Hibbert and Murray both see the church and discipleship as necessary to the mission of God. Jesus loves the church and calls it his bride (Eph. 5:23-27). Jesus has established the church as his work on the earth (Matt. 16:18).

Hibbert summarizes the necessity of community. Acceptance by God means acceptance by the church (Rom. 15.7 and Phil. 4:2-3). It is when believers are together they can grasp the love of God and know that all are branches of the same vine, building, and body of Christ. Jesus called the disciples to fellowship and to follow him in solidarity with him (1 John 1:1-3). Acts of justice and kindness cannot have impact unless they occur in the context of the church community. Local churches are a tangible expression of the heavenly kingdom of God and the reality God uses to make known his manifold wisdom (Eph. 3:10).

Church planting is a reality of the New Testament. Hibbert uses the Malphurs definition of church planting as a “planned process of beginning and growing new local churches.” The controversy comes into play when the functional aspects or expression of mission are considered. The former editor and prolific writer Carl F. H. Henry pointed out the issues.

Perhaps no problem has distressed the modern churches more than determining the legitimacy of claims made upon Christian loyalties by champions of personal
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evangelism on the one hand and by those who call the church to social involvement on
the other. These tensions now vex the church as never before in recent history. Carl
Henry says, “The tension between personal religion and social engagement is not, of
course, characteristic of every movement or ideology.”¹¹³ Without playing down either
side he notes that God’s desire in the New Testament is both personal and societal. God
seeks to extend his kingdom and holy purpose throughout the creation.¹¹⁴ In the Old
Testament the question was how is personal holiness preserved in the midst of national
culture. In the New Testament the question is about the affect of the socio-political
thought on personal religion. In the Hebrew theocracy the question related to how one
maintains a vital personal relationship with God. In our modern/postmodern era of
individuality the question is how to promote social change through the church and not
political governments.¹¹⁵ Henry traces the tension to three issues. Modernism was
objectionable to churches because it sacrificed transcendence and forfeited the miracle
dimension of Christianity. Modernism and liberal theology view social change in terms
of evolution. History was evolving and this non-evangelical persuasion that viewed
humankind as good caused a reaction in Protestant Fundamentalism that asserted the
necessity of a new birth. Third, many seeking social involvement aligned themselves with
a socialist outlook. The result is a withdrawal of churches from social constructs and a
social interest that was motivated only as far as an evangelistic by-product.¹¹⁶ Carl Henry

¹¹⁴ Henry, 4.
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was concerned about this dynamic in 1947 when he wrote *The Uneasy Conscience of Modern Fundamentalism*.\(^{117}\) Henry’s 1972 paper was at the height of the JPM that was becoming untethered from fundamentalism. It was a time of reasserting conservative Christianity but Jesus People were largely socially liberal. Henry’s plea was that young people could be challenged to seek social justice. This was also a time when liberation theologies were challenging the religious environment.

The tension remains today. Paul had to remind the early Christians that their personal salvation and relationship to God did not negate the importance of God’s just purposes.\(^ {118}\) Rodney Stark sociological research notes that importance in the light of evangelism and church planting.

The truly revolutionary aspect of Christianity lay in moral imperatives such as “Love one’s neighbor as oneself,” and “Do unto others as you would have them do unto you,” “It is more blessed to give than to receive,” and “When you did it to the least of my brethren, you did it unto me.”\(^ {119}\) The inconsistent behavior of the gods and goddesses of the pagan world could not motivate the ethical behavior Christianity generated. The cities of the New Testament world were rife with crime and sickness, subject to natural disaster and social chaos. This made Christianity radically different and a source of moral power in the pagan world of the first and second centuries.\(^ {120}\)

\(^{117}\) Henry,

\(^{118}\) Henry, 5.


The church is God’s agent in evangelism and it is the nature of the church to grow and reproduce.\textsuperscript{121} Paul W. Chilcote and Laceye C. Warner note the overlap of terms regarding church planting, church growth and evangelism. They affirm that evangelism is the heart of church mission and it is also the core of ecclesiastical practice.\textsuperscript{122} Evangelism occurs in the midst of numerous church practices such as administration, education, pastoral care, worship and hospitality. Though evangelism occurs among these many interconnected practices it remains a core component of discipleship.\textsuperscript{123}

*Principles of Church Planting*

God has shown himself to be a God of pattern and purpose. Moses was divinely provided a pattern of heavenly things and told to build a tabernacle according to the plan shown him (Exod. 26:30, Heb. 8:5). Paul wrote to the Philippians, “Dear brothers and sisters, pattern your lives after mine, and learn from those who follow our example (Phil. 3:17 NLT).” We are not free to change the pattern of life God ordained simply because we believe circumstances or cultures have changed. The biblical admonition is “Do not remove the ancient landmark (Prov. 22:28 NKJV).” God has established boundaries and patterns. Paul reasoned in Athens God “has made from one blood every nation of men to dwell on all the face of the earth, and has determined their preappointed times and the boundaries of their dwellings, so that they should seek the Lord, in the hope that they might grope for Him and find Him (Acts 17:26-27 NKJV).”

\textsuperscript{121} Howard A. Snyder. *Liberating the Church: The Ecology of Church and Kingdom* (Downers Grove, IL: Inter-varsity Press), 1983
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In a critical analysis of Christianity Rene Padilla says the church can adopt a configuration that is not suitable for revival. Padilla discusses how the Latin American church has been co-opted by consumer society. He says that today the basic questions of human life cannot be discussed and Christianity cannot count on help from society at large to maintain and pass on to others Christian values. Today being a Christian is for a heroic minority. Pastoral work now vacillates between ministry to a minority of true believers or to a mass of falsely committed “consumer” Christians. The demands of discipleship are reduced to a minimum. The pastoral minister lives in fear that if the true minority becomes the focus of pastoral energy then the uncommitted majority will be surrendered to the world. Padilla says, “The church is not ready to depend exclusively upon the gospel.”

The current atmosphere and culture of the world has reduced the gospel to simply a message. It is a spiritual message to be sure but it is still just a message. It is a message that says all that is necessary is to accept Jesus as an all-sufficient Savior. This separates Jesus as Savior from Jesus as Lord. Churches can count people for the sake of statistics on a bare minimum of confession or they can count Christians on the basis of the committed, engaged, and genuinely involved. “The church has only two alternatives in its confrontation with the world: either it adapts itself to the world and betrays the Gospel, or it responds to the Gospel and enters into conflict with the world.”
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Walter Brueggemann says the core task is to take a confrontational tone against alien spiritual powers. He says the quarrel between evangelization and social action is cheap and uninformed. Commenting on Jesus sending the disciples as sheep among wolves (Matt. 10:16-20), he says they will evoke deep hostility and be in trouble with those in authority as a normal state. It is understood that the intention of God sending disciples into the world is for the creation of an alternative community in the midst of conventional and non-transformed communities. The gospel penetrates hostile environments when proclaimed in the power of the Holy Spirit. However, our culture is reluctant to proclaim or adhere to that proclamation. Bosch claims the disciples were equally reluctant and did mission as a result of an “inner law of their lives” obtained at Pentecost. “Our culture seems to take the position that believing deeply in the tenets of one’s faith represents a kind of mystical irrationality [persons] would do better to avoid.”

Padilla sees the issues and conflicted character of many churches. It is not the pattern of unity that Christ and the New Testament advocate. The biblical pattern is not a western, eastern, Latino, or African liturgical one. The New Testament drama informs us that proclamation of the gospel results in converts, disciples, and new churches. Six times

---


129 Brueggemann, 225.

130 Brueggemann, 227.


Acts documents that the churches or disciples were multiplied. The New Testament also informs us that it brings conflict with entrenched religious, political, and social power structures. The gospel is more than a confrontation with a disbelieving culture. It is a confrontation with personal sin. It is easier to see evil as something inherent in human systems, government, capitalism, industrialization, or organizations. Evil in the world, however, is not merely systemic.

To think of evil as only systemic is erroneous, just as it is wrong for us to consider evil as only personal. Evil is headed by the devil, and he has legions. He is able to affect and infect individuals because he has the raw material with which to work—sinners. … Individuals who are infected also infect society as they submit to the schemes of the devil (Eph. 6:11). Christians are in a battle, a fight, … especially those on the front line.¹³³

Andrew Blackwood, the Presbyterian minister, also understood the difficulty of presenting the gospel in a hostile environment. It is the issue of personal sin that triggers resistance and argument or even a battle.

The minister must deal with souls, and, however sensitive, the issue of sin. He represents men before God and God before men. . . . Sin means wrong relations or lack of right relations. This “lack of rightness” involves wrong relations with others and within man himself. This is what makes ministry difficult—people have “sin” in relation to others as well as to God . . . Here is an issue with much unavailing prayer because to come to the presence of God means we must deal with issues of sin. Sin that so easily besets us … and separates us from God.¹³⁴

Jesus is a counter-cultural Christ.¹³⁵ “To say that sin is personal … is not to say that its consequences are limited to the individual. … On the contrary, that which is

¹³³ Conn and Ortiz, 360.


personal is intrinsically related to that which is collective. … Every personal action affects the community. Personal sin brings with it collective guilt.”

Clearly there is much wrong with the evangelical church world. Competition for finances and market share in a consumer society are evils to be reckoned with. Nonetheless a reactionary approach will not see biblical Christianity any more than a laissez faire attitude about the evils of the church world. Jesus said he would build his church. Just claiming that two or three gathered together is a church falls short of the definition of a church. The church has distinctive marks that make it a church. A sign over the door admittedly does not make it Christian or a church. Churches preach the gospel, keep the commands of baptism and a community meal remembering the Lord, and hold their members accountable for the testimony of Christ. Churches are gatherings of the redeemed people of God, called out to hear from God and receive direction for their lives.

The local church possesses the incredible reality of the Christian experience. Christianity is about relationships. It is not some cloud of theological jargon but a group of people who know each other, meet regularly to hear from God, and enjoy Jesus’ and each other’s presence. Jesus walks among these actual believers. The church sets the course of society. This assembly, the local church, is afforded incredible dignity and has the wherewithal to accomplish God’s purposes and destiny on the earth. Neither the mega-church with thousands of members nor the small church of a few members is unseen and unimportant to God. God is at work in these churches (congregations) to bring about his harvest, bring disciples to maturity, and to raise the resources necessary
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both financially and in personnel to accomplish God’s will. The church is the logical result of evangelism and the preaching of the gospel. If you want to make the Christian experience real, make it local. Or, put another way, if you want to contextualize the gospel and the Christian experience make it local. Make it work with real people in real time in real locations. The local church has responsibilities in God’s purposes.

The first responsibility of the local church is reaping the harvest. The responsibility of the local congregation is to make the presence and reality of God known on the local level. The church is a colony of heaven and the truth, the light of the gospel, is to shine as light in the present age. The local congregation is a model of the self-propagating, self-sustaining, and self-governing kingdom of God. It is the pattern of things in heaven.

The second responsibility of the local church is the training of workers, leaders, and ministers. Discipleship occurs within an assembly of believers. Disciples in the local assembly are given genuine ministry. They have opportunity to deal with living souls, with real-life problems, and with real-life consequences. It is the local church that raises, recognizes and launches disciples in ministry. “Too often a headquarters mentality exists.” The sermons and programs come from headquarters but the local minister’s circumstances may or may not have something to do with what headquarters demands. Paul and Barnabas were recognized and sent from the local congregation in Antioch (Acts 13).

The third task of the local assembly is the financing of the gospel. Self-support is a great spiritual dynamic. When Bakke established the work in Chicago he made two
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decisions. One, the ministers were those in the pews. It was a lay ministry. This is the heart of the New Testament church. All are ministers. Second, he decided he was not working for free. It was important for the people in the pews to support the work of the ministry. “I could not pastor people who were not paying me, because that would have been turning my back on them. Their powerlessness would have been reinforced if their pastor had been paid by and accountable to outsiders.”

Churches that fulfill these tasks are truly indigenous. Talking about urban ministry Conn and Ortiz try to define the word indigenous. “[W]hen we speak of indigenous leaders we mean those raised up in an urban context in a particular cultural and sociological milieu, who consider this context their own, psychologically and sociologically. Indigenous leaders find the city home, they have no ties . . . that they have to overcome to remain in this urban setting.” An indigenous church is very much like that. It has been established in a sociological, psychological and cultural context that is home. This is the logical and best training ground for leaders. They know the people, the hardships, the limitations, the lifestyle, and the sense of destiny they all share. Biologically, indigenous simply means from within. From within the local congregation come the harvest of souls, the disciples and ministers, and the resources for accomplishing God’s will.

Reflecting on the church and culture, Alan and Debra Hirsch say “The fact is that you can’t be a disciple without being a missionary: no mission, no discipleship. It’s that
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simple."\textsuperscript{141} Alan is the director of Forge Ministries Training Network and Debra is a minister with the church planting agency Christian Associates International. They continue saying, “What we have gained in relevancy we lose in witness. … Though popular culture holds tremendous potential for good, unfortunately today’s trend is toward a diversionary, mindless, celebrity-driven superficiality.”\textsuperscript{142}

The aim of church planting is the perpetuation of disciples. It is not the perpetuation of a program. Fads, programs, heresies, and structures come and go. The local church remains. History lets us see happens when the local church stops producing disciples and planting churches. In modern Turkey one can visit the “sites” of the seven churches of Revelation. What is stunning is nearly the entire nation is Moslem, not Christian. This is the region Paul ministered in. The churches built by Christians are in ruins or they have been converted into mosques. The largest Christian structure in the ancient world was a church built in Constantinople (modern Istanbul). It was for many years a mosque and is now a museum of Moslem history. The perpetuation of disciples is not the same as academic instruction. It certainly has a doctrinal component but it is an impartation of life from faith to faith. Making the gospel real to a local population or community is the aim of pioneering.

Walter Brueggemann says that discipleship and church planting are not about recruitment.

It is the character, reality, will, and purpose of God that propels us into a crisis of discipleship and evangelism. The dominant script of our society wants to silence the voice of this God of miracle and imperative. Where the dominant script succeeds in eliminating God, moreover, the possibility of discipleship and the

\textsuperscript{141} Alan Hirsch and Debra Hirsch. \textit{Untamed: Reactivating a Missional Form of Discipleship}. Kindle ed. (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books), Location 245.
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capacity for evangelism evaporate, because it is only the option of the good news that produces ground and opportunity for either discipleship or evangelism.143

The God who sends sends with a compelling authority.144 It is not just a nice idea of membership and learning. “It entails a resituating of our lives.” The church has a negative issue with the world—it is false. The church has a positive issue—God is “back in town.”145

Transformation, discipleship and church planting are crucial to the purposes of God. Through these the gospel is made a visible and viable reality to a world that is antagonistic or ignorant of the goodness of God.

143 Brueggemann, 230.
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CHAPTER FOUR: COLLECTING DATA

A variety of data was collected for this qualitative case study of the Christian Fellowship Ministries (CFM). Personal interviews and attendance at events provided primary data. The embedded nature of the author within the CFM provided access to a number of persons familiar with the CFM. It also provided access to data from other materials such as video and audio recordings of sermons, Bible studies and seminars. Written documents and literature were also used in research.

A Mixed Case Study

Generally a qualitative case study seeks to answer the “how” or “why” question.\(^1\) Robert K. Yin says the focus of a case study is on a “contemporary phenomenon within a real-life context.”\(^2\) Yin continues, saying, “The distinctive need for case studies arises out of a desire to understand complex social phenomena.”\(^3\) The CFM arose out of the Jesus People Movement and the complex social issues of a church that had experienced a moral failure in the pastorate. This case study seeks to describe key issues of the Prescott Foursquare Church in its growth and ministry to become the Christian Fellowship Ministries. The growth and ministry began in the complex social phenomena of the 1970s and the Jesus People Movement.

The social phenomena of the 1970s and the following decades led the author to pursue a mixed case study. Following the guidelines of John W. Creswell the author chose to seek phenomenological data. Creswell distinguishes a narrative study that
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involves a single individual and a phenomenological study that describes the meaning for several individuals in their “lived experiences”.\textsuperscript{4} This study begins with one individual and one church and expands to include other individuals and churches important to understanding the growth of the CFM. It is a “hermeneutical phenomenological”\textsuperscript{5} case study that describes the lived experience of those involved.

The phenomenological aspect of the study presented challenges to the author. The embedded nature of the author within the CFM was both a positive and negative part of the research. It allowed a common understanding between the author and the subjects of the project. It also meant that a dimension of bracketing—the suspending of personal reflections and conclusions—had to be incorporated in the research.\textsuperscript{6} The author has chosen to bracket to the degree possible the author’s personal experiences. Personal experience remained important in choosing the types of data to pursue and what data was more valuable and useful to the project.

**The Collecting of Data**

Several different types of data needed to be collected for the project. The different types of data meant using different means of obtaining it. Collecting the data was a relatively straightforward process. There were a couple of complicating factors. One was the travel and time involved in visiting and churches and attending certain events. The other was the factor of the researcher being embedded in the CFM meant keeping personality and experience from skewing the data.


\textsuperscript{5} Creswell, 59.
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It seems appropriate to establish here the degree of the author’s experience and involvement in the CFM. The author became part of the CFM in 1976 and was first a disciple within the Sierra Vista, Arizona, Foursquare Church. It was a daughter church of what was at the time the Prescott Foursquare Church. Although the author was part of what became the CFM the initial thirteen years were not under Wayman Mitchell or in the Prescott church. The author, however, was not born again within the CFM structure or ministry. The author was converted at age sixteen and attended the Pomona, California First Assembly of God Church. Within three years of moving to Sierra Vista the author was placed in pioneer ministry. It is not necessary to recount all the places, events and experiences of the past forty years. It is important to know the types of ministry the author is familiar with and the types of data that have been gathered and its analysis.

In the CFM the author has been an ordained pastor in several churches, involved in the fellowship leadership structure and been a staff evangelist in the Prescott church under Wayman Mitchell. The author has also experienced overseas ministry and, although the mission was suspended, experience as a missionary. Fellowship events visited in the course of the research were all familiar to the author having participated to some capacity in virtually all of them. The author attended a number of events as a non-participant during the process of accumulating data for this project. These events were observed and field notes taken at the time of the event.

**Events Attended**

The events attended have been divided into three categories. These are conferences, training and discipleship events and evangelistic events. The categories may overlap in some cases and an evangelistic component is part of almost all cases.
Conferences are the main thrust of vision and direction for the CFM. They are held at regional sites by churches deemed to have achieved a status as church planting and discipleship models for the Fellowship. The primary conference for the CFM is held in Prescott twice a year. Included in this category are events known as Harvester Homecomings. These are hosted by church planting churches that invite their own pastors back to the mother or home church. They are more local in character and shorter in duration than conferences.

The second category of ministry events can be regarded as training or discipleship events. These events include pioneer rallies, discipleship seminars and events focused on a specific group of persons such as marriage seminars, doctrine seminars and men’s and women’s events. These events can be area wide or more local in character. One other kind of event in this category occurred in a spontaneous way and involved only pastors. These are brainstorming sessions with open discussion and analysis of issues affecting the ministry of pastors. These have no particular title so the author has chosen to call them ministry forums. They are very enlightening concerning the way the fellowship views world events and issues. Another type of event, although not strictly part of this category, is the twice a year business meeting that is included in this category. Often relevant issues are brought up that affect ministry within the CFM.

The third category of events could be described as evangelistic or outreach events. It must be noted that within the CFM nearly every event is designed with an evangelistic component. With the exception of the business meeting and the ministry forums the events the author attended all included an evangelistic component. This was usually an appeal to unbelievers to turn to Jesus for their salvation. This appeal was always public
and occurred at specific places in the events. Nonetheless, crusades and revivals were
events were clearly designed as evangelistic. Crusades were often healing crusades and
strongly oriented to an appeal for salvation to unbelievers followed by prayer for the sick.
Revivals were held in local churches with advertising and special speakers making an
evangelistic appeal in a series of church services.

Conferences and Harvester Homecomings

The author attended and took field notes at two conferences in Prescott, Arizona,
and one conference in Manila, Philippines. Conferences are major events in the CFM.
They involve large numbers of churches and often large numbers nations. Pastors and
delegates from their churches come to a central location for five days. The main
conference for the CFM is held twice each year in Prescott. For the Prescott conference
an invitation is extended to pastoral couples of the Fellowship. Couples who chose to
come are given five nights motel accommodation and one hundred dollars of expense
money for the week. There are no registration costs and the only obligation is to attend
the conference. Evening services begin on Monday night and go through Friday night.
Tuesday through Friday three seminars are held between nine and noon each morning. In
the week there are seventeen services and seminars. The morning sessions and each
evening service are preceded by a one-hour prayer service. There are two special events
during the conference. Thursday night is focused on international churches and
international church planting. The service begins with a video presentation of events that
have taken place in the preceding six months with particular attention to overseas
ministry. At the conclusion of the Thursday night service new works and workers are
announced. An offering is taken and they begin the process of moving to the country or
mission announced. Friday night is the concluding service of the conference and the final event is the announcement of new domestic works being planted out of Prescott and other CFM churches. Attendance at the Prescott conference is between twenty-five hundred and three thousand in the evening services. Conferences are open to the public and persons who are part of the CFM but not sponsored delegates are also welcome.

The Manila conference was typical of other conferences held throughout the United States and the world. The Prescott format is maintained. The sphere of influence is more regional and not a fellowship-wide event. In Manila, for example, there were delegates from the two hundred plus CFM churches in the Philippines and the overseas works planted out of the Philippines. The overseas works established out of the Philippines are in the United States, Vietnam, Abu Dubai, Macao, Indonesia, and Guam. The services are conducted in Tagalog. When there are foreign guest speakers their ministry is translated. If it is an English speaker, many of the Filipinos and Filipinas are able to understand but the ministry is translated to Tagalog.

The Manila conference is typical of other overseas conferences the author has participated in and attended. In The Netherlands the services are translated from Dutch into German, Spanish, French, Russian, Romanian, Polish and English. Non-Dutch speakers are translated into Dutch as they minister. The conference uses the same format. They are weeklong conferences with sponsored pastors and delegates who are from regional CFM churches. The Thursday international night and Friday night announcements of new works follow the Prescott pattern. This is true of conferences the author has attended in Argentina, South Africa, The United Kingdom, Mexico, Uganda, Kenya and Sierra Leone.
Harvester Homecomings are smaller versions of the area wide conferences of the Fellowship. Sponsorship is extended to pastoral couples in daughter churches. The event is shorter. Often the entire homecoming occurs over a weekend. There are special speakers and extra services or seminars at homecomings. The speakers are primarily pastors discipled within and planted out from the host church. The author attended a Harvester Homecoming at Silver City, New Mexico and also held one in Globe, Arizona.

In Globe, Arizona the Globe Christian Center church has discipled and sent out couples in ministry. Once a year these couples are invited back to Globe and provided with three nights hotel accommodation. While in Globe they attend services Friday, Saturday and Sunday nights and three seminars Saturday morning and three seminars Sunday morning. There are a total of nine services and seminars. They are also invited to bring two couples or four single disciples (two discipleship units to occupy one hotel room) who are provided with three nights accommodation. For the Globe church that means twenty pastoral couples and forty couples or disciple units are invited and provided accommodation. The Harvester Homecoming in Globe also shows a video of recent events.

Church planting is not announced at Harvester Homecomings. Church planting announcements are done in area-wide conferences or the Prescott CFM International Bible Conference. In the Harvester Homecomings there is an emphasis on evangelism, discipleship and church planting.

**Discipleship and Training Events**

The author attended two discipleship classes in Prescott, a pioneer rally in Chandler, and a men’s rally in Phoenix, Arizona. The author did not attend but was
responsible for two women’s events conducted in Globe. These events are aimed at equipping disciples for the work of the ministry. About three hundred men from CFM churches in Arizona and Nevada attend the discipleships done monthly except in conference months. The speakers are members of the Board of Elders of the CFM and conference church leaders. These classes are done for those who express an interest in public ministry. These are typically done in similar fashion in other churches within the CFM.

Pioneer rallies are designed to encourage and equip people to become involved in public ministry. These events are also designed to encourage and edify those already in ministry. Unlike discipleship classes that are just one seminar or service these rallies have several speakers in three to five different sessions in one or two days time. These are larger gatherings than the discipleship classes and often include pastoral couples that are struggling in their ministry. These are open to the public and have an appeal for salvation to unbelievers.

In Arizona a once yearly men’s rally is held in December. Pastors and disciples from throughout the Southwest attend this annual rally. It consists of four seminars from Friday night through Saturday morning. The guest speakers, as with rallies and discipleships, are pastors with proven church planting ministry. Attendance is about 1200 men. Similar rallies are held in other regional areas throughout the CFM.

Other training events are conducted throughout the fellowship. The author has participated and attended doctrine and marriage seminars held in many areas throughout the fellowship. Marriage seminars are held annually in many churches and are often citywide or area wide in more rural areas. They are two-day affairs with couples
encouraged to spend a night away from their kids and family to fellowship with other couples in a marriage oriented setting.

Doctrine seminars have been held throughout the fellowship but especially in third world areas where resources are limited. The author has been involved in these in South Africa, Philippines, Argentina, China and a number of other nations. These seminars are weeklong events with preaching at night and seminars during the day.

The twice-annual business meeting is a legal gathering for the conduct of in-house business and financial affairs. Nonetheless it is a place of training. Often there are legal issues that involve the ministry and cultural issues that affect churches. These are discussed and material is provided for self-education at these meetings.

**Evangelistic Events**

Revivals and crusades are two common evangelistic types of events. They are conducted throughout the CFM and in most churches revivals and participation in larger crusades occurs several times each year. The author attended a healing crusade in Phoenix, Arizona. The author attended two revivals not in the author’s church or participated in by the author. Revivals are a series of meeting with a guest speaker over the course of a week or longer. They are decidedly for evangelism with outreaches and advertising aimed at bringing new people into the church.

**Interviews**

Interviews were a second source of data for the research. Personal interviews were done with Pastor Wayman Mitchell and several other pastors. Other interviews were collected from sources deemed reliable. Conversations and personal narratives from sermons and seminars were also found useful for this project.
Personal Interviews

Personal interviews were conducted with Pastors within the CFM. Fifteen interviews were conducted in person or by phone. The interview with Pastor Mitchell and 7 other pastors were recorded. Creswell suggest that interviews be conducted with five to twenty-five persons who have experienced the phenomena being studied.7

The eight interviews consisted of an acknowledgement statement and five open-ended questions. This method follows that of Richard Bustraan’s PhD dissertation later published as The Jesus People Movement. Creswell suggests using two general questions centered on what the interviewee experienced and what contexts or events affected the experience.8 Bustraan used four open-ended questions in the interviews he conducted. He says, “I conducted interviews by asking four open-ended questions, in which I allowed the interviewees the freedom to talk—or write in the case of email—about their experiences without interruption, with the exception of clarifying questions.”9 The author followed this strategy during the interview. The author’s embedded nature in the CFM and familiarity with the common language of the interviewees did not make the data of the interview as clear as one might think. The various interviewees used some terms differently. The use of common and well-known religious language familiar to the CFM by interviewees required follow-up questions for clarity. Some of these follow-up questions occurred after the original interview. Paul D. Leedy and Jeanne Ellis Ormrod

7 Creswell, 61.
8 Creswell.
write, “A typical interview looks more like an informal conversation, with the participant
doing most of the talking and the researcher doing most of the listening.”\textsuperscript{10}

The author first asked for permission to conduct and use the interview as a source
for data in a dissertation with the understanding that their privacy would be protected.
Nancy Jean Vyhmeister highlights the need for protecting individual rights in the case
study.

To protect the privacy of the individuals involved in the case, personal names are
usually changed. No one should be hurt or embarrassed by the contents of a case
study. The precise town where the case took place may not be mentioned, but the
general location must be given because of the importance of socio-cultural factors
involved in the case.\textsuperscript{11}

With only a few exceptions personal names and locations are not used in this
paper. Details of the circumstances and events are given in general terms.

The author asked four additional questions in the interview. The aim was to do the
research as Vyhmeister says, “Reliving and rethinking” the case to bring, “Theology out
of experience.”\textsuperscript{12} The four additional questions were:

First, would you in general and brief terms describe your experience of salvation?
What events and circumstances brought you to that experience? Second, How did
you come in contact with the Christian Fellowship Ministries or the Prescott
Fellowship? Third, can you describe what kinds of things were attractive and/or
appealing to you about the fellowship, the church you came to, the people in the
church or the pastor? Finally, if you are in ministry now, how do you see your
role and what things do you see as crucial to its fulfillment?

Interviewees were given ample time to reflect and answer each question. The
interviews took between forty-five minutes and an hour and fifteen minutes. They were

\textsuperscript{10} Paul D. Leedy and Jeanne Ellis Ormrod, \textit{Practical Research: Planning and Design}, 10th edition

\textsuperscript{11} Nancy Jean Vyhmeister, \textit{Quality Research Papers: for Students of Religion and Theology}
(Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2008), 174.

\textsuperscript{12} Vhymeister, 173.
allowed time and encouraged to add details about their experiences. Detail is necessary for feelings to be analyzed.\textsuperscript{13} These interviews provided primary data for the research project.

\textit{Other Interviews}

Interviews done by others and personal stories told in sermons and seminars were also used in the research. Other interviews have been done with other pastors. The best sources of these were in written form in newspapers, in-house literature and documentaries. The video presentations in conferences often contain detailed questions and responses in interview format and some of these were found useful. Larry Beauregard did an important documentary in 2013 that included a number of interviews. These were transcribed and provided to the author. Permissions for the use of these were obtained from the interviewees.

\textit{Written Documents, Audio and Visual and Published Materials}

The author had access to hundreds of sermons in audio, video and written formats. Many of these contained details of CFM’s growth. Over the course of forty years the author has heard thousands of fellowship sermons and hundreds by Wayman Mitchell. A detailed analysis of these is beyond the scope of this project. Nonetheless, a perusal of conference sermon topics and conference themes has been done, looking for repeated themes through the years. In addition, conferences other than those of Prescott were reviewed to find corresponding themes.

\textsuperscript{13} Vhymeister, 174.
CHAPTER FIVE: DATA ANALYSIS

The data from the research can be organized along the line of a pattern established, a pattern followed and a pattern that works. It is important to understand that a pattern is not the same thing as a program. Donald Miller looked for “triggers” in his research of the Jesus People Movement (JPM).¹ Miller found certain aspects of the diverse Jesus People Movement formed a pattern. These triggers established what he saw as the boundaries of the JPM or its distinctive.

A Pattern is Established—Wayman Mitchell

There are distinctive elements that describe the CFM ministries. Wayman Mitchell saw certain events and occasions that led to transformation of the Prescott church. These changes produced a culture of discipleship and church planting. He said in a 2015 interview with the author, “There was a hunger and so young people began to visit our church.”

Not a Program

A scenario emerged from the author’s interview with Wayman Mitchell in 2015 that matched very closely with the 2013 interview for the video documentary and the teaching series. It also matched Mitchell’s 2013 teaching series “A Distinct People.” In the 2015 ministry forum in Israel the main points of the CFM ministry were emphasized

using virtually the same words. The following scenario documenting the formation of the CFM is taken from these three sources.

After taking the pastorate in Prescott, Mitchell attended a revival meeting in Cottonwood, Arizona. After the service he talked to the evangelist about how frustrated he was because there were no visitors at the revival. Mitchell said to the evangelist, “We have to get the gospel outside the four walls.” The evangelist told him about events in California that were attracting young people to events outside of churches. In late July Mitchell took two young people and traveled to California. They took in everything they could. They visited the beach and saw an evangelist preaching and baptizing there. They read Don Pederson’s Hollywood Free Paper and went to a service at Calvary Chapel. They visited a meeting of hundreds of young people in a La Habra community center. The Minister there had a small storefront building and a music scene and they went to it. As they sat and watched some non-professional musicians play to a crowd of probably fifty young people sitting on the floor and another fifty or so standing outside Mitchell leaned over to the two young people with him and said, “This would work in Prescott.”

In late summer Mitchell brought an evangelist to Prescott for an anti-drug meeting. It was really a “crummy little program.” But young people showed a lot of interest. They reached at that time some key young hippies who began to witness to their friends and family. The minister of the La Habra music scene came to minister in the Prescott church. They put on a concert in the Prescott Armory building and about two hundred young people came. The evangelist they had seen preaching on the beach came to Prescott and did a street meeting and Jesus March around the Prescott courthouse. This was all new to Mitchell.
A decision was made to rent a building and do a weekly concert called “The Door.” In the fall of 1970 young people began to get saved and become part of the church. One of the hippies that got saved was about 19 and had a music group. Mitchell got them to play on the courthouse plaza and preach the gospel. “It wasn’t a very good group and one of them wasn’t even saved,” Mitchell said. Young people responded to the music. Churches at that time rejected many of the young people with their torn Levis, big beards and uncut hair. They were just off the streets and “We accepted them.” Prescott was a redneck cowboy town. Hippies at that time were being caught by cowboys and their hair cut off with a pocketknife. “We accepted them was the real key.” This all happened in the space of a few months.

Mitchell’s initial interaction with the JPM caused him to conclude several factors that began to shape the Prescott ministry. He says, “I have to admit that I did see some dynamics that worked and kind of gave us some direction. But this was not just one big plan. It was a decision here, a decision there, and the thing began to evolve.” He said, “It wasn’t anything that I had, or plan or scheme that I had. It was just what God was doing and we more or less followed along with what was happening.” He saw that music was the trend for young people and he decided to use it. They rented and used crummy equipment, non-professional musicians and ministered outside of the walls of the church. Young people responded. Hippies responded. The church began to grow and the culture of the church changed. “And so this wasn’t any plan. This was all spontaneous.” But Mitchell did take note of things that seemed to work.

Mitchell saw that young people responded to young people. He began having young people minister in the concerts. The concerts evolved into weekly Friday and
Saturday night events. The young people would minister for a few minutes and then do an altar call inviting people to accept Jesus Christ as their Lord and Savior, turn from their sin and live for God. They would do two altar calls each night. Seven to fifteen salvations would be recorded each concert. The bands would also minister in other churches. Some were independent churches and some were denominational churches. In church venues outside of the Prescott church the impact was dissipated or lost. It became obvious that the fruit of these was not being retained. So a decision was made to try and retain the fruit of their labors by starting their own churches.

An Expanding Vision

A brief attempt to establish a church was made in the small mining town of Kearny, Arizona. A group of people had a Bible study there and asked for a Pastor. Mitchell asked the leader of the group specifically if they meant a pastor and not simply someone to teach Bible studies on occasion. They said they wanted a pastor. So one of the early converts within the Prescott ministry was sent to Kearney. When it became obvious that he was there to build a church and preach the gospel publicly they withdrew their invitation.

Mitchell was appointed Superintendent of the Arizona Division of the Foursquare organization. Arizona was the backside of the desert to many minds and the organization had a number of run down and mostly empty buildings that nobody wanted to pastor. One of them was in Wickenburg, Arizona. This small town located about sixty miles northwest of Phoenix was a ranching community. It had a number of dude ranches that attracted tourists but the town was primarily farm and ranch people. Mitchell sent one of his early converts to a run down and empty Foursquare building there. He sent another
convert to Flagstaff and a similar mostly empty building. A third convert, the one who made the aborted attempt in Kearney, was sent to a run down building in Tucson. There might have been two people in that church. It looked more like a mission than a church. Of the two people there one was an elderly woman and the other her son. They are still in the church.

Wickenburg was immediately successful. Mitchell was astounded that a young rock-and-roll musician in an old cowboy town was a “fantastic success.” Similarly, Flagstaff was a success. By the time it came to launch their third church to Tucson Mitchell says, “We knew this was working.” The church was excited, “And so I didn’t have to sell them, they were into that. By that time excitement had built.” This church planting was not a professional operation with seminary-trained ministers. Lay ministers were planted in these churches. The Prescott church provided them with a PA and generally a guitar, guitar amp and maybe a drum kit. These early workers then moved away from family and friends to their new locations. They would have to evangelize and build relationships on their own.

Summarizing Key Issues

Mitchell summarized what he saw as the key ingredients of these early ministries in the 2015 interview with the author and then again at a ministry forum in Israel in November of 2015. At the very beginning Mitchell’s aim was to get the gospel outside of the four walls of the church and onto the streets. “What we are is evangelism and discipleship, but the real key is we are a lay movement. That means that our movement, the main stream of our movement, is not seminary trained ministers.” He knows of only three persons within the CFM movement that have “actual formal theological training.”
He is one of them. He recalls that in the early 1970s only three persons in the Prescott ministry held credentials with the Foursquare organization though they were not formally trained in the Foursquare system. “The real genius of our fellowship is a lay movement.”

Mitchell defines lay ministry saying it only means persons have not been formally educated in theology. “Many people miss is because they assume lay ministry means ignorant ministry.” The process in Prescott was discipleship. It was not called discipleship at the time. Church members did not call themselves disciples. They were however exposed to ministry. “You must involve and motivate the lay ministry without which you cannot see sinners incorporated into the ministry [the church].” To accomplish the education and training of a lay ministry the pastor must “give himself to it.” Mitchell says that most pastors will not invest the time to be involved with lay people. Rather “they pick up with people who can be of service to them.” In the CFM pastors are not encouraged to have an assistant pastor until there are two hundred and fifty or more persons in the church. The reason is so the pastor will train and use lay persons in the actual ministry of the church. If one simply pays a professional to do the ministry the lay people will not flourish and will not actually minister as needed for a culture of discipleship.

The beginning of a cultural change or transformation within the Prescott church was conversions. Mitchell’s own conversion was at an altar call in the Phoenix First Foursquare Church. His subsequent filling by the Holy Spirit in the same church was accompanied with the evidence of speaking in tongues. These two events established his ministry philosophy of contending for conversion and baptism in the Holy Spirit. If it happened to him then it could happen to anyone.
Conversion was a trigger that Miller identified as key to the JPM. “In short, the focus of new paradigm churches is on internal transformation as opposed to change in external appearance.” Although music was trending among young people the reality of the JPM was not the medium it was the message. Miller says, “In other words, while culturally current worship unquestionably attracts people to new paradigm churches, it is equally important to stress that conversion experiences focus on the message and not simply the form of Christianity [italics original].” Mitchell had established the importance of conversion and baptism in the Holy Spirit prior to coming to Prescott. When he saw it happening in the JPM he witnessed in California he identified with it. In the interviews and conversations done in this research all expressed that they were saved or born again and the majority used the word conversion to describe the experience. In the documentary interviews ten of ten interviewees used the term conversion.

Mitchell also had grasped two other issues prior to coming to Prescott that he saw happening in the JPM. One was preaching in the public arena and the other was praise. An open, public and exuberant praise was a dynamic of the JPM worship and preaching in coffee houses and open venues such as beaches was common. “We are a preaching fellowship,” Mitchell says of the CFM, “and most of the world doesn’t fully comprehend the importance of that.” Preaching carries the meaning of a herald. The decree of the king was heralded. He refers to the book of Esther. The king gave a decree and the couriers took it all over the kingdom. “That’s what a preacher is. He is a herald of the king.” And so, “besides this being a lay movement, we’re a preaching fellowship.”

---
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When Mitchell was pastoring in Eugene, Oregon, he had a revelation about praise. He felt that open and exuberant praise, including tongues and lifted hands, was important to the church service. “I brought it in but it caused a disruption within the Eugene congregation.” The long-term saints in the church largely opposed praise. When he got to Prescott he had discovered power praise. At a recent breakfast with some current pastors he said that they “accept [praise] as this is what we do. But it wasn’t done in those days.” Now it is an accepted part of the service. They did not appreciate the battle that occurred early in the fellowship over open and exuberant praise in the worship service. In the early days of Prescott Mitchell says, “I wouldn’t even open the service until I had people lift their hands and praise because I wanted to establish a dimension.”

When Mitchell began to pastor in the Foursquare denomination Wednesday night was entrenched as prayer meeting night. He would come and do a few minutes dissertation on prayer and then kneel down and pray. Everyone else would listen for a few minutes and then all would go home. “This is not working,” Mitchell said, after three or four years. “So, I started preaching a sermon on Wednesday night and immediately it quadrupled the attendance.”

Another dynamic is that of urgency. “There is this embracing of this element that Jesus could come at any time. “I preached at the last two Prescott conferences, one of my messages was that we’re looking for this [return of Jesus].” This gives tremendous importance to reaping the “Last Days” harvest. Bustraan notes this of the Jesus People.

Irrespective of variation in eschatological perspectives, the single unifying feature in the eschatology of the JP was the imminence of the second coming advent of Jesus Christ. Nearly every person interviewed for this research admitted that they
felt an expectancy that Jesus could appear at any moment and consequently they felt an urgency to evangelize everyone.4

Bustraan saw the merging of the baptism of the Holy Spirit, missiology and eschatology as a triadic part of the JPM. The end time imminence was also expressed in every interview the author did for this research. Bustraan questioned whether this was just the Pentecostal theology or something else. He concluded it was a unique assemblage of components comprising the JPM.5

Early in the 1970s a pattern was established in the Prescott Foursquare Church that would carry through to the churches planted. It was also what brought change to the Prescott church. The culture of the church was changed. There was an acceptance of young people, ministry outside of the four walls of the church and the use of young converts to declare the gospel. This began to establish a culture of discipleship and church planting. It was something spontaneous that connected the Prescott church with “what God was doing,” according to Mitchell. It begins with getting the gospel out to the people who need it. “As long as I am alive I’ll never let them be diverted from that. This is evangelism, [it] is what we are all about. That is done by preaching, but evangelism is what we are called to. To take out of the Gentiles a people for His name. That’s what we are called to.”

Mitchell is astonished at what has occurred over the span of his ministry. “If you knew who my wife and I were and where we came from we’re astonished [at] what God has done.” He adds, “We were just ignorant kids, you know, absolutely ignorant. And not very many people skills.” A similar wonder is found in his disciples about themselves.

---
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They had simply tried to follow what they saw God was doing in their generation. It is what Mitchell sought to do when he saw the results of the JPM in California. It was no plan or expertise they had but obedience in faith. This obedience in faith brought them favor with God in the form of fruitfulness in ministry.

**A Pattern Followed**

The growth and transformation of the Prescott church continued through the process of church planting. As Mitchell says it begins with conversion. One of the young people converted in Prescott was sent out to plant a church. The first attempt was short-lived and marked with tragedy. This story is important because it fills in some details about how the Prescott church functioned in the early 1970s.

**Rejection and Tragedy**

The Prescott church and Pastor Mitchell began to be recognized in Arizona’s Foursquare organization for its tremendous numbers of conversions. A leader of a small Bible study group and prominent business owner in Kearny asked Mitchell to send a pastor. Harold Warner told his story in an interview for the fortieth anniversary of the opening of the Tucson Church. He was a convert in the Prescott ministry and was asked if he wanted to move and pastor in Kearny, Arizona. He said yes. He and another disciple traveled to Kearny. When they realized that Kearny was having a Copper Days Parade and Celebration, they got their bullhorn and followed the parade preaching the gospel. As they passed through the small downtown Warner’s eyes met those of the businessman/Bible study leader. Warner said, “Our eyes met and it’s almost like I knew in that moment that this was not going to be a good match. This was not a marriage made
in heaven.” After three days in Kearny Warner and his friend packed up and headed back to Prescott.

We were driving back. I don’t remember every detail but I do know I was driving my old Dodge Colt and there was a portion of highway that had been freshly paved with new asphalt. It had rained previously and so some of the oil had come to the surface of the road. And it was just one of those things that we hit that patch of road, the car went into a skid and when it did it came to the embankment on the side of the highway. [It] went over the embankment, rolled a number of times. When it came to rest on its wheels and I couldn’t move I knew something bad had happened. I didn’t know all that had happened and so the other brother went and flagged someone down who called 911.

In the hospital in Phoenix he got the news that all to be done for his broken back had been done. Warner would be in wheelchair from that point on. He spent three months in the hospital. When he got out he was an outpatient for three months traveling back and forth to Phoenix from Prescott. He wore a back brace so his spine could fuse.

During his rehabilitation he was given an interview for vocational rehabilitation and a number of tests. Warner says, “I was very upfront with them that I still felt my calling was to preach the Gospel.” After a long time the test results came back. “It was not their opinion that I was fit for or actually called to the ministry which was great news for me because if they’re saying this man is not really fit for the ministry, then anything good that happened had to have been God.”

Warner was saved in 1970. He had gotten hepatitis from shooting heroin and was living with a bunch of dope freaks when he heard about a concert at the Prescott Armory. Some of the band members had gotten saved and there were Christians there who invited him to church on Sunday. “I had never been in a Pentecostal church.” He figured that worship could be lively if God was real. “I don’t remember what Pastor Mitchell preached that night, but I do know that I was at the altar giving my life to Jesus.” He was saved and baptized in water the same night. Regarding his call to preach Warner could
not point to a specific moment or service but rather he had “a growing inner compulsion that I had a heart and a desire to communicate the Gospel.” He was a young twenty-two year old who said to God, “You can take my life and [if] you can use it in any way for your glory, Lord I’m willing.” Four decades of pastoral ministry have resulted and he says the compulsion is stronger now than then.

The Shock of Tragedy

This tragedy, according to Mitchell in the 2013 interview, “broke the heart of our people and it was just a shock.” On a Wednesday evening shortly after the accident Mitchell preached and challenged the congregation to believe church planting was the will of God. He said the accident was an assault from hell and challenged the congregation to respond to the will of God. He then challenged other couples to respond and rise up and take the place of Harold and Mona Warner in the call of God. Sixteen couples responded to the altar call that night. It was a turning point and crucial moment for the Prescott church, discipleship and church planting. When Warner got out of rehab and was still in a back brace Mitchell asked Warner to preach on a Sunday night in the Prescott church. Warner said, “He [Mitchell] didn’t necessarily at that time say anything but he gave me the opportunity to preach.” Granting an opportunity to minister is a key ingredient in the discipleship process.

On December 16, 1973, Warner and Mona, his wife of seven months, arrived in Tucson to pastor the church. Harold relates that when he began to preach there was no “fellowship” and no “pattern” of how to do things. “We just simply had a vision and that vision is still alive today.” Warner felt that if he would simply preach the gospel people
could get saved and a new church could be planted for Jesus. In the 2013 interview he said,

So, I would like to tell you today that when I went out, having been saved for the extremely long time of three years and one month that I was extremely polished, very, very eloquent and erudite, a scholar. But the reality was I was extremely raw, very naïve. I hardly knew what I was doing.

The vision and conviction that preaching would work kept him on track in the early days. It was as Warner ministered that he learned. “We learned discipleship, we learned church planting.” Comparing the experience to marriage he comments that we don’t get everything perfect and then get married. “No, we learn marriage.” The early days of ministry in Tucson were learning days. “I learned the ministry, I learned working with people, I learned the study of the Word of God, I learned various aspects of outreach and evangelism.” The tragedy and rejection that occurred in Kearny turned to a tremendous success. Tucson is today one of the premier churches in the CFM and a model for others. As the third church planted it was a time of testing for the growing discipleship and church planting culture established in Prescott.

*Summary of Key Issues in the Second Generation Church*

Warner’s discipleship did not end when he went to Tucson. About the time Warner was pioneering in Tucson the Prescott church was also planting a work in Nogales, Arizona. That was not going well and the decision was made to move across the border to Sonora, Mexico. This meant Mitchell would be making trips to oversee the first expression of international ministry out of Prescott. Warner looked forward to times when Pastor Mitchell would come to Nogales and they could simply get together. They would share their “vision.” So a man sharpens the countenance of his friend. As it is often stated in the CFM, “iron sharpens iron.”
There were other times when they could be together and share things about the ministry. Trips with Mitchell were part of Warner’s continuing discipleship. Warner said, “You could call them mission trips with Pastor Mitchell.” One of these was over the Thanksgiving weekend into Obregon, Mexico. A crusade was held in a downtown boxing arena. A number of pastors went down including the author’s pastor and some disciples from the newly planted churches in Globe and Sierra Vista, Arizona. These were spontaneous and informal get-togethers where pastors could talk about the ministry, build sermons, or gather insight from more experienced ministers. There were other trips that would not be described as mission trips but functioned much the same. Warner relates making trips with Pastor Mitchell to California to buy suits. “You know, if you are going to be a preacher you have to have a suit. And so he would drive us over to the garment district in LA so that we could buy, at a reasonable price for young men who didn’t have a lot of money, suits that we thought one day I’m going to wear this as I preach the gospel.”

Fellowship is an important part of discipleship. Mitchell kept contact by preaching in the newly planted churches. At times Mitchell would come to preach a prophecy or end-times revival. Harold says, “I’m more convinced than ever that we are living in the last days.” Prophecy revivals were an evangelistic tool. Often the so-called “Big Three” series of end time movies would be shown. These were movies about the second coming of Jesus and the rapture of the church. The idea was that one would be “left behind” if not saved or born again. They were popular evangelistic films in many churches and especially the JPM. Important, then, to the planting of the churches was the urgency of the end times. Harold called it a “foundational truth” that Jesus is coming
again. It was “imparted a lot” in his discipleship and “so, I’ve lived with that hope.” He says it is “very, very foundational to the life of any believer in any church.”

Another foundational truth Warner says was important in establishing the work in Tucson was the baptism of the Holy Spirit. “We’re still building on that. It wasn’t simply a phase and then we moved on to other things.” Warner says the foundation is Jesus and him crucified but then “one must be careful how one builds on that foundation to ensure continuous revival.”

The prophetic type of ministry was part of the Prescott ministry that Warner experienced and he sought to bring it to Tucson. Two evangelists in particular were important. One was gifted with a word of knowledge ministry and the other was especially gifted in leading people to baptism in the Holy Spirit. Warner saw the need to establish a supernatural dimension in the church for new converts to understand that God “is the same yesterday, and today, and forever.” Warner felt that the church started with an outpouring of the Holy Spirit and that same kind of ministry was possible today. He says, “I believe that the baptism of the Holy Spirit is absolutely essential for revival.”

Prayer meetings and altar calls are an integral part of the church in Tucson. Warner says he has been in churches where they say they are having a prayer meeting but people just sit around and talk but “I’m thinking when are they going to pray?” He found the best way to learn to pray was to actually pray. “You learned to pray by listening to other people sometimes.” Corporate prayer is seen as especially important. “Being able to do it in concert with other people, a group prayer brings a dynamic that just simply praying on your own cannot impart.”
Warner mentioned other things in the interview. In the interview the feeling and passion of his speaking betrayed deep appreciation. He expressed it near the end of the interview.

Am I satisfied? No. I’m satisfied that God has used me. I’m not satisfied with all that I have become. I am aware that there’s still much land to be possessed in the territory called Harold Warner. So, I have not arrived. I am very grateful. That’s why an anniversary, in my mind is a memorial. We are marking a specific time in order to glorify God in order to remember what God has done but always with a view of pressing on. This [church] is not a monument. We have not arrived and my prayer is that should Jesus tarry we would continue to do what we’ve done all these years and God would give us the grace to see a greater fruitfulness not only in our lives, but in churches we have planted around the world.

Warner provides insight to the discipleship ministry when he says, “My appreciation for the saints of God is immense.” He recognizes their contribution to all that has transpired in the Tucson church. “The people, these are people who have allowed me to grow. They’ve put up with me learning. They embraced a pastor who still had a long way to go.” He says they embraced a vision and “made it their own.” Regarding the discipleship he says, “It isn’t just me who’s made disciples, this congregation, this body of believers, they’ve been involved in the discipleship process as well.” The Tucson church developed a culture of discipleship.

The Tucson church is over forty years old and it is still evangelizing in Tucson, working in discipleship and planting churches. It is a church-planting center. The theme of their fortieth anniversary conference was “Still At It.” Warner says the thought he was trying to convey with that theme was “there are certain things that we do that are distinctive of our life and our congregations. And those are good things and there is a reason why we do what we do.” He knows, “There [are] some people that know what we do, but when you really grow then you know why we do what we do. And so I wanted to convey the distinctive of those things and the fact that you cannot swerve from that.”
Pastor Mitchell always taught us, “Truth is better caught than taught.” The church is a place where people can catch the truth by seeing it for themselves.

A Pattern that Works

At the Manila International Bible Conference of 2015 the author was able to interview Alberto Desepida, the leader of the CFM churches in the Philippines. The author’s involvement in the Philippines dates back to the early 1980s. Alberto Desepida was a disciple in a pioneer church at that time. The author made nine trips to the Philippines preaching revivals and teaching doctrine in several churches. It had been many years since the author had traveled to the Islands and was astounded at the great growth in the number and size of the churches. Today there are three conference churches in the Philippines. One is in Davao City on the Island of Mindanao, one is in Cebu in the Visayas and the main conference center is in Mandaluyong, Metro-Manila. Desepida is the pastor of the Mandaluyong church and leader of the Philippine churches. The CFM began ministering the 1980s in the Philippines. The Mandaluyong church was the first pioneer work and it began in the one of the worst slums and squatter’s settlements in Metro Manila. Today Mandaluyong is a hub in Metro-Manila and the site of large shopping centers.

The Mandaluyong church is a model of international church planting in the CFM. There are now three hundred and four CFM churches in the Philippines. After the initial placement of a missionary in Manila other missionaries were placed in Iloilo City, Davao, Cebu, Tacloban and Baguio City. The Philippine churches are now all indigenous works. Today there are no CFM missionaries in the country. The Philippine churches are now planting missionaries in other countries. Workers from Abu Dhabi, Guam,
Indonesia, Vietnam, Macau and India attended the conference. On the concluding night of the conference seven new works were announced.

In the author’s interview with Desepida his gratefulness to the CFM for sending missionaries to the Philippines was apparent. He was a businessman prior to his conversion. He had worked in Libya and then started a business in the Philippines that did not succeed. Desepida was converted in Lucena City. It was a pioneer work from Mandaluyong. After two years as a disciple in Lucena City he pioneered a church. After it was established he pioneered another. Then he became an assistant in the Mandaluyong church. He is now pastor of the church and is the overseer of all the Philippine CFM churches. His desire is for others to have what he has in God. Speaking of disciples he is involved with he said, “I want them to have what I have and to know that God will work in their lives.” He was talking about an impartation of the life of God he has experienced. His hope is to transmit it to others. He said concerning disciples in the churches, “I have faith that God will do it for them.” That is, what has happened in him is possible in others. He says that the “great things” that God has done in his life are possible for others to experience. He says that even things that “seem impossible can be accomplished.”

The theme he established for the May 2015 conference was “Extending the Kingdom.” In the seminars conducted in the conference the topics included Turn around Churches, Discipleship, Revival and Church Planting, and Revival and Preaching. The indigenous nature of the CFM work in the Philippines is illustrated by the financing of the conference. Two rented halls were necessary to accommodate the delegates. They were air-conditioned which is unusual for the Philippines. Just the facility cost three million Pesos (about $65,000). The airfares for the eleven delegates from Vietnam were
paid along with many other air and bus fares. Food and expense money was provided for
the delegates and motel accommodations for the delegates and speakers, advertising and
miscellaneous expenses were raised and paid for out of the offerings taken during the
conference evening services. Outside support was unnecessary.

These examples of CFM churches and the ministry are consistent throughout the
interviews the author conducted. The pattern does not mean that they adhere to a program
from a headquarters or have talking points. The interviewees were passionate about the
ministry and discipleship of the local churches. They see principles have been followed
that work to bring about change in people, make disciples and plant churches.

The Data and Transformation

Transformation for the CFM is a spiritual issue that occurs in the spiritual arena of
God’s will. The spiritual arena for the CFM is the local church that has a culture of
discipleship. In the ministry forum in Israel (November 2015) Mitchell stressed a number
of issues about transformation through the process of discipleship. Reflecting on Matthew
28:18-20 he said, “In this scripture we see the main factors that brought our fellowship
into existence.” Continuing Mitchell explains,

Discipleship is a person-to-person impartation. The common mistake is to think
discipleship is about information. Personalities are shaped eyeball to eyeball. It
takes time to involves one’s self with another person. To disciple in a larger
church you must have a culture of discipleship. We are spiritual creatures and our
spirit works in others. The admonition is in 2 Timothy 2:2, “And the things you
have heard me say in the presence of many witnesses entrust to reliable people
who will also be qualified to teach others.” Then the scripture says in the next
verse, “Join with me in suffering, like a good soldier of Christ Jesus.” That’s the
example the early church exhibits. It is by word and deed (Rom. 15:18).

Mitchell shared the example of the one of the current church board members first
experience in a Prescott conference. He was astounded as he walked by the prayer room
and heard the “roar” of our prayer meeting. People were actually calling on God with passion. Mitchell says, “That’s it. We hear about prayer all the time. Here it was demonstrated and it had an impact on his life.” He had been in conferences in his former denomination. He had heard sermons and seminars on prayer but in Prescott he saw it demonstrated.

Mitchell’s definition of discipleship comes from Romans 1:11-12 where Paul wrote, “I long to see you so that I may impart to you some spiritual gift to make you strong— that is, that you and I may be mutually encouraged by each other’s faith.” Discipleship is a sincere desire to move another soul to their destiny. To impart some spiritual gift is central to discipleship. This means that the gift of life that a disciple has from Christ is to be passed on to others. As a disciple your task is to help secure the destiny of another believer. This occurs in a culture of discipleship. The task of every believer is to be involved in strengthening other believers. In a culture of discipleship believers are mutually encouraged and strengthened by one another’s life of faith.

This impartation occurs not just in word. “The most successful dimension in life,” says Mitchell, “comes in apprenticeship.” We learn by example. Several other interviewees echo the refrain, “truth is better caught than taught.” CFM pastors see this in the relationship of Elijah and Elisha. Elisha desires a double portion of the spirit that was in Elijah. He follows Elijah. Those fifty at the school of the prophets stood and watched from a distance (2 Kings 2:1-18). Elisha observed and then did what he saw Elijah do. The result was an accomplished ministry like his master.

Mitchell’s concept of spiritual transformation begins with the understanding that Christianity is not cerebral. Rather it is supernatural. It requires a “supernatural touch”
that is then lived out in experience visible to others. Discipleship requires a spiritual
environment where transformation can be “observed and embraced.” Jesus commission
to the disciples is to teach others to “observe” the things he taught. This is not just
teaching of words but teaching with a demonstration in real life of what it means to be a
follower of Jesus. To “follow Jesus” means, for Mitchell, “to come and be with Jesus and
become like Jesus (Matt. 8:22, 1 Cor. 11:1, 1 Thess. 1:6).” In development of a healing
ministry Mitchell encourages disciples to come and watch. Mitchell repeatedly says in
many contexts “anything he does can be done by others.” He says you will learn more
watching a crusade than in 20 years of teaching. “To observe it is to own it.” It becomes
your experience.

Christianity is a religion of “spiritual power,” says Mitchell. This is what Paul is
saying in 1 Corinthians 4:20, “For the kingdom of God is not a matter of talk but of
power.” The disciple is someone involved in the ministry where they can see the work of
God accomplished in real lives. Discipleship gives people reference points that others can
observe and then own for their own lives.

There was a Chinese national raised in an Atheist tradition in the ministry forum
in Israel. The entire educational system he attended was designed to convince him that
religious people were ignorant people and to believe that the government would through
education fix everything. He focused his life on education. He came to the United States
facing divorce and having only the façade of a happy successful life. That changed when
he saw people who believed God changed lives and “lived as though they were.”

A pastor and fellowship leader attending the forum added that the arena of
evangelism is a distinctive of the fellowship. He used the episode of the Gadarene
demoniac to point out that immediately on conversion one is qualified to be an evangelist. Discipleship begins by doing what Christians do. A former missionary to the Philippines stated the work started when he won the man who came to paint his house to Jesus. He then took him into town and witnessed to people about Jesus. That painter was then in the process of discipleship. He was learning by being shown how and then given opportunity to function. According to another pastor at the forum, “Ministry is a matter of having a converted life experience to share.”

An important ingredient of the CFM ministry is a converted life that is a demonstration of the power of Christ to change lives. In the culture of the CFM the ministry is not just about teaching. Teaching is important. There must also be a demonstrably changed life and a ministry that demonstrates the power of the gospel.

**The Indigenous Church**

In the 2015 interview with Pastor Mitchell he confessed that there was no real plan or program that he had put in place. He literally “stumbled” into discipleship and church planting. “It took five years before I realized what God was doing,” Mitchell told the author. God was restoring the “dignity of the local church.” This dignity is found in the indigenous church principle.

In biology indigenous simply means a species of organism is “native” to a particular area or habitat. In the CFM the term is used to mean “from within.” Indigenous means that the needs of the church are met from within. This idea has fostered the structure of the CFM. For example the Christian Fellowship Ministries is hinged to the term fellowship. It is not a denomination based upon a legal structure. It is a fellowship based on like-mindedness. In a mid-1970s Bible Study called “The Church” Mitchell
explained the church as “God’s force in the earth.” In that study a number of CFM foundations concerning the church were laid. The local church is an important entity in God’s plan of salvation.

The Source of People for the Ministry

Qualified ministry for the various needs of the church must be developed within the church. This does not mean that evangelists, special speakers, and other programs or ministries are precluded from being used by a local church. It does, however, mean the ministries needed to run the church must be developed by the pioneer pastor within the church’s ministry as it grows. Sunday school workers, nursery workers, musicians, and other key people must be developed within the church itself. Discipleship involves people in actual ministry. This is crucial to this maturing of workers within the church. Especially important in this regard is the tendency to build on “church transplants.” This is especially discouraged in the CFM. It is not that these are evil people, although some perhaps are. People leave churches for reasons and sometimes they are not noble ones. The point is that the body grows together and is fitly framed together. The church is a living organism and grows as a unit.

Often the raw material of building the pioneer CFM church does not look very polished and ready for the task of ministry. The early disciples of the Prescott ministry were like much of the JPM. They were hippies, druggies and filled with wanderlust. Conversion was the first step. It was never meant to be an isolated event but an entrance into a lifetime relationship with Jesus as part of his body. These people are the raw material for all God will do through the local church.
Raising Resources for the Ministry

One of the astounding lessons of the indigenous church principle is found in the raising of money to meet the needs of the church. Mitchell’s mantra about money is that God will meet the needs of the kingdom through the people of the kingdom. He is adamant that the church pays her bills and that the way to raise money is not bake sales and car washes. He says, “The pastor must preach on tithing and ask people to give [money].” A local church can raise the resources to do all that God desires through the local congregation. In the summer Bible conference in Prescott the cost was five hundred and twenty-nine thousand dollars. During the five nights of the conference offerings are taken from the approximately three thousand persons in attendance. The Thursday night offering is used exclusively for international church planting. In four night four hundred ninety-seven thousand dollars was given for the conference expenses and the remainder came in within two weeks. The conference was paid for. It’s important to note that there are no registration fees or other fees associated with attendance at the conference. It is paid for by freewill offerings.

Training and Equipping for Ministry

All of the interviewees received their training for the ministry in the discipleship of the local church. It is in the local church where the things they learned by reading, listening to sermons, going to conferences, watching their pastor and seeing other disciples were put into practice and developed. This development of ministry within the local congregation is what Bosch and Hiebert advocate rather than the mission station approach. This is not an advocacy of ignorance. It is an emphasis on the practice of ministry as the primary methodology. In the CFM the disciples are given real ministry.
There are real sinners with eternal destinies at stake in the altar calls and sermons given by disciples. Witnessing and evangelism are to real sinners.

Summary

The indigenous church is self-sustaining, self-governing and self-reproducing. The indigenous church is the place of personnel and financial resources for the ministry. It is the place of establishing converts in the faith. It is the place of training for public ministry. This is what Mitchell said he discovered in the indigenous church.
CHAPTER SIX: COMPARISON AND ANALYSIS

Wayman Mitchell adapted three principles from the Jesus People Movement to the ministry in the Prescott Foursquare Church. Militant evangelism with crisis conversion, empowered discipleship of lay ministry and focused church planting became important in the CFM. These adaptations remain important to third and fourth generation CFM churches. Though the 1970s culture is decades removed from today’s culture the CFM has found these principles effective. They remain effective in church transformation, discipleship formation and multiplication of churches. They are effective in many nations and in many cultures of the world. The center of these three principles is discipleship. Jesus made disciples. It is a simple statement. It implies a process of transformation that leads through discipleship to church planting.

Transformation

Transformation is a process that occurs in a local culture. The biblical and theological data show this to be a spiritual process superintended by the working of the Holy Spirit. Transformation of individuals transforms church culture. The twelve disciples interacted with Jesus on many personal, emotional and physical levels. A. B. Bruce ennobled the first disciples as humble persons but he did not force the issue that they were especially different from the common persons of society. The diversity of the twelve shows discipleship is a possibility for all converts.
New converts are the fuel of church transformation. New or young converts change the nature of an established church. This is what happened in the Prescott church. New converts speak the common language of the world. They have friends and relatives that are unconverted to Christ. They have access to the lost that older saints do not because their circle of friendships is mostly Christian. New converts are often persons that have time and energy for outreaching and touching new souls for Christ. They are looking for change and are willing to learn. They are willing to be disciples.

One of the significant moments in the early CFM occurred at the 1978 international convention of the International Church of the Foursquare Gospel. Mitchell had taken new converts to the convention and in his allotted time for speaking he let them testify. They told of their conversion and experience in ministry. Mitchell then preached the sermon, *Ministry: Opportunity for Every Believer*. In it he laid out the principles of evangelism and empowered discipleship. Empowered discipleship implied a ministry powered by the Holy Spirit. It also implied that real ministry is available to all believers. Every believer can experience the power of God using him or her in ministry. Immediately following conversion they can be effective in ministry to their unsaved friends, relatives and other unsaved persons. Empowered discipleship means that the disciple is actually learning and using what has been learned in real ministry situations. Real ministry situations are not practice exercises. They involve souls who are in crisis and need helpful ministry.

It is easy to miss the point that in the CFM transformation is viewed as an impartation. It is more than theological or leadership training. If anything it could be called followership training. Jesus imparted his life into the apostles as they followed
him. He gave them power and authority (Matt. 10:1; 28:18). They followed Jesus and became like him. In the CFM this process of transformation is encouraged. The aim of the CFM is to make disciples (Matt. 28:19). The goal of believers is to be disciples of Jesus. New converts minister before they are persons with titles or positions of leadership.

Three different interviewees mentioned that in the beginning no one was called a disciple and there was no pattern of ministry. It was spontaneous. Roland Allen makes this point when he writes, “Spontaneous expansion begins with the individual effort of the individual Christian to assist his fellow, when common experience, common difficulties, common toil have first brought the two together.”¹ It was people in relationship with one another and with Jesus. It was in relationship with their pastor and one another that new converts learned to live as Christians. They were transformed.

The JPM was a spontaneous movement among the youth that transformed the Christianity of their generation. Roland Allen defines spontaneous:

> This then is what I mean by spontaneous expansion. I mean the expansion which follows the unexhorted and unorganized activity of individual members of the Church explaining to others the Gospel which they have found for themselves; I mean the expansion which follows the irresistible attraction of the Christian Church for men who see its ordered life, and are drawn to it by desire to discover the secret of a life which they instinctively desire to share; I mean also the expansion of the Church by the addition of new Churches.²

Older and experienced leaders become involved in the JPM. They established churches. Young converts became attached to these pastors. Many desired to be like them.

It was the conviction of the JPM that the gospel was the power of God (1 Cor. 1:18) to live their new life in Christ. This caused them to press for a decision about the gospel. Allen sees it as an important part of Paul’s ministry. He “expected his hearers to be moved.” Allen did not see Paul’s evangelism as merely sowing seed. It was preaching for a decision. “Further, He always contrived to bring his hearers to a point. There was none of the indeterminate, inconclusive talking, which we are apt to describe as ‘sowing the seed.’” In the CFM spontaneous outreaches, movies in parks, concerts and street meetings all involved preaching the gospel for decisions. It wasn’t a program but it did transform churches.

Empowered Discipleship

In the 1970s discipleship became a common theological word. The Jesus People used it to refer to the process of growth and maturity for new converts by following a leader. It became maligned when Jim Jones and his Peoples Temple committed mass suicide. Over nine hundred members died. The mass suicide was largely viewed as the downside of discipleship because they unquestioningly followed a leader to their death. In On January 18, 1982 the Air Force Thunderbirds aerobatic team crashed following the team leader in close formation. The idea of closely following a leader was called into question and cult watchers began to monitor Charismatic leaders. However, following a leader is a rule of combat and essential to victory. The Air Force still flies in close formation.
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Discipleship is the biblical method of spiritual formation. The disciples of the JPM and CFM understood they were in a spiritual war. New converts understood they did not know how to live the Christian life. They looked for examples and leaders. They knew following a leader was essential for personal and corporate survival in a hostile world. Discipleship is following a leader in the arena of spiritual warfare. It is not simple mimicry. It is not unquestioningly following. Discipleship is a biblically sound principle of following a leader and learning how to be a Christian. It is a transfer of life from one person to another.

A benefit of interviewing people is the anecdotal detail that gives insight to the emotional life of the interviewee. Their stories are valuable for understanding complex processes that have shaped their attitudes, character and lifestyle. Being a disciple is the biblical pattern of growth and maturity. In a 2013 interview a Harold Warner disciple and now a successful pastor said, “The thing that really grabbed our imagination was this whole thing about discipleship.” He noticed biblically believers were called disciples before they were called Christians. He then said, “But we also understood from the New Testament that being a disciple of Jesus also meant that we would follow a man who was a God anointed leader and become a disciple of a man.” A leader would prepare others in a relationship called discipleship for their destiny in God’s kingdom. Significantly, he added, “The burden of discipleship was not on the pastor, but on the disciple.” The pastor, the sermons, the ministry of the church would all be of value in a disciple’s life. Nonetheless, he said, “This was very much something personal between me and God.” The relationship between the pastor and the disciple was not just a casual one. It involved God. It involved an attachment to one another and God.
Another interviewee was converted when the Tucson church was growing to about four hundred members. In the interview he said, “Discipleship is a spirit. It’s an impartation of a spirit.” He said, “Pastor Warner didn’t try to become who I was and I look back and admire that.” That is, discipleship was not about dressing and acting relevant to a generation he didn’t belong to. Warner’s pre-Christian life was that of a longhaired strung-out hippie. When the interviewee who is now a successful pastor of a conference church was converted his pastor didn’t look like a longhaired strung-out hippie. The interviewee was a young Mexican who had good parents. Both his mother and father were educators. He rebelled and got involved in a wild lifestyle. He likes like’s to tell people that before he got saved he was a disciple of “Cheech and Chong.” When he looked at his pastor what he saw was a Christian. That’s what he wanted. It was through the preaching of the Word, the church and learning alongside other disciples that he eventually felt called to the ministry and became a pastor. He did not spend long hours with Pastor Warner until years later when he was on staff in the Tucson church. He followed an example set by a pastor in a growing church. He developed friendships with other disciples and they stirred each other to live productively for God. He was given opportunity to minister and that led to feeling called into the ministry as a pastor.

In the CFM discipleship is an opportunity. It is not being given a job. This former Cheech and Chong interviewee said of his own ministry:

I don’t just simply hand out assignments and teach them the protocols of ministry. … I do things over and over again so they can see and understand what I am doing and why I do it. … It is an impartation of what he [Pastor Warner] is in my life. [It] is what developed me as a man of God. If he had simply given me those opportunities without seeing him do it enough, I would have missed something.

He has been in the ministry over thirty years. Of those early years he says, “I wanted to emulate, not imitate.” He wanted the spirit that was in his pastor. He wanted “his passion
of the Word of God, his commitment to set an example, his determination to disciple men 
and plant churches.” Looking back on thirty years of ministry he says that how he 
preaches, how he interacts with people and how he pastors has a “reference point” in his 
pastor. He is a disciple of his pastor.

Another successful pastor and conference leader talked about how he learned to 
minister and said, “By example, that’s all I can say.” It was by following an example and 
not close one-on-one personal encounters. He said as you were following the pastor’s 
example, “God was speaking to you, well, this is what you need to do if you ever want to 
minister one day.” It was a dimension of taking personal responsibility for how one lived. 
He said,

You need to help another man’s ministry first, so I would take personal 
responsibility to pick up a piece of paper off the floor if it needed to be done. I 
would fix this or when no one was looking, I would sweep this, clean that and you 
just wanted to help while you could. And so he [my pastor] taught by example.

A third interviewee echoed the thought saying about the pastor, “He showed us 
what to do and released us to do it.” Another interviewee said the basic form of 
discipleship for him was learned early through the preaching and watching his pastor 
minister. His desire was to impart into our lives what he had and, “I wanted to be what he 
was, serve God the way he served God.” Impartation is difficult to put in words because 
it is one life being imparted to another. It involves lifestyle, vision, passion and 
willingness to be disciplined.

The Lifestyle of the Disciple

In 1981 the author had been in the ministry two years and was pioneering a 
church in Roswell, New Mexico. Pastor Mitchell was holding a revival meeting in nearby 
Hobbs. Six or seven pioneer pastors including the author met with him for breakfast and
informal talk at his motel. It started poorly. The waitress dumped a tray of glasses filled with ice-water over him. He was soaked. He jumped up saying, “It’s okay. It’s okay.” After going to his room and changing clothes he came back and began to answer questions about pioneering.

The question came up, “What do you look for in those you want to disciple for ministry?” He listed seven traits. He started by saying, “They need to be steadfast.” The disciple cannot be erratic in mood swings or behavior. They must display a dimension of faithfulness to church services, outreaches and the things of God. The disciple is an example for others. He noted that God does not change (Mal. 3:6) and the command is to strengthen the feeble dimensions of one’s life and make a level path for the lame or disabled (Heb. 12:12-13). The example the disciple personally establishes must be strong and steady for the lame to easily follow. The context of Hebrews 12 is God’s discipline (12:3-11). God disciplines those he loves. It is a necessary ingredient for a successful Christian life.

One interviewee said he laughed as a new convert at the thought of being called to preach. He felt unworthy of being a disciple. But he felt very strongly God wanted his life for preaching the gospel. “So I made some radical decisions, I stepped out of college and began to focus primarily on preparing for the ministry, preparing to preach.” Those decisions were related to becoming steadfast. “I made a decision that I wouldn’t go to sleep without praying; that every day I would read my Bible; that I would be involved in evangelism and I would learn to work with people.” Those decisions brought him to a greater expression of ministry in the church and led to pastoral ministry. Mitchell started the conversation with steadfastness. The disciple must be steadfast in his lifestyle.
Mitchell also talked about money, relationships and fruitfulness. A disciple needs to be able to handle money and be financially solvent. He noted that it is unwise to plant a disciple in ministry that had large debt and was undisciplined in using money. He said it would be unlikely that a pioneer pastor would be able to survive at a lower pay level if there was large debt. Financial discipline had to be learned while still in the mother church. A disciple also had to be a person who could build relationships and keep them. Persons who forms relationships and then jettison them for minor reasons would never be able to build a church. Churches, Mitchell said, are built on relationships. Fruitfulness is also a necessary trait. Those who are fruitful while in the body will be fruitful when pioneering. It was stated that a person in revival (personally and in a church in revival) can be planted anywhere and be fruitful. An unfruitful person in a potentially good situation would find it difficult to be fruitful. Mitchell also noted for those at the table that every city is hard. Disciples that are candidates for pioneering must be fruitful as soul winners and in ministry to others.

Mitchell continued explaining about motivation, moral discipline and desire to learn. The disciple must be self-motivated. The Prescott church in 1981 had a minimal staff. There was a secretary that took care of the books and wrote the checks to pay the bills. There were a few people who cleaned the church. There was no assistant pastor. Mitchell’s method of testing a potential pioneer was to put on staff a concert director. It provided minimal pay and a house to live in while working for the church. Mitchell commented that the concert director would not have enough to do. He could then tell if he was self-motivated. Could the disciple see needs and respond to them? Could spare time be used wisely? Could relationships be maintained while in a new position of
power? Mitchell continued down his list. Morality is a primary issue in ministry. It is a personal issue to remain morally upright in a world filled with temptation. Finally Pastor Mitchell said the disciple must be a learner. In other words, could the disciple live up to the meaning of the word disciple?

This is certainly not the only list of character issues possible. It may not be the only one Mitchell has put together or preached. It is probably not an exhaustive list. It is a good reference point. It is one list of character traits found in maturing Christians. Erwin McManus shared his concern about having a healthy church culture for producing healthy Christians.

A person who is growing in spiritual maturity is developing emotional health. A person who is growing in spiritual maturity is healing broken relationships and building healthy ones. A person who is growing in spiritual maturity begins to take every thought captive to the obedience of Christ and no longer allows the imaginations of the heart to run riot. A person who is growing in spiritual health begins to treat his or her body as the temple of the Lord and establish personal disciplines that result in overcoming such vices as gluttony. A person who is growing in spiritual health dreams great dreams with God.7

The event in Hobbs illustrates the willingness of Mitchell to share his experience and methods to disciples and pioneers in the ministry. It is a choice to become involved in another persons life and impart some spiritual gift (Rom. 1:11).

Impartation of Vision

Interviewees all mentioned the importance of vision. Many people today simply arrive at where they are. The author pastored in the small highway town of Deming, New Mexico. There were people living in Deming who never intended or planned to be living there. They did not arrive there as a result of any plan or vision for their life. They had

just arrived there. It was not a place or life they had really dreamed about. It was not the place they always wanted to live. They had no plan, no aim and no goal.

A pioneer must be a person of vision. Horace Bushnell preached in 1858 what is perhaps one of America’s most influential sermons—*Every Man’s Life a Plan of God*. In it he said, “God has a definite life-plan for every human person, girding him, visibly or invisibly, for some exact thing which it will be the true significance and glory of his life to have accomplished.”

He continues noting that many give up on God when planning their lives. To young people planning their future Bushnell says, “[A] frequent mistake to be carefully avoided is that … you also give up the hope or expectation that God will set you in any scheme of life.”

Andrew Blackwood writes,

> There are two sorts of ministers whose careers are tragic. The first are those who do not see clearly what it is they are to do. … They walk like men in a fog. The second see with some degree of clearness the destination, but they are too careless or precipitate to build the agencies by which the goal can be attained. Both classes of men arrive nowhere, the first because they do not know where they want to go, the second because they lack the wisdom of fitting means to ends.

There is a tragedy in a disciple lacking vision. Blackwood goes to the point, “A minister has been ordained to an Office; too often he ends up by running an office. He was solemnly ordained to the ministry. … They have ended up in a sort of dizzy occupational oscillation.” Part of the CFM success was in Mitchell’s ability to secure the wanderlust of the hippie generation and JPM. By establishing a church and making a place for
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transformation to take place in a new converts life disciples who stopped wandering began to grow and mature in Christ.

Vision provides a powerful energy to any ministry. It is not a blueprint from heaven providing every detail of one’s life. But there is obedience to the call of God. Abraham’s obedience mattered to God. Abraham did not have a blueprint for all that was to occur in his life. He had promises (Gen. 12:1-3). Joseph’s dream carried him through years of setback, obscurity, loneliness, and injustice (Gen. 37-50). He never violated a position of trust or abused a place of favor. Peter was motivated by a vision to minister cross culturally and it opened a new horizon of ministry (Acts 10-11). Gideon overheard a dream and was emboldened to attack the enemy stronghold with a small band of soldiers (Judg. 6-7). Popular choruses in CFM churches were about vision. CFM disciples expected God to impart vision to them.

Vision is considered vitally important in the CFM. God has a will. God says, “For I know the plans I have for you, declares the Lord, plans for welfare and not for evil, to give you a future and a hope (Jer. 29:11 ESV).” Vision changes how life is approached. Vision allows one to order life around a goal. A disciple could ask to do an outreach, gather some people together, ask for a band or movie and then do an outreach. He could see it happening. He had a vision of souls being converted and his or her city being changed by the power of God. It was not a programmed or planned thing. One interviewee says, “It just came out of our vision and our burden, wanting to do something for God.” He said, “I think [outreaching] just came out of our own vision.” In a similar way another said, “Pastor would talk about vision, you know, you have to have a vision for what God can do, a vision of our fellowship and on and on. So, I’m trying to capture
that, yeah a vision, I want to have a vision.” He prayed for God to give him a vision and believed God did. He went on and became a pastor. Another pastor described it as a common vision of the fellowship. “Our vision to this day is the gospel outside the four walls. That’s what it is made for.” Vision was not something for a few special converts but was something everyone needed. They were seeking a vision for their own life, for their church, and for the nations.

Following the January 1978 Prescott conference the author and another disciple were driving back home when the subject of Russia and China came up. Russia and China in 1978 were viewed as closed nations. In the conversation one said, “Wouldn’t it be great to have churches in Russia and China.” That was a simple statement but the two disciples discussed it several times in the years following. In 2014 a couple from the Globe congregation was placed in Wuxi, China. Today there are thirty-four churches in China and two of them have relationship with the Globe congregation. There are over two-dozen churches in Russia and churches in other nations that in 1978 were considered closed to church planting.

One pastor came into the CFM after being saved in another fellowship in Africa. His first experience with the CFM was attending a crusade in South London. What he saw was a vision that focused on evangelism, He said, “That’s when I realized man, I need some of this.” The human soul is made for great dreams. However, it is more than just a dream. The men and women of faith all died but they had “seen” something. They did not receive “the things promised.” Having seen them they greeted them from afar (Heb. 11:13 ESV). They acknowledged they were pilgrims on the earth seeking a city whose builder and maker was God.
The church is made to change the world. Cities, suburbs, rural communities, and reservations are rife with ministry challenges. Raymond Bakke notes that, “All kinds of sexual experimentation goes on early among urban children.” In El Salvador people die every day from violent crimes and thirty-two percent of the gang members come from evangelical homes. “The church is the agent and community of the kingdom.” Transformation of the city or community on any level requires vision. For a large percentage of a city to come to Christ, for broken homes to be restored, for corruption to be overturned, for truth, grace and compassion to reign there must be a people of vision. None of these things will ever come to pass without a minister with a vision for what can be. “[I]t is only by living in a city, with a theological vision for the city, that we can attempt to reach the city’s people.” The vision for indigenous churches is vital to CFM structure and function. One pair of authors commented on what they perceived as Paul’s view of the church. Paul saw the church in the city, they said, “as a railway station, not an exhibition hall. By both word and deed the gospel was to spread to Jew and Gentile from those communication centers.” Paul’s mission was the transformation of society and the world by the conversion of the Gentiles. Christian’s are the benefactors of all society—doing good to all. This is not about being perfect. It is not accomplished in
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just one attempt.\textsuperscript{18} The pastor and author Warren Wiersbe said, “When I began my ministry back in 1950, I’m afraid I didn’t have a clear vision of what Christian work was all about.\textsuperscript{19}

Vision is imparted. It does not come through a program. The pioneer must have a vision for the city and that vision must be imparted to those gathered together in the new church. The vision is to transform the city with the gospel. The vision is often expressed in the CFM as “We are going to change the world and nobody will know our name.”

People come to church voluntarily. Vision often comes out of a personal crisis. Often, however, people are presented with a program. It can be like entering high school. The first day of class everyone is herded into the gym, sat down on the bleachers, and read the rules. They called it orientation. Ministers are often like that. A new person comes to the church and the first thing that happens is the minister or some older saint sits them down and reads them “the rules.” The orientation easily overwhelms new people. They have no idea what the church’s vision is, what the various activities mean, or why they do the things they do. It doesn’t have to be a large church for that to happen. In too many cases the perceived vision new people get is that the minister is building financial security or seeking market share. The vision is not to have the perfect church in perfect rural or urban America city. These visitors are not perfect people. What they need to see is a vision for people like themselves. They do not see a vision for God’s justice and God’s mercy to fill the souls of the city. They do not see a vision of walking humbly before God and following his calling (Mic. 6:8). To have a vision it is necessary to

\textsuperscript{18} Swanson and Williams, 60-61.

hunger and thirst, have a desire for God, and be committed to his will. The vision is to bring people to Jesus and salvation. Vision creates a compelling reason for the church’s existence.

Impartation of Passion

Paul wrote to the Corinthians, “[Let me] show you a more excellent way (1 Corinthians 12:31 NKJV).” Jesus said, “Your love for one another will prove to the world that you are my disciples (John 13:35 NLT).” Crises shake everything in a person’s life. People face suffering and sickness, fatigue and burnout, trouble and turmoil, friction and strife, and sometimes rebellion and disobedience. In every case, except perhaps rebellion and disobedience, much of the cure is a loving relationship. Gaining new converts or members is not the whole story of church planting. Birthing is not the whole story for anything living. Living things must grow, mature and reproduce. New converts must be grafted into a loving family of believers if they are to survive. Birthing does not assure adulthood. People must be loved.

Christianity began in a conflicted world with conflicted people. Christians and Christianity embrace a new set of values. Christians view power and the use of power differently than the world. Christians do not share the same philosophy of life with the world. They share the same world but have a different value system. Those values conflict with those of society in general.\textsuperscript{20} Love is a massive antidote for the lonely, cynical, and jaded postmodern. Most churches start with a small group or core that is living in a new value system. They must be loved. The author ministered in Kenya for a pastor who hated being there. He saw every person as a liar or thief. He didn’t see any

\textsuperscript{20} Padilla, 220.
possibility of maturing new workers in the ministry. He was soon on his way back home.

Bakke says, “We cannot work in our city unless we love it—its architecture, sewer
system, politics, traditions and neighborhoods.” Mitchell says the pioneer must love the
city, the ministry, and the people in the church. Roland Allen wrote about the heart. What
is true for the disciple is also true for the pioneer and experienced pastor.

He speaks from his heart because he is too eager to be able to refrain from
speaking. His subject has gripped him. He speaks of what he knows, and knows
by experience. The truth which he imparts is his own truth. He knows its force.
He is speaking almost as much to relieve his own mind as to convert his hearer,
and yet he is as eager to convert his hearer as to relieve his own mind; for his
mind can only be relieved by sharing his new truth, and his truth is not shared
until another has received it. This his hearer realizes. Inevitably he is moved by it.
Before he has experienced the truth himself he has shared the speaker’s
experience.22

This is passion. Passion is a crucial ingredient in discipleship. Seeing a small group grow
and become a church requires an impartation of vision, empowered discipleship, and a
passionate love for a community called out and gathered together by God.

The Crucial Dimension of Accountability

Self-control and the ability to accept correction is a vital dimension for the
developing disciple. Stewardship of resources, good relationships, and fruitfulness are all
related to personal discipline. The deepest levels of character are revealed when one is
corrected or disciplined. Every increase and enlargement in ministry is accompanied by
an increase in personal discipline.

Do you see someone skilled in their work?

They will serve before kings;

---

21 Bakke.

22 Allen, Spontaeous, 10.

Discipline is what moderns need the most and want the least.

Too often young people who leave home, students who quit school, husbands and wives who seek divorce, church members who neglect services, employees who walk out on their jobs are simply trying to escape discipline. The true motive may often be camouflaged by a hundred excuses but behind the flimsy front is the hard core of aversion to restraint and control.

Many nervous and emotional disorders are the accumulated result of years of self-indulgent living. … The fatal weakness is unmasked in the day of trial and adversity. A lifelong pattern of running away from difficulties, of avoiding incompatible people, of seeking the easy way, of quitting when the going gets rough finally shows up in a neurotic semi-invalidism and incapacity.23

Maturity is found in the person with self-control who accepts ownership of their behavior. We often think the kingdom of God should be a place where training should be maximized and discipline minimized. Discipline is often equated with rules, regulations, compulsion, and punishment. But, Taylor continues, “To the Christian, discipline means discipleship—following Jesus with one’s self denied and one’s cross resolutely carried.”24 There is something more than obedience or keeping the rules in discipline. Children, soldiers, students and disciples are all to come under discipline. There is more to be gained from discipline than obedience. “The aim of child discipline, or military, or academic, or religious, is a *disciplined character* which goes beyond the minimum demands of these specific disciplines and permeates the whole life. Imposed discipline …

---
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must lead to self-discipline.” The ability to make decisions and order life by subjugating self is crucial for church building.

This is especially important to pioneers. If they leave their home church the structure that supports his or her character and lifestyle will remain behind. It is possible to feel they are virtually alone in a new environment and without the cultural clues of proper behavior. “In a general sense self-discipline is the ability to regulate conduct by principle and judgment rather than impulse, desire, high pressure, or social custom. It is basically the ability to subordinate.” The tasks of decision making, self-motivation, and maintaining emotional stability are intimately related to personal discipline. The task of self-education and having a teachable spirit are related to personal discipline. If the disciple wishes to see his or her vision come to fruition personal discipline is vital.

Discipline and correction are vital to the process of discipleship. It is necessary that the disciple know that accountability is a requirement in ministry. Jesus rebuked Peter (Matt. 16:23). Jesus corrected attitudes of the disciples (Mark 10:43-45). Jesus told parables that directly expressed accountability and judgment for poor decisions. All of the interviewees expressed in some way times when they knew they were being called to account for their behavior. They understood they would have to change. One currently successful and popular pastor tells of an event as a disciple when he knew he was being watched and would be held accountable. He was given opportunity to lead a large outreach in a popular city park. There were over a thousand people gathered to hear the church bands play. He was the preacher for the altar call. As he made the appeal to

25 Taylor.
26 Taylor.
sinners and asked them to respond to the gospel he looked out in the crowd and saw people coming forward. Suddenly he remembered he had not brought convert cards to record the names and details of those responding. The pastor was seven feet away and also watching the response. Talking about it later he said, “There are no convert cards, I know I am a dead man.” Other disciples also realized there were no convert cards. They quickly began to tear off pieces of paper and use backs of flyers to record the details. The disciple went home that night and told his wife, “I quit. I can’t do this anymore.” The next day the pastor said “Good job last night.” He then turned around and left. He knew he wasn’t being commended for forgetting the cards. He was being commended for other aspects of the outreach and for realizing his mistake. It is not always rebuke or correction as much as knowing there is accountability for results. Empowered discipleship is real ministry that means responsibility and accountability.

The ability to run an outreach and take care of the details was an important element in discipleship. It involves ministry and responsibility. To be able to preach to a thousand sinners in a park was a great honor and privilege. It was also something watched by the pastor and if something went wrong then correction could be expected. The stories of miscues and mistakes are as many as there are disciples. Correction and direction when things do not work properly is a needed aspect of empowered discipleship. Given opportunity to minister was nothing to be taken lightly. It was understood that the souls being ministered to at any given time might not have another opportunity to hear the gospel.

It is a mistake to believe that these disciples are novices with little or no training. Pioneers are converts with recognized ministry. God recognizes their ministry by giving
them fruitfulness. Their pastor recognizes their ministry. More importantly it is their peers who recognize their ministry. Rarely would a disciple be called to pioneer who had not had proven ministry within the congregation over the course of three or more years. In one respect it is the congregation that puts their reputation on the line by supporting the disciple in a pioneer work.

On another occasion a pair of disciples were running a movie outreach. They were going to show a movie on one of the old 16mm projectors. After they were nearly set up and it was time to start they realized there was no take-up reel. One of them found a trash can and they simply ran the film’s take-up footage into the trashcan. They could put it on the original reel when they finished. The ability to make things work is an important dimension of empowered discipleship. The expectation is that the person on the scene must find a way to make it work. This becomes crucial in pioneering a church. The pioneer is the one responsible for making things work. The pioneer is the one called to that city and place. The pioneer can call the pastor for wisdom, insight, and direction in many things but ultimately the pioneer is responsible and accountable for making it work. It is the pioneer’s vision and desire that has brought the new work into being.

Discipline, correction and rebuke are based on relationship. This is why relational attachment is important in discipleship. A typical reaction to correction is anger. Some people simply quit when they are corrected. This is especially true if there is public exposure to correction or discipline. Without relational attachment between the disciple and the pastor correction will not accomplish the needed transformation. In an interview with an international pastor born to immigrant parents’ correction was one of the biggest obstacles to discipleship. He viewed correction as rejection. Correction meant being unfit
or unworthy. It could even mean being unwanted. This came from his background of rejection by his father. Biblically discipline comes to sons because of love (Hebrews 12:3-11). It is a false love that refuses to correct. Discipline works because of a love relationship. Roland Allen’s observation is that without judgment the process of human life comes to no “vivid conclusion” and moral discipline will have “no harvest.”

Without real accountability there can be no real change. There can be obedience outwardly but no real inward change of the person. Allen wrote about Paul’s use of judgment in preaching. It is, however, applicable to discipleship. Without accountability and discipline there can be no lasting change. Discipline is based on the relationship of a disciple and pastor. It is the attachment relationship that allows real correction and discipline to work in the disciples’ life.

This is not control or authoritarianism. Authority and authoritarianism do not mean the same thing. In the 2008 election year the leader of the tour in Israel was worried about his church and US pastor. He asked Pastor Mitchell how he controlled his members. This man had conducted many tours and observed large numbers of pastors and their congregations. He was asking because he saw the discipline and unity in the groups Mitchell had on the tour. He had also noticed it on other tours with Mitchell. The reply was, “I don’t control. I influence.” This is based on integrity as a leader and on the relationships he has maintained over many years.

The CFM disciple is expected to grow and mature in the things of God. Growth and maturity are recognizable by the pastor and by the congregation. This process does not stop when the disciple becomes a pastor. There is still accountability and correction.
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Conferences, rallies and ministry gatherings are all important throughout the course of one’s ministry. Interaction with one’s peers in ministry is vital. Hearing correction from a peer, from a pastor or from the Holy Spirit are signs of growing maturity and necessary for fruitful and increasing ministry.

Focused Church Planting

The processes of militant evangelism and empowered discipleship lead to a focus on church planting. Planting churches is a difficult, expensive and unpredictable endeavor. Church planting in the CFM compares well to the Book of Acts and the ministry of the apostle Paul. Church planting is the release of ministry from the mother church. It is the natural result of evangelism and discipleship. The gospel requires an individual decision about Jesus. Anyone can respond to the gospel. There is another result of the gospel that is often overlooked. Roland Allen wrote, “There is one other aspect of St. Paul’s preaching which is often taken for granted, but it is certainly not true—that the Gospel of St. Paul was purely individualistic.” Paul did not preach as an isolated minister but as an Apostle of the Church of God. He gathered converts from the world into a society of believers in Christ. Paul saw them as the body of Christ. Allen says, “The first and most striking difference between his action and ours is that he founded ‘churches’ whilst we found ‘missions’.” Planting new churches was the outworking of Mitchell’s discovery that God was restoring the dignity of the local church. That is the local church however small or insignificant appearing the location has a place in God’s economy. The local church has value and worth in the eyes of God. It
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has an honorable position in the works of the Lord Jesus. The first CFM disciples planted in local churches met a Foursquare denominational need. It quickly became obvious that discipleship brought some disciples to a place of greater ministry. They felt a calling to pioneer.

Joe Zeable was born in New York and raised in El Paso Texas. He had attended a Catholic church till his early teens and then got involved in alcohol and marijuana. He moved to Arizona to work. He was witnessed to and was converted after watching the film *A Thief in the Night* in a CFM church. He was immediately changed and delivered. He had no desire for alcohol or marijuana. His discipleship was with what he saw as a radical pastor. It was a pastor who was not ashamed or intimidated when it came to preaching the gospel. Joe grew in Christ. He became involved in ministry. At a conference in Prescott he told God, “I’m in.” That is, he was committed to the ministry he saw at the conference.

God opened a door and in 1983 he began a pioneer work in Texas. After four years he came home to his mother church for a time of refreshing and redirection. In three weeks he was asked to take the pastorate of a church in a small mining town. He said, “I learned to pastor there.” The church grew. They launched three churches from that small community. He began to travel overseas and minister. In four years he was again at a conference and was asked to take over a larger and long standing church. Again his ministry was enlarged and again began to plant new churches. After five years he looked back at his life and ministry. He wanted to put it in perspective. As a result he volunteered to go overseas as a missionary. He told Pastor Mitchell that he would go “anywhere there was a need.” A door to minister in South Africa opened. He went to a
major seaport in South Africa and settled in a multi-ethnic suburb. South Africa was a race divided nation. He opened the church on a Sunday morning with fifty persons in attendance. Sunday night the crowd doubled. It continued to grow over the next eight years.

Joe Zeable is an experienced church planter and pioneer. He said it is one of the most difficult things to do. Several factors were important to his success. First was confidence. He had confidence in the gospel. He believed that if the gospel was preached God would convert people. He also had confidence in his pastor. Second was the need of a vision. Thirdly there was investment in people that were not like him. Pioneering in a foreign nation means winning people to Jesus and to oneself. It requires building relationships. In South Africa he located in an area with a large Indian population. They were predominantly Hindu. Many became converted and when they were converted their hearts changed about racism. The suburb was about sixty-five percent Indian and thirty-five percent black African. He became concerned about diversity and began to reach out to some young black boys from behind the hill. His efforts began to work. Soon he pastored a multiethnic congregation in a nation where that is a rarity. He said, “We didn’t have a whole lot. We had a vision.” The vision for a nation and confidence in the gospel led to a thriving multiethnic church that was self-supporting, self-governing and self-propagating.

Zeable noted a significant event occurred when talking to a man after church one Sunday. The man pointed to a white Mercedes automobile. He said that the church was doing well and that Pastor Zeable would one day be driving a car like that. Joe responded saying, “No, I will not be driving a car like that. Even if I could afford it I wouldn’t be
driving a car like that.” The man asked him “Why?” At that moment three of the young black disciples from behind the hill walked out of the church and passed the two men. They waved and “Good-bye pastor. See you tonight.” Joe said to the man he was talking to, “That’s why.” Joe related how those three young persons had barely enough money to get a taxi or bus to work. They did not own a car, probably never would and they would also probably never drive a car. Owning a nice car was not a thing that was morally wrong or not affordable. It was the deeper issue of the vision Joe had for the nation of Africa. A vision that was inclusive and not exclusive. It was an issue about the passion he had for souls in that nation of South Africa. It was an issue of knowing there was accountability for his example.

Long-term ministry had taught him about building a foundation of discipleship in the local church. Newbigin, Van den Toren, and Hiebert have pointed out that the local church is the place of discipleship. When a new convert stays in his or her local church and works a job with people that he can relate to he can learn about ministry. A disciple who is empowered in ministry learns about people and how to relate to them. A pioneer pastor is on the job and learning all the time. A disciple learns by experience how to deal with diverse human personalities and reach out to other ethnic and social groups.

Wade Schultz was converted in 1981 and says he learned what he knows about pastoring in the church and from his pastor. He saw integrity as the primary issue. That integrity was manifest in his pastor’s care of the sheep. Fruitfulness was about getting the sheep and new converts to live for God. He noticed that the pastor took personal responsibility. He said the issue was the sermon behind the sermon. That is the man doing the sermon. The preacher cannot preach beyond what he is. The disciple has to
discover these principles and make them a personal possession. They are not put into disciples by demand but by example. He said the disciple learns the subtle issues by experience. By the time an empowered disciple enters the pastoral role there has been learning by experience. The experience gained by actually working with people provides the new pastor with a wealth of wisdom only gained by experience.

Roland Allen may overstate the case or seem extreme. Nonetheless there is a principle of learning that is crucial. Talking about Paul he says:

He never proceeded by command, but always by persuasion. He never did things for them, he always left them to do things for themselves. He set an example according to the mind of Christ, and he was persuaded that the Spirit of Christ in them would teach them to approve that example and inspire them to follow it. Allen later added that Paul had confidence in his converts. “He could trust them. He did not trust them because he believed in their natural virtue or intellectual sufficiency. If he believed in that, his faith must have been sorely shaken. But he believed in the Holy Ghost in them.” Allen believed Paul’s confidence was that Christ would care for the things Paul committed to Him and that indeed Christ would build his church.

The expectation in the CFM is that a pioneer will build after the example established in the mother church. Two things that hinder pioneering of new churches are a) over control by the mother church or supporting organization and b) the self-will of the pastor. Self-will is the natural enemy of building a church. Self-will will not translate into disciples. It produces followers that may appear to be disciples. It may produce people who do what they are told. It does not produce people who can make decisions based on principles. It does not produce disciples who can think through problems and establish a
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plan to deal with them. Godly zeal is the natural ally of building a church.\textsuperscript{32} It is impossible to see the spontaneous zeal and excitement of church planting when everything is micromanaged and controlled by others who live fearing failure of their investment. The multiplication of disciples and churches is the Book of Acts pattern (Acts 6:1, 6:7, 9:31, 16:5).

\textbf{Summary}

A ministry of discipleship and church planting developed from the broken Foursquare Church of Prescott and has been reproduced in four generations of disciples. It seems to fit Paul’s pattern of ministry for establishing indigenous churches. The evangelism, discipleship and church planting of the CFM does compare favorably with the biblical data of Matthew 28:18-20, Acts 1:8 and the ministry of Paul in Acts 13-28. It also fits well with the discovery of mission’s experts David Bosch, Leslie Newbigin, Paul Hiebert and with the discipleship discoveries of Chris Shirley. The local church is the place of disciple making. The indigenous church is the aim of expansion through planting churches. Roland Allen concurs saying, “If we want to see spontaneous expansion we must establish native [indigenous] churches free from our control.”\textsuperscript{33} That is support and oversight from a foreign office or nation that takes decision-making away from the local church hinders the production of the indigenous disciples. He says, “We should cease to talk of a native church as something to be attained after long years, or generations of probation. There would be native Churches at once which all men would recognize as

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{32} Allen, \textit{Spontaneous}, 16.
\item \textsuperscript{33} Allen, \textit{Spontaneous}, 13.
\end{itemize}
The process of empowered discipleship leads to transformation of converts. The transformation of converts transforms the church leading to an indigenous church. It is self-supporting, self-governing and self-reproducing. This fits a New Testament pattern of multiplication of disciples and churches.

---
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CHAPTER SEVEN: REFLECTION

This research project was undertaken to discover elements important to the transformation of the Prescott Foursquare Church. In 1970 it was a broken and declining church. It has been transformed to a movement that has multiplied disciples and churches on a worldwide scale. The elements of crisis conversion, empowered discipleship and focused church planting were important in the transformation. These elements appear to be applicable, sustainable and centering for the Christian community.

Applicability

This study has both strengths and weaknesses. These affect the conclusions about whether crisis conversion, empowered discipleship and focused church planting are relevant to the greater Christian community. It is possible that the story of the Prescott Foursquare church is a singular event and occurred because of unique circumstances. That is the transformation of the church could be because of unique events in history such as the 1970s Jesus People Movement and the social conditions of that era. It could be unique theological discoveries that led to the Prescott transformation. It is possible that it was a unique leader or group of persons that led to the transformation. It is true that the JPM was a peculiar era and that Wayman Mitchell became the pastor of a church at that time. That does not mean principles and methods cannot be drawn from the CFM movement.
Strengths and Weaknesses of the Research

Credibility of research rests on the credibility of the researcher and the data collected. The embedded nature of the researcher in this project is both a strength and weakness of the project. The embedded nature of the researcher within the CFM, the cultural and personal data of interviewees, and access to key CFM persons and material add credibility to the project.

The researchers long term familiarity with the CFM and its processes has allowed the author to experience church planting, empowered discipleship, and evangelism for conversion. The author has also been able to travel extensively throughout the CFM and see firsthand international and domestic conferences and churches. Although often viewed as a weakness personal involvement adds strength to any research. Being able to see from the inside provides many details and insights not available from the outside.

The process of conducting interviews and personal follow-up strengthen the project. Lengthy interviews sometimes uncover inconsistencies and contradictions that are useful in analysis of the data. Personal interviews also strengthen the project by eliminating third party hearsay, opinions and editing of outside information. By focusing on the internal material and interviews the project is strengthened as a phenomenological research project.

The embedded nature of the author in the research is also a weakness of the research. Because of a vested interest in the CFM it is possible to overlook or miss important details. Some things may be assumed even if not explicitly expressed by interviewees. Some things can be editorialized or disregarded if they negatively impact the overall theme of the research. All movements have detractors. All churches, and
especially large ones, draw criticism from the world and the theological community. In this study the author did not actively pursue and interview detractors or dissidents. It was felt to be outside the scope of the research. It was also not possible in the time frame of the research to go looking for and researching outside persons and material. The project was seeking to discover trigger elements of transformation.

Another weakness of the research is that it deals with only one broken church. It became a successful Church in many ways. It cannot necessarily be a model for all churches or the greater Christian community. That does not mean it is an unimportant story for the Christian community. It is an important and encouraging story. It is not the whole story. Other movements arose from the JPM that have various successes and failures. This is one story.

Relevance to the Greater Christian Community

The beginning of the Prescott ministry was during a desperate time in the life of the church. It was broken. It was maligned. Mitchell was discouraged over the denomination and religious people who were content and unchanging. The new converts of young people were also desperate. They were strung out on drugs or simply tired of wandering when they came to God and surrendered their life to Jesus. For a desperate congregation with desperate people this case study might prove helpful. Certainly it is a picture of what God can do with a broken church.

The Prescott story of transformation is one story. That does not make it irrelevant to the greater Christian community. The obvious uniqueness of the Jesus People Movement does not mean a similar social environment is the only soil for transformation. The culture of the United States has changed in the last fifty years. The CFM, however,
continues to grow. It continues to expand even though there have been radical changes in cultural changes. It also continues to expand into other nations and cultures. The core findings of this research seem applicable to all broken churches. Transformation does not have to be birthed in the social environment of the 1970s. Transformation begins with the transformation of individuals.

Conversion of sinners is especially important. Converted sinners’ change the church. Connecting their salvation event to discipleship in a local congregation is crucial to transformation. Discipleship is the process Jesus used to train people for ministry. Jesus empowered disciples to do the work of the ministry (Matt. 10:1-10). In the CFM the conversion of sinners and empowered discipleship led to church planting. It led to the multiplication of disciples and churches.

Another aspect of the CFM important to the greater Christian community is that these principles can work in a variety of cultures. The JPM was initially white males. However the ministry of the Prescott church attracted Hispanic families from the start. A few were illegally in the US from Mexico. The author attended conferences and tracked the kinds of people currently in CFM ministry. In the January 2016 conference the author tracked the diversity of ministry at the conference. The opening prayer for the conference was from Danny Manygoats, a Native American pastor. Pastors who did the opening prayers the remaining nights were from Jamaica, Netherlands, United Kingdom, and South Africa. There were twenty-three reports given by pastors. Nineteen of these were from the foreign field. There were reports from South Africa, Argentina, Ecuador, Vanuatu, Mexico, Solomon Islands, Bolivia and China among them. The main speakers of the conference included native pastors from Mexico, Netherlands, Russia and the
United Kingdom. In addition there were Hispanic and Black speakers from the United States. Persons from the United Kingdom and the Navajo Choir provided special music for two of the five evening services. There is evidence that the ministry of the CFM is applicable to other cultures and other nations.

**Sustainability**

The Prescott story is just over forty-five years old. The author’s home church in Globe, Arizona celebrated their forty-year anniversary in 2016. Although the CFM has generated churches through three and four generations it is still too early to say it is sustainable as a movement.

Two messages of the fortieth anniversary Globe Harvester’s homecoming focused on sustainability of the movement. The congregation was encouraged to be involved personally in discipleship and to maintain a culture of discipleship and church planting. By giving energy to evangelism, discipleship and church planting they would remain fruitful and on the “cutting edge” of God’s purposes. They were told that a commitment to these things would produce fruitfulness in their lives and the church. They were encouraged to be steadfast and not diverted to other issues or spiritual winds of doctrine. Discipleship, they were told, could not be reduced to a program.

Roland Allen’s *Missionary Methods: St. Paul or Ours* deals indirectly with the issue of sustainability. Allen seems to assume that St. Paul’s methods of church planting and evangelism are sustainable because they God’s choices. Fifteen years after its first publication Allen wrote a preface to the 1927 revised edition. In Allen’s preface to he establishes his thought that Paul’s work is the pattern for church expansion.

I myself am more convinced than ever that in the careful examination of his work, above all in the understanding and appreciation of his *principles*, we shall find the
solution of most of our present day difficulties. We are talking today of indigenous churches. St. Paul’s churches were indigenous churches in the proper sense of the word; and I believe that the secret of their foundation lay in his recognition of the church as a local church … and in his profound belief and trust in the Holy Spirit indwelling his converts and the churches of which they were members, which enabled him to establish them at once with full authority.¹

He notes two objections to his thesis in the preface. First there is a difference between the cultures of Paul’s day and today. Second that Paul’s converts were drawn from the synagogue and were able to preserve the churches from falsehood. The objection is that the Jews were a special class of people familiar with God and uniquely able to keep the doctrine pure. The claim based on these two objections to Allen’s thesis of planting indigenous churches is that it was possible for Paul but impossible today.²

Allen then challenges these objections and reasserts Paul’s methods through the rest of the revised edition.

Allen establishes the main points of Paul’s method by first responding to the idea that Paul first had a strategy of first reaching strategic cities with the gospel. It is true that Paul preached in the major cities. Allen establishes in chapters 2, 3 and 4 that cultural issues such as economically, politically or commercially strategic cities do not determine the viability of the gospel. Philip preached in “all the cities (Acts 8:40).” It is also true that he preached first in synagogues and among people conversant in the monotheism of the Jews. It is also true that moral and social situations were different from today. Profound in Paul’s day was a common belief in demons, a religious world dominated by mystery and superstition, and the moral implications of slavery. Disease and uncleanness

¹ Allen, Methods. vii.
² Allen.
were common in most of the regions where Paul preached the gospel. Religious practices were often intertwined with immorality.

Nonetheless Allen’s point is that these were not the keys elements in establishing the churches. It was the activity of the Holy Spirit. It was the Holy Spirit that called and separated Paul for the work of the gospel. It was by the Holy Spirit that Paul was led to places and circumstances where he ministered (Acts 13:1-3). That is, Paul did not have a concrete plan of attack or a particular strategy for the ministry based human analysis. The Macedonian call to carry the gospel into Europe was not Paul’s original aim but a direct intervention by the Holy Spirit (Acts 16:6-10). Allen adds a dozen more illustrations to make his point. The gospel was not limited by culture, class, economic or social conditions. The ministry of Paul to establish churches in Asia and Europe was not confined to certain types of cities or classes of people. It was accomplished by the superintending work and power of the Holy Spirit.

There have been objections to the thrust of Allen’s argument. But Allen makes his case that in many situations it was the direction of the Holy Spirit that led Paul to certain provinces. His desire was to establish sending churches to reach the many provincial towns and cities where he passed through. This is important to understand focused church planting does not mean a concrete plan but rather a focus on church planting under the leading of the Holy Spirit.

Allen continues in the following chapters to press the point of creating indigenous churches. He includes a chapter on miracles, one on self-support of the new churches without micromanagement by a foreign office, and one on the preaching of Paul. The ministry of the Holy Spirit with miracles, the raising of money for self-supporting
churches and preaching the gospel form the core of what Allen views as important in the ministry of planting indigenous churches. Allen concludes with chapters on church organization, authority and discipline. These chapters are viewed as crucial to indigenous church planting. Allen sees these as important to sustaining the spontaneous expansion of the church. What Allen sees in Paul’s ministry is in his view the norm for Christianity. It is sustainable if the principles are maintained.

The sustainability of the CFM largely rests on the ability to maintain its core evangelism for conversions, empowered discipleship of converts and a focus on church planting. This requires the superintending of the Holy Spirit and the direction of strong leadership. It requires leadership that has the qualities of steadfastness and a life of example. It means a leadership that has a capacity to become involved and committed to discipleship. These are characteristics found in Pastor Wayman Mitchell. Here is no question that his leadership has been essential. Through several rounds of doctrines that swept through the church world and challenged his vision and example he has remained steadfast. His example has been unwavering.

The author has traveled with pastor Mitchell on overseas trips. One of the outstanding characteristics of his leadership is his commitment discipleship. He is steadfast in his example, in what he believes and how he behaves. In the Philippines and on other occasions the author has observed that he lives as an example. He gets up and goes to prayer, he studies during the day, he preaches in seminars or evening services and in a remarkable way does not change. This is in spite of others struggling with jet lag, long overseas flights, flight delays, poor hotel rooms or accommodations and strange food. He is constantly involved with church members and disciples being groomed for
ministry. The staff of the Prescott church remains remarkably small. It is a hands on ministry.

Sustainability will be judged in the following generations of churches. Disciples who grow into ministry and establish churches must continue to provide strong leadership and example for new converts. Sustainability depends on evangelism for new converts. It depends on the release of the best and most productive disciples to the harvest field. These are centering principles. They keep the main thrust of the CFM on course and free from distractions. Roland Allen has correctly noted some of the centering of Paul’s ministry. They correspond with much that is centering for the CFM. principles for successfully changing the world for Christ.

**Centering Principles**

The CFM generally has a worship style. These are generally practiced throughout the world. Stepping into a CFM church in Manila would resemble in many ways a CFM church in South Africa or the Netherlands. Certainly there would be language differences and the songs might seem unrecognizable. Nonetheless the basic format would be familiar. This project was not focused on these aspects of the CFM. The challenge of the research was to discover core principles that brought transformation to the Prescott church. The conclusion of this project is that the principles of evangelism, discipleship and church planting brought about a transformation in the Prescott congregation and a ministry that brought them out of brokenness to a place of dignity and influence throughout the world.

Maintaining the centering themes of the CFM is part of the dynamic of fellowship conferences. Every six months the primary International conference is held in Prescott.
Other conferences are held during the year. Conferences provide interaction of disciples and churches with directive preaching and ministry. Business meetings are important in maintaining a cohesive core of legal, social and business matters. The interaction of disciples with leaders in the fellowship and interaction of the elders and leadership with one another are important to maintaining the centering principles throughout the fellowship.

Further Avenues of Research

This project is not the end of possible research of the Prescott church or CFM. The author became aware of other research possibilities in the course of this project. The relevance of trained academic input or support was one area. Whether there is a need for such is a question the author receives on occasion. This project started years before in a conversation with Wayman Mitchell. The author is a disciple of the Prescott ministry. In 1988 after returning from preaching a revival in Argentina the author met with Pastor Mitchell. He had just returned from the preaching in the Philippines. He said to the author, “We have people pastoring who do not know what we believe.”

The author was struck by that comment. In Argentina the author had seen a new pastor full of zeal and excitement spend half his paycheck on books so he could study and preach more effectively. He was a welder at the time and half his paycheck while pioneering a church was a large investment. The problem was cult groups published all the books he bought. Cult groups publish cheaply their literature in many third world nations.

The author was inspired to write a syllabus on doctrine that could be easily reproduced and given to church members. Teaching through the syllabus in the third
world brought to mind many questions. That led to pursuing a Master of Theology degree and then to Bethel Seminary where this research project was undertaken. What place does an academic hold in a move of God and what impact might an academic have is one area of possible further research.

Evangelism is important to the CFM. Not all view evangelism as a conversion. Social justice is a popular topic and an issue. What occurs in the arena of social justice within the CFM is in need of further research. Highly evangelistic movements are often accused of ignoring the social side of the gospel. Research might be done in this area. How social justice works in a highly motivated evangelistic, disciple making church works would be a useful and helpful arena of research.

The impact of the liturgy, technology, social media and modern cultural trends would provide avenues of research. Study of the leadership itself would be a worthwhile research opportunity. Insight to character and training could prove of value to the greater Christian community. This project does not exhaust the story of the CFM. It tells the story of a discouraged, small, rural congregation in rural America and how it grew under the direction of the Holy Spirit to impact individuals and communities in the world with the gospel. It is a story composed of many stories of individuals, churches, communities and nations.
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