Bethel University

Spark

All Electronic Theses and Dissertations

2019

Labor Induction Methods and Their Impact on Duration of Labor and Rate of Cesarean Section

Ashley M. Golinghorst Bethel University

Follow this and additional works at: https://spark.bethel.edu/etd

Part of the Nursing Midwifery Commons

Recommended Citation

Golinghorst, A. M. (2019). Labor Induction Methods and Their Impact on Duration of Labor and Rate of Cesarean Section [Master's thesis, Bethel University]. Spark Repository. https://spark.bethel.edu/etd/237

This Master's thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Spark. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Spark.

LABOR INDUCTION METHODS AND THEIR IMPACT ON THE DURATION OF LABOR AND RATE OF CESEREAN SECTION

A MASTER'S PROJECT SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL BETHEL UNIVERSITY

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

ASHLEY M GOLINGHORST

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN NURSING

MAY 2019

BETHEL UNIVERSITY

Labor Induction Methods and Their Impact on the Duration of Labor and Rate of Cesarean

Section

Ashley M Golinghorst

May 2019

Approvals:

Project Advisor Name: Bernita Missal, PhD, RN

Project Advisor Signature:

Second Reader Name: Jane Wrede, PhD, APRN, CNM

Second Reader Signature:

Director of Nurse-Midwifery Program Name: Jane Wrede. PhD, APRN

Director of Nurse-Midwifery Program Signature:

Acknowledgments

I would like to start by thanking my amazing family, who has supported me on this journey. My two wonderful children, Zeke and Zoey, who proved to be just the perfect combination of distraction and motivation to get me through this. To my parents, Doug and Tammy, that have helped so many times with watching my wonderful distractions so that I can focus. To Austin, thank you for coming and helping all the times that I called or texted you in a panic, unsure how I was going to get any work done with the chaos of two children.

To my wonderful friends that have offered such encouragement and support during this time. Thank you so much for being understanding about my lack of time for a social life. A huge thank you to my coworkers, who tolerated listening to me go on and on about labor induction methods. Thank you so much to Annie Gilbertson, who started my passion for being a nursemidwife and was one of the first to encourage me to apply to graduate school at Bethel! Your words of encouragement gave me the courage to start down this path!

I would also like to say a huge thank you to my capstone advisor, Bernita Missal. Bernie, thank you so much for your feedback and encouragement! I honestly would not have been able to do this without your guidance. Thank you to Dr. Jane Wrede, my second reader and program director. Many thanks to all the faculty at Bethel University, you are some of the most encouraging women I know and are amazing examples of the midwife I hope to be some day! Thank you as well to the staff at the Writing Center for all your feedback!

Lastly, but most importantly, I give thanks to God. There have been so many times when I have relied on His Strength to get me through and I know that without Him, I would not be where I am at today! I am truly beyond blessed and infinitely grateful!

Abstract

Background/Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to critically review current literature regarding current methods for labor induction and their impact on the duration of labor and rate of cesarean section.

Theoretical Framework: Imogene King's Theory of Goal Attainment is the framework used for this literature appraisal. King's theory focuses on the dynamic interacting systems for goal attainment (Gonzalo, 2011).

Methods: Twenty-one original research articles involving labor induction methods were critically reviewed. Additionally, six systematic reviews involving labor induction methods were also included.

Results/Findings: Labor induction is associated with an overall increased risk of cesarean section section. Intravenous oxytocin use alone was associated with the highest risk of cesarean section when used in nulliparous women. The risk of cesarean section was not as high when a combination of labor induction methods was utilized. The use of mechanical cervical ripening agents, such as Foley-bulb or Cook catheters were associated with a lower rate of cesarean section when compared with pharmacological cervical ripening agents. Mechanical cervical ripening is also associated with a shorter duration of labor when used simultaneously with intravenous oxytocin.

Implications for Research and Practice: Nurse-midwives need to be knowledgeable about the various methods for labor induction. It is crucial that they discuss the risks and benefits of labor induction with their patients and that labor inductions are not performed without medical indication. When a labor induction is performed nurse-midwives should utilize a combination of methods to shorten the duration of labor and minimize the risk of cesarean section.

Conclusion: The findings of this critical review of the literature support the use of a combination of labor induction methods and avoiding non-medically indicated inductions due to the increased rate of cesarean section associated with labor induction.

Keywords: labor induction, cesarean section, duration of labor, mechanical cervical ripening, Cook catheter, Foley-bulb catheter, transcervical ripening balloon, pharmacological cervical ripening, misoprostol, dinoprostone, Mifepristone, oxytocin, amniotomy, King's Theory of Goal Attainment, nurse-midwifery, hallmarks of midwifery

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	
Abstract	4
Chapter I: Introduction	8
Statement of Purpose	9
Evidence Demonstrating Need	9
Significance to Nurse-Midwifery	11
Theoretical Framework	12
Summary	14
Chapter II: Methods	16
Search Strategies	16
Criteria for Inclusion and Exclusion of Research Studies	16
Summary of Selected Studies	17
Evaluation Criteria	
Summary	19
Chapter III: Literature Review and Analysis	20
Synthesis of Matrix	20
Synthesis of Major Findings	20
Duration of Labor	21
Rate of Cesarean Section	27
Critique of Strengths and Weaknesses	
Summary	34
Chapter IV: Discussion, Implications, and Conclusions	35

Literature Synthesis	35
Trends and Gaps in the Literature	35
Implication for Midwifery Practice	
Recommendations for Future Research	37
Integration of King's Theory for Goal Attainment	37
Conclusion	
References	40
Appendix 1: Matrix of the Literature	47

Chapter I: Introduction

In obstetrics, the induction of labor is one of the most commonly performed procedures during pregnancy (Ruhl & Bingham, 2014). The rate of labor induction continues to rise in the United States. In 2010, the National Center for Health Statistics reported that 23.4 percent of labors in the United States were induced (Ruhl & Bingham, 2014). The rate of labor induction has more than doubled in the past two decades (Ruhl & Bingham, 2014). Women who have their labor induced have been shown to have a higher rate of cesarean section; this is especially true for nulliparous women (Ruhl & Bingham, 2014). The increased rate of labor inductions has been attributed to an increased rate of cesarean section in the United States, as one of the most common indications for cesarean section is failed induction (Ruhl & Bingham, 2014). The rate of cesarean section has dramatically increased over the past two decades in correlation with the rising rate of labor inductions. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the rate of cesarean section was 31.9 percent for 2016, which was an improvement from the peak rate in 2009 of 32.9 percent (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017). For many years, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended a cesarean section rate between 10-15 percent, however a recent review of current research has found that there is no improvement in maternal or newborn mortality rates when the cesarean section rate of a population level exceeds 10 percent (World Health Organization, 2015). Considering the correlation between labor induction and cesarean section rate, there is the question of how to use induction methods in a way that optimizes labor outcomes. This paper will provide a critical review of research regarding various induction methods and their impact on the rate of cesarean section and the duration of labor.

Statement of Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to examine current research on methods commonly used for labor induction and their impact on the duration of labor and rate of cesarean section. This paper will discuss how the combination of these methods may be beneficial in reducing the duration of labor and the rate of cesarean section in women undergoing induction of labor. The methods addressed in this paper will include mechanical cervical dilation, pharmacological agents used for cervical ripening, intravenous oxytocin, and amniotomy. Mechanical methods discussed in this paper will include commonly used transcervical balloons such as Foley-bulbs and Cook catheters. Pharmacological agents for cervical ripening and labor induction, as reviewed in this paper include misoprostol, dinoprostone, and mifepristone. Also addressed will be the timing of the amniotomy, also referred to as artificial rupture of membranes (AROM) and how adjusting the timing of AROM may impact the duration of labor and the rate of cesarean section. This paper will also discuss how King's Theory for Goal Attainment can be applied to inductions to lead to improved labor outcomes.

Evidence Demonstrating Need

Considering the increasing rate of labor inductions and the correlation with an increased rate of cesarean delivery, it is imperative that healthcare providers are only performing labor inductions when medically indicated and with evidence-based methods. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) released an updated practice bulletin addressing the induction of labor in 2009. ACOG (2009) discussed the importance of cervical ripening before labor induction and addressed various methods. For cervical ripening, mechanical and pharmacological methods were addressed. The goal of cervical ripening is to create a favorable cervix, as defined by a Bishop score of greater than six. Research has found that a Bishop score

greater than eight results in similar rates of vaginal delivery after labor induction that are comparable with the rate of vaginal delivery after spontaneous labor. ACOG addressed the different methods of cervical ripening for labor induction and made recommendations on their usage. However, even within those recommendations, there is no clear single method that is associated with decreased duration of labor and decreased rate of cesarean deliveries (ACOG, 2009). The recommendations focused on the use of single methods but did not have recommendations on how to utilize combination methods for cervical ripening. This practice bulletin is also now ten years old and, as such, has not taken into account research in recent years.

ACOG (2009) also discussed the use of oxytocin and amniotomy for labor inductions. Oxytocin is the most commonly used agent for labor inductions but there is still a lack of consensus on optimal dosing and maximum dosage. ACOG does recommend that cervical ripening is done prior to the start of oxytocin to improve the rates of successful inductions. Amniotomy, when used alone for labor inductions, was associated with an unpredictable duration of labor. There was also not enough evidence at the time to support using amniotomy alone for labor inductions; however, some providers still use this as a primary method for labor inductions (ACOG, 2009). Although ACOG has recommendations for individual methods used for cervical ripening and labor induction, various combinations of methods are not specifically addressed. There is also a lack of consensus on which methods are best practice. More research on how these methods can be used in combination with one and another to decrease the duration of labor and the rate of cesarean section needs to be reviewed for conclusions and recommendations to be made. There is agreement from both the American College of Nurse-Midwives (ACNM) and ACOG that inductions should be performed when medically indicated and not for elective reasons (ACNM, 2010). However, there continues to be a lack of consensus on the optimal method or combination of methods for labor induction that would be associated with decreased duration of labor and decreased rate of cesarean section. This paper will review current research on the various methods of cervical ripening and labor induction and focus on how those may be used in combination to promote improved delivery outcomes.

Significance to Nurse-Midwifery

Nurse-midwives are on the frontlines providing care for women during pregnancy, labor, and delivery. The scope of midwifery practice includes applying knowledge, skills, and abilities in the intrapartum period (ACNM, 2012). Nurse-midwives are also expected to follow the *Hallmarks of Midwifery* including recognition of birth as a normal physiologic and developmental process, advocacy of non-intervention in normal processes in the absence of complications, incorporation of scientific evidence into clinical practice, empowerment of women as partners in health care, advocacy for informed choice, shared decision making, and the right to self-determination and skillful communication, guidance, and counseling (ACNM. 2012). Regarding labor inductions, nurse-midwives need to apply these specific hallmarks. It is crucial that nurse-midwives incorporate scientific evidence into clinical practice; this includes utilizing the most recent research available on induction methods and applying those to how they are performing labor inductions.

It is the position of ACNM that induction of labor should be offered to women only for medical indications that are supported by scientific evidence when the benefits outweigh the risks (ACNM, 2010). Essentially, nurse-midwives should avoid performing labor inductions

when there are not clear medical indications. Also, nurse-midwives need to uphold the hallmark of midwifery that empowers women as partners in their health care. In order to be able to do this, nurse-midwives need to be well-informed on various induction methods and be able to provide their patients with information that is supported by current research. Empowering women by providing accurate information also enables women to make informed decisions regarding their health care.

The ACNM also recommends careful consideration should be given to the need for cervical ripening when labor induction is deemed medically necessary (ACNM, 2010). The research reviewed in this paper will address those various methods for cervical ripening and their association with the duration of labor and rate of cesarean section. Reviewing the current recommendations from both the ACNM and ACOG, there is support for performing labor inductions when medically necessary and ensuring that cervical ripening is performed to help improve induction outcomes and reduce the risk of cesarean delivery. Nurse-midwives should be well informed on how to utilize a combination of cervical ripening methods and labor induction methods to optimize the labor induction process and reduce complications.

Theoretical Framework

King's Theory for Goal Attainment is a conceptual framework that focuses on the dynamic interacting systems for goal attainment (Gonzalo, 2011). According to this theory, humans are rational and sentient; they can perceive, think, feel, choose, set goals, and decide how to achieve those goals by the decisions they make (Nursing Theory, 2015). King's Theory of Goal Attainment focuses on the three fundamental needs of humans. These needs are the need for when health information can be used, the need for care to prevent illness, and the need for care when they are unable to care for themselves (Nursing Theory, 2015).

With King's theory, the focus is also placed on the importance of the nurse-patient relationship and how that relationship will help patients to reach their health goals. In this case, the focus would be placed on the relationship between the patient and the nurse-midwife and the trust that is present in that relationship. Basically, King's theory operates with the patient and the nurse-midwife communicating information, using that information to set a mutual goal, and then acting in a way that helps to attain that goal (Gonzalo, 2011).

King's theory can be applied to the labor process because there is a shared goal of labor that must be carried out through the dynamic interactions between the expectant mother and the nurse-midwife. If a woman is informed about her choices for induction methods and her provider is informed as well, together they can decide on which induction method to use or if they should wait for labor to occur naturally. So, the first step is the sharing of information between the nurse-midwife and the patient. Ideally, the nurse-midwife discusses with the patient the benefits for waiting for labor to occur naturally; however, if there is a medical indication or if the patient decides to proceed with an elective induction, the nurse-midwife needs to share information regarding the different induction methods that are available and their impact on the duration of labor and rate of cesarean section when compared with waiting for labor to occur naturally.

Next, after the sharing of that information, the nurse-midwife and the patient set the goal. The goal ultimately is that the patient has a vaginal delivery of a healthy infant, but the specifics of the goal would include which methods of labor induction the patient would use. The overall goal is that the birth results in a healthy mother and a healthy baby by whichever path is chosen.

Then, to achieve the goal, the nurse-midwife would implement the chosen intervention for labor and adjust the actions based on the plan that was created following the setting of the goal. During this process of labor, depending on how things are progressing, the cycle continues. The overall goal stays the same. Additionally, the sharing of information between the nursemidwife and the patient continues. Information is shared regarding how the labor is progressing and what the results of the different induction methods are for the patient. For example, if the initial decision was to perform cervical ripening with a pharmacological agent such as misoprostol, after the implementation of this intervention, the provider would share information with the patient about how this intervention has been working. Perhaps, after two doses of misoprostol, a cervical check would be performed, and the patient is found to be at three centimeters and 30 percent effaced but having no consistent contractions. At that point in time, the provider might discuss with the patient the additional use of other interventions for the induction of labor, such as the use of a transcervical catheter for further ripening and dilation or starting intravenous oxytocin. The goal is still the delivery of a healthy infant, but the plan to achieve the goal would need to be adapted based on the continual sharing of information between the nurse-midwife and the patient. This is an overview of how King's Theory of Goal Attainment could be applied to labor induction methods.

Summary

With the association between increased rates of labor induction and increased rates of cesarean section, there is a need to determine induction methods that, when used in combination with one and another, optimize birth outcomes. Nurse-midwives are uniquely equipped as partners in health care with women to be able to empower them and enable them to make informed decisions regarding labor inductions. In order for nurse-midwives to be able to best serve these women, they need to be up-to-date on current research and apply that research to clinical practice. Applying King's Theory of Goal Attainment to the labor induction process can further help to empower women and hopefully increase the rate of vaginal deliveries following

labor inductions. Chapter Two of this paper will address the specific methods used to gather and appraise current research on the topic of labor induction methods. Chapter Three will be a synthesis of current research. This will include important findings, strengths, limitations, and recommendations for nurse-midwifery practice regarding labor induction methods. Finally, Chapter Four will be a discussion on the implications for nurse-midwifery practice and labor induction methods, focusing on how to combine these methods and optimize birth outcomes by shortening the duration of labor and reducing the rate of cesarean section.

Chapter II: Methods

This chapter will discuss the procedures utilized to identify current research and literature related to cervical ripening and labor induction methods and their impact on the duration of labor and rate of cesarean section. Specific labor induction methods included are mechanical cervical ripening, pharmacological agents, intravenous oxytocin, and amniotomy. A comprehensive search was performed utilizing multiple search engines. Also included in this chapter will be the keywords utilized and the criteria for inclusion and exclusion. A brief summary of the studies selected for the literature review will also be discussed. Finally, the method used for appraising the level and quality of the evidence will be thoroughly explained.

Search Strategies

An initial search was conducted using the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) utilizing the search terms labor, induced-methods, balloon dilatationutilization, amniotomy: fetal membranes, artificial rupture, timing of amniotomy, and oxytocin and labor induction. A total of 59 articles were reviewed from CINAHL. A search of PubMed was conducted, using the search terms labor induction, duration, and cesarean. A total of 47 articles were reviewed. Another search was conducted utilizing the Cochrane Library: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. This search used the terms labor induction and cesarean; which resulted in 53 results. Additionally, a search of the Cochrane Library: Database of Systematic Reviews was conducted. The search term used was labor induction methods; which yielded 86 systematic reviews.

Criteria for Inclusion and Exclusion

The articles selected for this review of the literature were included based on interventions used for labor induction. The interventions that were focused on were misoprostol, mifepristone, dinoprostone, Foley-bulb, Cook catheter, intravenous oxytocin, and amniotomy. The articles included used either one of these methods or a combination of methods. Articles of original research were the primary focus, with consideration given to systematic reviews as well. The main focus of the literature review was randomized controlled trials. Additionally, research articles chosen specifically included data on the duration of labor, the rate of cesarean section and indications for cesarean section. Articles within the past five years were the primary focus, but that was increased to the past ten years to gather adequate literature for this review.

Exclusion criteria included studies that focused on women with previous cesareans and studies that did not clearly state which methods were being used for induction. Studies were also excluded if they were in a language other than English or if the full-text article could not be located. Duplicate studies, those that appeared in the search results of the multiple databases were excluded and were only included once in the literature review.

Summary of Selected Studies

After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of nine articles were chosen from the CINAHL search. For the inquiry from PubMed, after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, five articles were chosen to be included in the literature review. Regarding the search of the Cochrane Library, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, after applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of seven of these research articles were included in this literature review. A complete total of 21 original research articles were included in the literature review. After reviewing the search results of the Cochrane Library, Database of Systematic Reviews, six systematic reviews were chosen to be included in the literature review of this paper. Of the 21 original research articles, 13 were randomized controlled trials, seven were observational studies, and one was a prospective quasi-randomized controlled trial.

Evaluation Criteria

All the research articles selected were evaluated utilizing the Johns Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool (Dearholt & Dang, 2012). The level of evidence was evaluated on a scale of I-IV. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), experimental studies, and systematic reviews of RCTs are considered to be Level I evidence. Level II evidence includes quasi-experimental studies, systematic reviews of a combination of RCTs and quasi-experimental, or systematic reviews of quasi-experimental studies only. Level III evidence includes non-experimental studies, systematic reviews of a combination of RCTs, quasi-experimental and non-experimental studies, or systematic reviews of a combination of RCTs, quasi-experimental and non-experimental studies, or systematic reviews of non-experimental studies only. Level IV evidence includes the opinion of respected authorities and/or nationally recognized expert committees/consensus panels based on scientific evidence; specifically, clinical practice guidelines and consensus panels (Dearholt & Dang, 2012).

After evaluating articles and identifying the level of evidence, articles were assessed for quality. Evidence of all levels, I-IV, are classified as either high, good, or low quality. Levels I-III have the same criteria for determining quality; however, Level IV has different criteria. The criteria for Levels I-III, high quality includes the following: consistent, generalizable results, sufficient sample size for the study design, adequate control, definitive conclusions, and consistent recommendations based on a comprehensive literature review that includes thorough reference to scientific evidence (Dearholt & Dang, 2012). Good quality for Levels I-III evidence has the following criteria: reasonably consistent results, sufficient sample size for the study design, and reasonably consistent recommendations based on a fairly comprehensive literature review that includes some reference to scientific

evidence (Dearholt & Dang, 2012). No evidence of low quality was used for this literature review.

All of the 21 research articles used for this literature review were either high or good quality. A total of 13 of the research articles were Level I. One article was Level II. The remaining seven research articles were Level III.

Summary

Multiple database searches were performed with the results being screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria; this resulted in a total of 21 original research articles and six systematic reviews. Databases searched included CINAHL, PubMed, and the Cochrane Library, all of which utilized Bethel University's library system. All of the original research articles that were chosen were evaluated using the Johns Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool to determine the level of evidence and quality of results.

Chapter III: Literature Review and Analysis

Synthesis of Matrix

Research was gathered on the topic of different labor induction methods. Specifically, it addresses the impact of different methods on the duration of labor and the rate of cesarean section. A matrix format was used to organize scholarly research articles. This matrix includes thirteen randomized controlled trials, three retrospective cohort studies, one prospective cohort study, one non-experimental longitudinal prospective observational study, one cross-sectional observational study, one prospective quasi-randomized controlled trial, and one non-experimental cohort observational study. The quality and level of evidence for each research study were appraised using the Johns Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool (Dearholt & Dang, 2012). Included in the matrix are the purpose of the study, description of the sample, evidence level and quality, study design, methods, instruments, study results, conclusions, strengths and limitations of the studies, author recommendations, and implications for practice. The matrix is organized alphabetically by author. Systematic reviews were not included in the matrix, only original research studies were included. Purpose, study design, and important findings of the studies were evaluated, and the synthesis of this data is discussed in chapter three.

Synthesis of Major Findings

The 21 original research articles evaluated in the matrix discussed various methods of labor induction. The methods discussed include pharmacological cervical ripening, mechanical cervical ripening, intravenous oxytocin, and amniotomy. Pharmacological agents used for cervical ripening in these research articles include misoprostol, mifepristone, and dinoprostone. Mechanical methods for cervical ripening include Foley-bulb and Cook catheter. These labor induction methods were either used individually or in combination with each other; most often they were used in combination. The results of the research studies included the impact on the duration of labor, the success of labor induction, specifically the achievement of vaginal delivery, fetal distress, and correlation with the rate of cesarean section. This synthesis of major findings will include the duration of labor and the rate of cesarean section for each labor induction method, as well as the methods when used in combination.

Duration of labor. Of the research articles, nineteen addressed the duration of labor in their findings (Baev et al., 2017, Bala et al., 2017, Battarbee et al., 2016, Beckmann et al., 2015, Bricker & Luckas, 2012, Connolly et al., 2016, Cromi et al., 2012, Du et al., 2015, Gagnon-Gervais et al., 2012, Garba et al., 2016, Gross et al., 2012, Mackeen et al., 2018, Makarem et al., 2013, Macones et al., 2012, Schoen et al., 2017, Tam et al., 2012, Wollmann et al., 2017, and Wu et al., 2018). Some of the studies referred to induction to delivery interval (IDI) or time to delivery instead of a total duration of labor. In a retrospective cohort observational study, Tam, Conte, Schuler, Malang, and Roque (2012), evaluated the labor outcomes of women undergoing elective inductions (n=848). This study looked at all labor induction methods being used during the time frame of the study. The study found that of all the methods used, there was a statistically significant shorter duration of labor in the group that had amniotomy along with oxytocin. Of all the methods used, the use of oxytocin was the only method that was found to have a statistically significant difference on the duration of labor. The average length of induction time for the use of oxytocin was 11.9 hours, with a p-value of 0.05. The other methods in this study include Foley bulb with amniotomy, Foley bulb with Cervidil, Foley bulb with Cytotec (misoprostol),

oxytocin with Foley bulb, amniotomy, dinoprostone, misoprostol, Foley bulb, and oxytocin (Tam et al., 2012).

Mechanical and pharmacological cervical ripening. In one randomized controlled trial (RCT), mifepristone usage (n=74) had a duration of labor of 505.97 ± 205.07 minutes versus 507.80 ± 193.83 minutes for the expectant management (n=75) group; the difference in duration of labor was not statistically significant with a p-value of 0.338 (Baev, Rumyantseva, Tysyachnyu, Kozlova, & Sukhikh, 2017). Wollmann, Ahlberg, Petersson Saktvedt and Stephansson (2017) performed a non-experimental retrospective chart review that compared dinoprostone (n=3297), vaginal misoprostol (n=1424), and balloon catheter (n=2830) induction methods. The study found that mean time to delivery was shortest with the balloon catheter group (15.04 hours) when compared with the dinoprostone (25.20 hours) and the misoprostol (24.59 hours) groups. This difference was statistically significant according to the others, but no p-value was provided for that statistic (Wollmann et al., 2017). There is not enough research available to conclude which mechanical or pharmacological method for cervical ripening is superior for reducing the duration of labor. However, current research does indicate that mechanical cervical ripening may result in a shorter duration of labor than when pharmacological agents are used by themselves.

Amniotomy. An RCT done by Macones, Cahill, Stamilio, and Obido (2012) looked at whether an early amniotomy reduced the duration of labor during an induction. This study included amniotomy being used with oxytocin, misoprostol, Cervidil, Foley Bulb, and more than one agent but focused on the timing of the amniotomy. The study found that early amniotomy shortens the duration of labor by about two hours (19.0 hours vs. 21.3 hours) with a p-value of

0.04 making this finding to be statistically significant (Macones et al., 2012). However, a systematic review by Bricker and Luckas (2012), found that there was not enough evidence to support the use of amniotomy alone for labor induction.

Amniotomy and intravenous oxytocin. A prospective RCT (Bala, Bagga, Kalra, & Dutta, 2017), found that an early amniotomy (n=75) led to a significantly reduced induction to delivery interval (by four hours) when compared with delayed amniotomy (n=75) with a p-value of 0.000. Gagnon-Gervais et al. (2012), in a randomized controlled trial, compared early (n=71) versus delayed (n=72) amniotomy with the use of oxytocin. The study found that the duration of labor was shorter in the early amniotomy group in nulliparous women $(12.1 \pm 6.7 \text{ hours vs. } 15.4 \pm 5.6 \text{ m})$ hours); this difference was statistically significant with a p-value of 0.03 (Gagnon-Gervais et al., 2012). Gross, Fromke, and Hecker (2012) compared the timing of amniotomy and oxytocin in nulliparous (n=2090) and multiparous (n=1873) women in a non-experimental longitudinal prospective observational study. This study found that median time from oxytocin to birth was shorter in multiparous women (1.4 hours) than in nulliparous women (3.2 hours). The study also found that the first stage of labor was accelerated when an amniotomy was performed when compared to the spontaneous rupture of membranes or the membranes remaining intact; which was true for both nulliparous and multiparous women (Gross et al., 2012). Overall, research does indicate that early amniotomy may be effective at shortening the duration of labor, but this benefit should be weighed against potential risks.

Amniotomy and mechanical cervical ripening. Battarbee, Palatnik, Peress, and Grobman (2016) in a retrospective matched cohort study, compared early amniotomy after Foley balloon catheter ripening (n=273) and no early amniotomy (n=273) following Foley balloon catheter ripening;

early amniotomy was defined as less than one hour after Foley balloon removal. This study found that the early amniotomy group had a statistically significant shorter duration of labor when compared with no early amniotomy with a p-value of 0.02 (Battarbee et al., 2016).

Amniotomy and pharmacological cervical ripening. Beckmann, Kumar, and Flenady (2015) compared prostaglandin vaginal gel followed by amniotomy (n=121) to repeat prostaglandin vaginal gel doses (n=124) in a randomized controlled trial. The study found that the duration of labor was significantly shorter in the amniotomy group (24.8 hours) than the repeat dose group (30.0 hours) (Beckmann et al., 2015). A randomized controlled trial done by Makarem, Zahran, Abdellah, and Karen (2013) compared early amniotomy after misoprostol(n=160) and no amniotomy after misoprostol (n=160) for labor induction. Women in the amniotomy group were found to have a shorter duration of labor by about four hours than those of the control group (9.72±4.61 hours vs 13.61±5.61 hours). The difference between the two groups was statistically significant with a p-value of 0.002 (Makarem et al., 2013). Overall, the research demonstrated that the use of amniotomy with pharmacological agents may result in a shorter duration of labor.

Mechanical cervical ripening and intravenous oxytocin. Connolly et al. (2016) was a randomized controlled trial that compared the use of Foley balloon induction with either sequential use of oxytocin (n=84) or simultaneous use of oxytocin (n=82). The study found that the simultaneous group (15.92 hours) delivered significantly early by about three hours when compared with the sequential group (18.87 hours), a p-value of 0.004 (Connolly et al., 2016). An RCT done by Mackeen et al. (2018) compared Foley catheter use plus oxytocin (n=93) with oxytocin use alone (n=108). The average induction time was shorter in the Foley group when

compared with the oxytocin alone group, but the difference was not statistically significant (mean of 6.9 hours versus 7.9 hours). In a different randomized controlled trial, Schoen, Grant, Berghella, Hoffman, and Sciscione (2017) performed a randomized controlled trial comparing the use of Foley catheter with oxytocin in nulliparous (n=90) and multiparous women (n=71) and Foley catheter followed by oxytocin in nulliparous(n=94) and multiparous women (n=67). In nulliparous women with Foley with oxytocin use the mean total time to delivery was 20.9 hours and with the Foley followed by oxytocin was 26.1 hours. This difference was statistically significant with a p-value of 0.003. In multiparous women with Foley and oxytocin, the mean time to delivery was 14.9 hours and Foley followed by oxytocin was 18.6 hours; the difference being statistically significant with a p-value of 0.01. In both nulliparous and multiparous women, the duration of labor was shorter in the group using a Foley catheter at the same time as oxytocin (Schoen et al., 2017). In an RCT done by Wu et al. (2017), a comparison was made between the use of a double-balloon catheter and oxytocin (n=60) versus oxytocin alone (n=60). The study found that the duration of labor for the double-balloon catheter was shorter (8.12 ± 2.65 hours) than the oxytocin alone group $(15.01 \pm 6.06 \text{ hours})$, which was a statistically significant difference (Wu et al., 2017). Overall, research reviewed demonstrated that the concurrent use of mechanical methods of cervical ripening and intravenous oxytocin may significantly reduce the duration of labor.

Mechanical cervical ripening, pharmacological cervical ripening, and intravenous oxytocin. Cromi et al. (2012) compared the use of a double-balloon catheter, Cook catheter (n=105), with the use of a dinoprostone vaginal insert (n=103) in a randomized controlled trial. The study found that the double-balloon catheter had time to delivery of 19.7 ± 5.9 hours compared to the dinoprostone group with a time of 20.4 ± 10.3 hours. The time to delivery was significantly less in the double-balloon group (Cromi et al., 2012). Du et al. (2014), in a prospective cohort study, found that the duration of labor was shorter in the group for the doubleballoon catheter (n=79) than the dinoprostone group (n=79). In the double-balloon catheter group, the mean duration of labor was 4.79 hours and in the dinoprostone group the mean duration of labor was 6.41 hours; this was a statistically significant difference with a p-value of 0.023 (Du et al., 2014). A prospective RCT done by Garba et al. (2016) compared Foley-balloon plus oxytocin (n=70) and vaginal misoprostol plus oxytocin (n=66). This study found that the induction to delivery time was statistically shorter in the misoprostol group $(5.54\pm1.8 \text{ hours})$ than in the Foley balloon plus oxytocin group $(6.65 \pm 1.7 \text{ hours})$ with a p-value of 0.035. Kandil, Emarh, Sayyed, and Masood (2012) performed a prospective quasi-randomized controlled trial comparing Foley catheter and misoprostol use both followed by oxytocin if labor had not occurred. The study found that the induction to delivery interval was significantly shorter in the Foley group compared to the misoprostol group (897.36 ± 116.0 vs. 960.98 ± 94.18 minutes). Levine et al. (2016) did a stratified RCT comparing misoprostol (n=120), misoprostol and Foley catheter (n=123), Foley only (n=123), and Foley plus oxytocin (n=125). This study found that a combination of methods produced a shorter duration of labor. Women in the misoprostol and Foley catheter group were twice as likely to deliver sooner than the other groups (Levine et al., 2016). Overall, research appears to indicate that the use of mechanical methods of labor induction may reduce the duration of labor when compared to the use of pharmacological agents used alone.

Rate of cesarean section. All 21 research articles used addressed the wide range of the rate of cesarean section in the findings of their studies; the rate of cesarean varied greatly depending on the labor induction methods utilized (Alfirevic et al., 2009, Alfirevic et al., 2018, Battarbee et al., 2016, Bala et al., 2017, Baev et al., 2017, Beckmann et al., 2015, Connolly et al., 2016, Cromi et al., 2012, Du et al., 2015, Gagnon-Gervais et al., 2012, Garba at al., 2016, Gross et al., 2012, Guerra et al., 2011, Howarth & Botha, 2013, Jozwiak et al., 2012, Kandil et al., 2012, Levine et al., 2016, Mackeen et al., 2018, Macones et al., 2012, Makarem et al., 2013, Schoen et al., 2017, Seyb et al., 1999, Thomas et al., 2014, Wollmann et al., 2017, and Wu et al., 2018). Also, the indication or reason for the cesarean section will be discussed in this section, if available in the study. A cross-sectional observational study done in Latin America compared elective induction (n=1847) and spontaneous labor (n=35597) (Guerra et al., 2011). This study looked at all the methods used for labor induction and included oxytocin, misoprostol, other prostaglandins, amniotomy, and a combination of methods. The study found that the rate of cesarean section was 11.8 percent in women undergoing elective induction compared to a rate of 8.6 percent in women who went into labor spontaneously. Also, when looking at all inductions, medical and elective the cesarean section rate was 29.5 percent. This study concluded that there was a statistically significantly higher rate of cesarean sections in women undergoing induction of labor with a relative risk of 1.16 and a confidence interval of 95 percent (Guerra et al., 2011). A non-experimental cohort observational study done by Seyb, Berka, Socol, and Dooley (1999) focused on the risk of cesarean section in nulliparous women receiving an elective induction of labor at term. The study included women in spontaneous labor (n=1124), elective inductions (n=143), and medical inductions (n=294). Cesarean section rates for the groups were 7.8 percent for the spontaneous labor, 17.5 percent for an elective induction, and 17.7 percent for medication induction. The most common indication for cesarean section was labor dystocia (Seyb et al., 1999).

Mechanical and pharmacological cervical ripening. Baev et al. (2017), found that mifepristone usage had a cesarean section rate of 33.8 percent versus 25.3 percent for the expectant management group with a p-value of 0.097 and a p-value of less than 0.05 being considered significant. Guerra et al (2011) found that misoprostol inductions had a cesarean section rate of 21.8 percent and other prostaglandin inductions had a cesarean section rate of 18.2 percent. The study done by Wollmann et al. (2017) that compared dinoprostone, misoprostol, and balloon catheter inductions found that there was no significant difference in the risk for a cesarean section between the groups. A systematic review of oral misoprostol for induction of labor reviewed seventy-five randomized controlled trials (Alfirevic, Aflaifel, &Weeks, 2018). This systematic review concluded that misoprostol use was effective as a labor induction method and resulted in statistically significant fewer cesarean sections (Alfirevic et al., 2018). A systematic review conducted by Jozwiak et al. (2012), included seventy-one randomized controlled trials utilizing mechanical methods for labor induction. This systematic review concluded that mechanical methods resulted in similar rates of a cesarean section when compared with pharmacological methods; however mechanical methods reduced the risk of cesarean section when compared with intravenous oxytocin use (Jozwiak et al., 2012). A systematic review done by Thomas, Fairclough, Kavanagh, and Kelly (2014) found that pharmacological agents for cervical ripening did not influence the rate of cesarean section. Overall, research supports the use of pharmacological and mechanical methods of cervical

ripening for labor induction as a way to reduce the rate of cesarean section and the duration of labor.

Intravenous oxytocin. Tam et al. (2012) found that the use of oxytocin as a labor induction method in nulliparous women with an unfavorable cervix resulted in a statistically significant higher rate of cesarean section when compared with women with a favorable cervix. A systematic review done by Alfirevic, Kelly, and Dowswell (2009), found an increased rate of cesarean section when oxytocin alone was used for cervical ripening and induction of labor (19.1 percent versus 13.7 percent) with a relative risk of 0.16 with a 95 percent confidence interval.

Amniotomy. In the study done by Macones et al. (2012), researchers found no statistically significant difference in the rate of cesarean section between early amniotomy group (41 percent) and standard management group (40 percent). However, the early amniotomy group did have two cases of cord prolapse that required emergency cesarean sections, whereas the standard group did not have any cases of cord prolapse (Macones et al., 2012).

Amniotomy and intravenous oxytocin. Bala et al. (2017), found a cesarean section rate of 10.7 percent for early amniotomy compared with a rate of 2.7 percent for delayed amniotomy with a p-value of 0.0495; with a value of <0.05 being considered statistically significant. In Gagnon-Gervais et al. (2012) the rate of cesarean section in nulliparous women in the early amniotomy group was 18 percent and 17 percent in the late amniotomy group; no statistically significant difference. However, the same study also compared multiparous women and found a rate of three percent for cesarean section in the early amniotomy group. This study found that the difference in the rate of cesarean section between nulliparous and multiparous women to be statistically significant. The most common

indication for cesarean section in this study was arrest of labor (Gagnon-Gervais et al., 2012). Gross et al. (2012) found that oxytocin administration in the second stage of labor increased the risk for cesarean section in nulliparous women. This study also found that performing amniotomy in the first stage of labor increased the risk of cesarean section for nulliparous women. Overall, the study found that oxytocin administration at any time during labor did increase the risk of cesarean section for both nulliparous and multiparous women with a hazard ratio of 2.2 (Gross et al., 2012). A systematic review done by Howarth and Botha (2013) looked at seventeen trials involving 2566 women. This review did not find any statistically significant differences overall in the rate of cesarean section with amniotomy and intravenous oxytocin use; however, the authors concluded that more research should be done due to lack of sufficient data (Howarth & Botha, 2013). Research appears to indicate that early amniotomy and the use of intravenous oxytocin increases the rate of cesarean section.

Amniotomy and mechanical cervical ripening. The study done by Battarbee et al. (2016) found a cesarean section rate of 48 percent in the early amniotomy group compared to 52.4 percent in the no early amniotomy group; a total of 274 of the 546 study participants receiving cesarean sections. The most common indication for a cesarean section in both groups was the arrest of dilation (Battarbee et al., 2016). This research contradicts other research found in this review regarding the timing of amniotomy. However, this study also did have an overall high rate of cesarean section.

Amniotomy and pharmacological cervical ripening. The RCT performed by Beckmann et al. (2015) had a 36.4 percent cesarean section rate for the amniotomy group compared to a 37.1 percent cesarean section rate for the repeat doses group. The most common reason given for

cesarean section in both groups was for slow progress, as defined by once in active labor (cervix >four centimeters) a lack of progressive cervical dilatation of less than 0.5 cm per hour over a four-hour period (Beckmann et al., 2015). In the study done by Makarem et al. (2013), there was no statistically significant difference in the rate of cesarean between the amniotomy (26.88 percent) and the control group (34.37 percent). The most common indications for cesarean section for both groups were failure to progress and fetal distress. This research does not show a statistically significant difference in any of the groups for the rate of cesarean section.

Mechanical cervical ripening and intravenous oxytocin. In the Connolly et al. (2016) study, there was not a statistically significant difference in the rate of cesarean section between the two groups; simultaneous had a cesarean section rate of 46 percent and sequential had a rate of 38 percent. The two most common indications for cesarean section were arrest of dilation and failed induction of labor; however, failed induction of labor was not clearly defined in this study (Connolly et al., 2016). Mackeen et al. (2018), found that the cesarean section rate was slightly higher in the oxytocin alone group (19 percent) than the Foley plus oxytocin group (27 percent), but the difference was not considered statistically significant. The most common indications for cesarean section were category II or III fetal heart rate tracing, active phase arrest, and second stage arrest of labor with no statistically significant difference between the two groups (Mackeen et al., 2018). In the Schoen et al. (2017) study, the rate of cesarean section for nulliparous women was 42 percent in the Foley plus oxytocin group and 32 percent in the Foley followed by oxytocin group. In multiparous women, the rate of cesarean section was 13 percent in the Foley plus oxytocin group and 16 percent in the Foley followed by oxytocin group. The difference in the rate of cesarean section in all groups was not of statistical significance. In the nulliparous

group, indications for cesarean section included failed induction, arrest of dilation, arrest of descent, and nonreassuring fetal heart rate tracings. In the multiparous women group, the indications for cesarean section were arrest of dilation and nonreassuring fetal heart rate tracings. No statistically significant differences were found in the indications for cesarean section among the groups (Schoen et al., 2017). Wu et al. (2017) found that the oxytocin alone group had a cesarean section rate of 36.67 percent compared to a 6.67 percent rate in the double-balloon catheter group; the difference was statistically significant with a reported p-value of less than 0.05. Overall, the research in this section shows a higher rate of cesarean section with oxytocin use, however not a statistically significant difference in any of the studies.

Mechanical cervical ripening, pharmacological cervical ripening, and intravenous oxytocin. Cromi et al. (2012) found no significant difference in cesarean section rate between the two groups: double-balloon catheter (23.8 percent) and dinoprostone (26.2 percent). The most common indication for cesarean section for both groups was nonreassuring fetal heart rate tracings (Cromi et al., 2012). Du et al. (2014) found a cesarean section rate of 39.5 percent for the double-balloon catheter group and 31.6 percent for the dinoprostone group; the difference was not statistically significant. The most common indications for cesarean section were failure to progress and non-reassuring fetal heart tracing. The double-balloon catheter group (17.1 percent) was statistically significantly higher for failure to progress than the dinoprostone group (2.5 percent). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups for nonreassuring fetal heart rate tracings (Du et al., 2014). Garba et al. (2016) found that the misoprostol group had a cesarean section rate of 20 percent compared to the Foley balloon group with a rate of 9.1 percent. The most common indication for cesarean section in this study was no progress in the second stage of labor (Garba et al., 2016). Kandil et al. (2012) found a cesarean section rate of 18 percent in the Foley catheter group and 16 percent in the misoprostol group; with no statistically significant difference. The most common indication for cesarean section in this study was ominous fetal heart tracings for both groups, with the misoprostol group having this occur slightly more often. The other reason listed for a cesarean section was labor dystocia, which was slightly more common in the Foley catheter group (Kandil et al., 2012). Levine et al. (2016) did not find any statistically significant difference in the rate of cesarean section between the groups. The rate of cesarean section ranged from 24.2 percent to 30.4 percent with the highest rate being the Foley and oxytocin group and the lowest rate being in the misoprostol-only group (Levine et al., 2016). Overall, the research demonstrated no significant difference in the rate of cesarean section among any of the labor induction methods.

Critique of Strengths and Weaknesses

This review of the literature has both strengths and weaknesses. One of the strengths of this review is that it looks at multiple labor induction methods. This review addressed the use of a combination of methods, which more accurately reflects what is being done in clinical practice, as it is rare for only one method of labor induction to be utilized. This review also contained original research studies that were of high and good quality, most of which were randomized controlled trials. Another strength was that some of the studies had large sample sizes which translate to the results being more generalizable to other populations.

A major weakness of this review is also one of the strengths; while the review focuses on many different induction methods and their combination, there was not adequate good quality research on single induction methods available. That makes it difficult to draw specific conclusions as to what individual induction methods might have for an impact on the duration of labor and the rate of cesarean section. There are some studies that have smaller sample sizes that were unable to show statistically significant results in differences between labor inductions methods and their impact on the rate of cesarean. Therefore, the results might be different if studies were done with larger sample sizes that are adequately powered to show statistical significance. Another potential weakness to this review is that some of the studies are from countries other than the United States; this may result in the conclusions from the studies not being able to transferable to the United States. However, since the physiology of labor and delivery is the same regardless of country, this impact should be minimal. Even though this review has weaknesses, the strength of the review outweighs the weaknesses.

Summary

There are multiple labor induction methods and many different combinations of these induction methods. In general, the duration of labor was found to be shorter when a combination of labor induction methods was used versus when only one labor induction method was utilized. Overall, labor induction increased the rate of cesarean section when compared to spontaneous labor. However, the rate of cesarean section was found to be less overall when the cervix was ripened prior to the start of other induction methods. Cervical ripening can be performed by either pharmacological methods or mechanical methods or a combination of both. In clinical practice, most of the time multiple methods need to be used in order to achieve successful delivery and so research and review of the literature should focus on the use of combinations of methods and their impact on the rate of cesarean section.

34

Chapter IV: Discussion, Implications, and Conclusions

The purpose of this review was to compare different methods of labor induction and their impact on the duration of labor and rate of cesarean section. Twenty-one original research articles were selected for critical analysis using the Johns Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool. After completion of the research appraisal, implications for nurse-midwifery practice as well as deficiencies in current research were identified. Chapter four will discuss these implications for nurse-midwifery practice and the areas that future research should be focused on. This chapter will also include the integration of Imogene King's nursing theory and how the application of this theory can improve labor induction outcomes.

Literature Synthesis

The research question for this critical literature review was "what is the impact of various labor induction methods on the duration of labor and the rate of cesarean section." The labor induction methods addressed in this review included pharmacological cervical ripening, mechanical cervical ripening, amniotomy, and intravenous oxytocin. Mechanical methods of cervical ripening reviewed include commonly used transcervical balloons such as Foley-bulbs and double-balloon (Cook) catheters. Pharmacological agents used for cervical ripening and labor induction include misoprostol, dinoprostone, and mifepristone.

Trend and Gaps in the Literature

Overall, the research reviewed demonstrated that utilizing a combination of methods had the greatest impact on shortening the duration of labor. Methods that were associated with a shorter duration of labor were early amniotomy (Bala et al., 2017, Battarbee et al., 2016, Gagnon-Gervais et al., 2012, Gross et al., 2012, & Macones et al., 2012) and the use of mechanical methods for cervical ripening (Connolly et al., 2016, Cromi et al., 2012, Du et al.,
2014, Kandil et al., 2012, & Levine et al., 2016). Research did not show a particular method that resulted in a lower rate of cesarean section; however, amniotomy and intravenous oxytocin were overall associated with an increased rate of cesarean section in nulliparous women (Bala et al., 2017, Battarbee et al., 2016, & Gagnon-Gervais et al., 2012). Based on current research, the induction of labor in nulliparous women is associated with an increased rate of cesarean section regardless of the methods utilized (Guerra et al., 2011, Seyb et al., 1999, & Tam et al., 2012).

More research does need to be done to fill in the gaps such as what methods are best to use and the timing of such methods. This will be further discussed in the following section.

Implications for Midwifery Practice

Nurse-midwives should avoid the use of non-medically indicated labor inductions due to the increased rate of cesarean section. In circumstances when labor induction is medically indicated, nurse-midwives should consider utilizing a combination of methods to shorten the duration of labor and reduce the rate of cesarean section. Ultimately, nurse-midwives should focus on practicing in a way that decreases the rate of cesarean section and allows the body time to respond to the induction methods that are being used.

Research does support the use of mechanical cervical ripening when able to do so as a method that reduces the duration of labor. Mechanical cervical ripening is associated with a shorter duration of labor and fewer issues with nonreassuring fetal heart rate tracings when compared to pharmacological agents (Connolly et al., 2016, Cromi et al., 2012, Du et al., 2014, Kandil et al., 2012, & Levine et al., 2016). Nurse-midwives should also utilize the Bishop score to determine cervical ripening needs prior to starting oxytocin (ACOG, 2009). If possible, pharmacological and mechanical cervical ripening should be done until a Bishop score of eight is

achieved. Intravenous oxytocin should be started, if possible after a score of eight has been achieved.

Recommendations for Future Research

There is a need for additional research in the future. Future research should focus on comparing labor induction methods when used individually and when used in combination with one and other. Research should also address the optimal method for mechanical cervical ripening, specifically addressing whether a single or double-balloon catheter is more effective and what volume of fluid is most effective. The timing of interventions used for labor induction should also be addressed in further research; for example, should there be a delay in starting the next intervention to allow the body time to start the physiological process of labor. Another recommendation for future research would be to have a study address the amount of time a woman is allowed to have for labor induction before it is considered a failed induction and a cesarean section is performed. More research should be done on the time allowed for mechanical cervical ripening, current guidelines are for removal of the balloon after twelve hours if not spontaneous expulsion has not occurred. Additional research could also focus on the option of performing mechanical cervical ripening on an outpatient basis, as a way to reduce the length of hospital stay. There are definitely multiple areas of focus for future research in regard to labor induction methods and their impact on the duration of labor and rate of cesarean section.

Integration of King's Theory for Goal Attainment

Imogene King's Theory for Goal Attainment is a conceptual framework that focuses on the dynamic interacting systems for goal attainment (Gonzalo, 2011). Specifically, focusing on three fundamental needs: the need for when health information can be used, the need for care to prevent illness, and the need for care when they are unable to care for themselves (Nursing

37

Theory, 2015). Based on this theory, humans are rational and sentient, meaning they can perceive, think, feel, choose, set goals, and then decide how to achieve those goals with the decisions they make (Nursing Theory, 2015). Additionally, King's theory focuses on the relationship between the patient and the provider.

In the case of labor induction, the relationship would be between the nurse-midwife and the patient. The goal would be a successful vaginal delivery utilizing various labor induction methods. King's theory can be applied with the sharing of knowledge between the nurse-midwife and the patient. The nurse-midwife needs to be knowledgeable on the various inductions methods and the benefits of using those in combination to shorten labor duration and reduce the rate of cesarean section. Together, the patient and the nurse-midwife set the goal of successful vaginal delivery and discuss the options for labor induction, focusing on doing so only when medically indicated. The nurse-midwife shares information about what current research says about labor induction methods, specifically that pharmacological and mechanical methods of cervical ripening should be used prior to starting intravenous oxytocin. The first goal would be to obtain a Bishop score of at least eight utilizing those methods following current practice guidelines. Ideally, those methods would trigger labor to start, however, in the event that they do not, the nurse-midwife and the patient would need to decide if intravenous oxytocin should also be used. As the labor progresses, decisions regarding which methods to be utilized need to be made and the information that the nurse-midwife shared with the patient prior to starting the induction process would need to be reiterated, allowing the patient to make informed decisions regarding her care with the end goal being the delivery of her infant.

Conclusion

The pertinent findings of this review include the importance of utilizing a combination of labor induction methods and avoiding non-medically indicated inductions due to the increased rate of cesarean section with labor inductions. The findings of this review indicate a potential benefit to utilizing mechanical methods of cervical ripening prior to starting intravenous oxytocin as a possible method for reducing the rate of cesarean section. The twenty-one original research articles reviewed using the Johns Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool showed mixed results as to which labor induction method has the greatest impact on reducing the duration of labor and the rate of cesarean section. It is important for nurse-midwives to consider current research in addition to recommendations from ACNM and ACOG when performing labor inductions. Utilizing a combination of labor induction methods and refraining from using inductions for non-medically indicated reasons has the potential to lower the rate of cesarean sections. Nurse-midwives also need to focus on the Hallmarks of Midwifery that support women as partners in their healthcare and informed decision makers. Additionally, nurse-midwives need to remember that one of the hallmarks of midwifery is viewing labor as a physiological process and not a pathological one requiring intervention.

References

Alfirevic, Z. (2009). Intravenous oxytocin alone for cervical ripening and induction of labour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (4) Retrieved from <u>http://ezproxy.bethel.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=tru</u> <u>e&db=chh&AN=CD003246&site=ehost-live&scope=site</u>

Alfirevic, Z. (2018). Oral misoprostol for induction of labour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (6) Retrieved from <u>http://ezproxy.bethel.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=tru e&db=chh&AN=CD001338&site=ehost-live&scope=site</u>

American College of Nurse-Midwives [ACNM]. (2012, December). *Core competencies for basic midwifery practice*. Retrieved from

http://www.midwife.org/acnm/files/acnmlibrarydata/uploadfilename/00000000050/cor

e%20comptencies%20dec%202012.pdf

American College of Nurse-Midwives [ACNM]. (2010). Position statement: Induction of labor.

Retrieved from

http://midwife.org/ACNM/files/ACNMLibraryData/UPLOADFILENAME/0000000002

35/Induction%20of%20Labor%2010.10.pdf

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists [ACOG]. (2009, August). ACOG practice bulletin: Induction of labor. Retrieved from

https://www.mnhospitals.org/Portals/0/Documents/patientsafety/Perinatal/acog-practice_bulletin_107_2009.pdf

- Baev, O. R., Rumyantseva, V. P., Tysyachnyu, O. V., Kozlova, O. A., & Sukhikh, G. T. (2017).
 Outcomes of mifepristone usage for cervical ripening and induction of labour in full-term pregnancy. randomized controlled trial. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, 217*, 144-149. doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2017.08.038
- Bala, A., Bagga, R., Kalra, J., & Dutta, S. (2017). Early versus delayed amniotomy during labor induction with oxytocin in women with bishop's score of >=6: A randomized trial. *Journal* of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine, , 20171-20178. Retrieved from <u>http://ezproxy.bethel.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=tru</u> <u>e&db=cgh&AN=CN-01403387&site=ehost-live&scope=site</u>
- Barda, G., Ganer Herman, H., Sagiv, R., & Bar, J. (2018). Foley catheter versus intravaginal prostaglandins E2 for cervical ripening in women at term with an unfavorable cervix: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, *31*; 20(20), 2777-2781. doi:10.1080/14767058.2017.1355906
- Battarbee, A. N., Palatnik, A., Peress, D. A., & Grobman, W. A. (2016). Association of early amniotomy after Foley balloon catheter ripening and duration of nulliparous labor induction. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *128*(3), 592-597.
 doi:10.1097/AOG.00000000001563 [doi]
- Beckmann, M., Kumar, S., Flenady, V., & Harker, E. (2015). *Prostaglandin vaginal gel induction of labor comparing amniotomy with repeat prostaglandin gel* doi://doiorg.ezproxy.bethel.edu/10.1016/j.ajog.2015.07.043

Bricker, L. & Luckas, M. (2012). Amniotomy alone for induction of labour. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (8) Retrieved from <u>http://ezproxy.bethel.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct</u>

=true&db=chh&AN=CD002862&site=ehost-live&scope=site

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]. (2017, March 31). Births-Method of delivery. Retrieved from <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/delivery.htm</u>
- Connolly, K. A., Kohari, K. S., Rekawek, P., Smilen, B. S., Miller, M. R., Moshier, E., ...
 Bianco, A. T. (2016). A randomized trial of Foley balloon induction of labor trial in nulliparas (FIAT-N). *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 215; 3*(3) doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2016.03.034
- Cromi, A., Ghezzi, F., Uccella, S., Agosti, M., Serati, M., Marchitelli, G., & Bolis, P. (2012). *A* randomized trial of preinduction cervical ripening: Dinoprostone vaginal insert versus double-balloon catheter doi://doi-org.ezproxy.bethel.edu/10.1016/j.ajog.2012.05.020
- Dearholt, S. L., & Dang, D. (2012). *Johns Hopkins nursing evidence-based practice: Model and guidelines,* (2nd ed.). Indianapolis, IN: Sigma Theta Tau International.
- Du, C., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Ding, H., Zhang, R., & Tan, J. (2015). Double-balloon catheter vs. dinoprostone vaginal insert for induction of labor with an unfavorable cervix. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 291(6), 1221-1227. doi:10.1007/s00404-014-3547-3
- Gagnon-Gervais, K., Bujold, E., Iglesias, M., Duperron, L., Masse, A., Mayrand, M., ...
 Audibert, F. (2012). Early versus late amniotomy for labour induction: a randomized
 controlled trial. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, *25*(11), 2326-2329.
 doi:10.3109/14767058.2012.695819

- Garba, I., Muhammed, A. S., Muhammad, Z., Galadanci, H. S., Ayyuba, R., & Abubakar, I. S. (2016). Induction to delivery interval using transcervical Foley catheter plus oxytocin and vaginal misoprostol: A comparative study at aminu kano teaching hospital, kano, nigeria. *Annals of African Medicine*, *15*; *3*(3), 114-119. doi:10.4103/1596-3519.188890
- Gonzalo. (2011). *Imogene M. King Theoretical Foundations of Nursing*. Retrieved from http://nursingtheories.weebly.com/imogene-m-king.html
- Guerra, G. V., Cecatti, J. G., Souza, J. P., Faúndes, A., Morais, S. S., Gülmezoglu, A. M., . . . Carroli, G. (2011). Elective induction versus spontaneous labour in Latin America. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 89*(9), 657-665. doi:10.2471/BLT.08.061226
- Gross, M. M., Fromke, C., & Hecker, H. (2014). The timing of amniotomy, oxytocin and neuraxial analgesia and its association with labour duration and mode of birth. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 289(1), 41-48. doi:10.1007/s00404-013-2916-7
- Howarth, G. & Botha, D. (2013). Amniotomy plus intravenous oxytocin for induction of labour. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (2) Retrieved from <u>http://ezproxy.bethel.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=tru</u> <u>e&db=chh&AN=CD003250&site=ehost-live&scope=site</u>
- Jozwiak, M., Bloemenkamp, KWM., Kelly, A., Mol, B., Irion, O., & Boulvain, M. (2012).
 Mechanical methods for induction of labour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (3)
 Retrieved

from <u>http://ezproxy.bethel.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=tru</u> e&db=chh&AN=CD001233&site=ehost-live&scope=site

- Kandil, M., Emarh, M., Sayyed, T., & Masood, A. (2012). Foley catheter versus intra-vaginal misoprostol for induction of labor in post-term gestations. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics, 286*(2), 303-307. doi:10.1007/s00404-012-2292-8
- Levine, L. D., Downes, K. L., Elovitz, M. A., Parry, S., Sammel, M. D., & Srinivas, S. K. (2016). Mechanical and pharmacologic methods of labor induction: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *128*; *6*(6), 1357-1364. doi:10.1097/AOG.00000000001778
- Mackeen, A. D., Durie, D. E., Lin, M., Huls, C. K., Qureshey, E., Paglia, M. J., ... Sciscione, A. (2018). Foley plus oxytocin compared with oxytocin for induction after membrane rupture: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *131*; *1*(1), 4-11. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000002374
- Macones, G. A., Cahill, A., Stamilio, D. M., & Odibo, A. O. (2012). *The efficacy of early amniotomy in nulliparous labor induction: A randomized controlled trial* doi://doiorg.ezproxy.bethel.edu/10.1016/j.ajog.2012.08.032
- Makarem, M. H., Zahran, K. M., Abdellah, M. S., & Karen, M. A. (2013). Early amniotomy after vaginal misoprostol for induction of labor: A randomized clinical trial. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 288; 2(2), 261-265. doi:10.1007/s00404-013-2747-6

Nursing Theory. (2015). Goal attainment. Retrieved from http://www.nursingtheory.org/theories-and-models/king-theory-of-goal-attainment.php

- Ruhl, C., & Bingham, D. (2014). Midwives and nonmedically indicated induction of labor. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 59(3), 233-236. doi:10.1111/jmwh.12197
- Seyb, S. T., Berka, R. J., Socol, M. L., & Dooley, S. L. (1999). Risk of cesarean delivery with elective induction of labor at term in nulliparous women doi://doiorg.ezproxy.bethel.edu/10.1016/S0029-7844(99)00377-4
- Schoen, C. N., Grant, G., Berghella, V., Hoffman, M. K., & Sciscione, A. (2017). Intracervical Foley catheter with and without oxytocin for labor induction: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *129*; *6*(6), 1046-1053.
 doi:10.1097/AOG.00000000002032
- Tam, T., Conte, M., Schuler, H., Malang, S., & Roque, M. (2013). Delivery outcomes in women undergoing elective labor induction at term. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics, 287*(3), 407-411. doi:10.1007/s00404-012-2582-1
- Thomas, J., Fairclough, A, Kavanagh, J, & Kelly, A. (2014). Vaginal prostaglandin (PGE2 and PGF2a) for induction of labour at term. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (6) Retrieved

from <u>http://ezproxy.bethel.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=tru</u> e&db=chh&AN=CD003101&site=ehost-live&scope=site

Wollmann, C. L., Ahlberg, M., Petersson, G., Saltvedt, S., & Stephansson, O. (2017). Time-todelivery and delivery outcomes comparing three methods of labor induction in 7551 nulliparous women: a population-based cohort study. *Journal of Perinatology*, *37*(11), 1197-1203. doi:10.1038/jp.2017.122 World Health Organization [WHO]. (2015). WHO statement on cesarean section rates.
 Retrieved from
 http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/161442/WHO RHR 15.02 eng.pdf;jses

sionid=ACB85A625F90A227220FE7CDEE404D7D?sequence=1

Wu, X., Wang, C., Li, Y., Ouyang, C., Liao, J., Cai, W., . . . Chen, H. (2018). Cervical dilation balloon combined with intravenous drip of oxytocin for induction of term labor: A multicenter clinical trial. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics, 297*(1), 77-83. doi:10.1007/s00404-017-4564-9

Appendix I = Literature Review Mat	Appendix	idix 1 – 1	Literature	Review	Matri
------------------------------------	----------	------------	------------	--------	-------

Baev, O. R., Rumyantseva, V. P., Tysyachnyu, O. V., Kozlova, O. A., & Sukhikh, G. T. (2017). Outcomes of mifepristone usage for cervical ripening and induction of labour in full-term pregnancy. randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, 217, 144-149. doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2017.08.038 **Purpose/Sample** Design Results Strengths/Limitatio (Method/Instrument ns s) Study Design: **Results: Purpose:** Strengths: Evaluate the efficacy and Randomized No High safety of mifepristone use controlled trial comparability of the significant for cervical ripening and differences among group. induction of labor versus Instruments⁻ groups in age, Accurate • expectant management in BMI, gestational One-to-one exclusion of the full-term pregnancy. randomization age, number of cofounders, such as schedule followed a nulliparous, or different pregnancy Sample/Setting: initial Bishop computer-generated complications and Department of Obstetrics list of random score. indications for of Research Centre for numbers organized • After 48h induction. Obstetrics, Gynecology into permuted block of mifepristone, Comparison and Perinatology, of four and then Bishop score was of low risk population Moscow from January concealed in twice as much as group allows to focus 2014 to January 2015. sequentially the expectant research attention on Size: 74 for study group numbered, opaque, management the direct effect of and 75 for control group. sealed envelopes by group. induction. independent staff Significantl Limitations: Inclusion Criteria: Age • members. y more of the study 18-45 years, singleton • The study is group were in not blinded and live pregnancies, cephalic **Methods:** labor within 24, presentation, at least 40 placebo-controlled. One tablet of +4 weeks, unripe cervix 48, and 72 hours Only short • mifepristone 200 mg than the expectant period of gestation (Bishop score<8), intact by mouth at the management membranes, no was evaluated. moment of contraindication for group. The sample enrollment, assessed vaginal delivery, no • Significant size was small but after 24 hours, if improvement in contraindication for was adequate based Bishop score < 8. induction, informed mean Bishop score off a completed second dose was after mifepristone written consent was power analysis. given, and another obtained. treatment. Bishop score obtained Exclusion Criteria: **Conclusion:** in 24 more hours. If Uterine anomaly, parity • Mifepriston after 72 hours from greater than 3, severe e was efficient on first dose, Bishop hypertension/preeclampsi inducing cervical score was unchanged, a, prior cesarean, ripening and labor

diabetes, impaired renal,	induction was	in full term	
adrenal, or hepatic	considered failed.	pregnancy.	
function, fetal	• If after 2^{nd}	• No	
malformations, breech,	dose of mifepristone,	significant	
estimated fetal weight	score was 6-7- dose of	differences in	
(>4500 g or <2500g), any	0.5 mg dinoprostone,	maternal and	
fetal concerns, or any	then another 0.5 mg	neonatal outcome	
indication for cesarean.	after 6h and if needed	between	
	a third dose given	mifepristone use	
Johns Hopkins	after 12h.	and expectant	
Evidence Appraisal	• Once the	management.	
Level of Evidence:	Bishop score was >8,	No serious	
Level I	transferred to L&D	adverse effects of	
Quality: Good	for AROM and	mifepristone.	
	continued monitoring.	However, uterine	
	• The control	contractions were	
	group had routine	more painful.	
	appointments and		
	monitoring up to 42		
	weeks. They were		
	induced if		
	spontaneous labor did		
	not occur prior to 42		
	weeks.		
Author Recommendation	s:		

Mifepristone has the ability to induce cervical ripening in term pregnancy. •

More comparative studies are needed to find out in which situations, gestational age, • indications and combinations to other methods of induction to see which is most effective.

Implications:

Mifepristone use for induction of labor (cervical ripening) is effective for producing labor • within 72 hours from first dose.

Mifepristone use may be appropriate for inducing labor after 40 weeks gestation but • before 42 weeks.

Dur cu

Bala, A., Bagga, R., Kalra, J., & Dutta, S. (2017). Early versus delayed amniotomy during labor induction with oxytocin in women with bishop's score of >=6: A randomized trial. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, , 20171-20178. Retrieved

from <u>http://ezproxy.bethel.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&d</u>

Durnage/Samula	Design	Degulta	Stuangths/Limitations
Purpose/Sample	Mothod/Instruments)	Results	Strengths/Limitations
Duran agai	(Wiethou/Instruments)	Dagullar	Strong ath as
Furpose: To study the offect	Dragnastiva Dandamizad	Kesuits:	
of "corly	Controlled Trial	• Nearly two-	• The sample size
of early	Controlled Trial	thirds of women	was determined to be
amniotomy		in study were	adequate based on a
initiating induction	Instruments:	nulliparous.	power analysis.
of labor with	Women admitted for IOL	• The overall	• The results of
amniotomy	were screened to meet	CS rate was	this study do correlate
followed by	inclusion criteria. Sample	6.67% and was	with other research
oxytocin versus	was randomized into two	higher in the early	study results.
"late amniotomy"	groups using a computer-	amniotomy group	
initiating IOL with	generated randomization	(10.7%) versus	Limitations:
oxytocin followed	table.	delayed (2.7%).	• There was a
by amniotomy 4-8		• Early	higher Bishop score in
hours later in	Methods:	amniotomy the	the early amniotomy
induced labor.	• Group 1 was the	mean IDI was	group; which may have
	early amniotomy group.	reduced	contributed to the
Sample/Setting:	IOL initiated with	significantly by	shorten IDI.
Sample size of 150	amniotomy and oxytocin	about 4 h and	
women with	started 30-60 minutes	more women	
Bishop's score of	after amniotomy.	delivered within	
>6 undergoing	• Group 2 was	12 h.	
IOL. Study done at	delayed amniotomy. IOL	• The mean	
Post Graduate	initiated with oxytocin	maximum	
Institute of	and amniotomy was	oxytocin	
Medical Education	performed 4-8 h later	concentration was	
& Research	unless deemed necessary	significantly lower	
(PGIMER) in	earlier (for nonreassuring	for the early	
Chandigarh, India	fetal heart status).	amniotomy	
from July 2013 to	Primary outcome	• Neonatal	
December 2014.	was induction to delivery		
150 women were	interval (IDI) Secondary	comparable for	
included in study.	outcomes were CS rate	both groups	
Inclusion criteria:	maternal outcomes and	ooui groups.	
single live fetus at	fetal outcome	Conclusion	
least 37 weeks		Conclusion:	
with cephalic		Significant	
presentation, fetal		reduction in the IDI	

1		
head fixed at	for early	
pelvic brim, intact	amniotomy group.	
amniotic	Other benefits of	
membranes,	early amniotomy	
reactive nonstress	were lower	
test and Bishop's	maximum oxytocin	
score at least 6.	concentrations and	
Exclusion criteria:	reduced	
maternal infection,	requirement of	
fever, heart	labor analgesia.	
disease, severe	There was a	
anemia,	statistically	
uncontrolled	significant higher	
diabetes, major	rate of CS in the	
medical illness,	early amniotomy	
previous uterine	group.	
scar, severe	Higher CS rate	
preeclampsia,	seemed to be	
severe fetal growth	related to arrest of	
restriction and fetal	labor and fetal	
malformations.	distress.	
Johns Hopkins		
Evidence		
Appraisal		
Level of		
Evidence:		
Level I		
Quality: Good		

• Low-risk women with a favorable cervix and fetal head fixed at pelvic brim, initiating IOL with amniotomy followed by oxytocin (early amniotomy) has advantages and should be considered effective.

Implications:

• IOL with early amniotomy followed by oxytocin does reduced duration of labor when compared with oxytocin followed by amniotomy.

• Early amniotomy is associated with a higher CS rate when compared with delayed amniotomy.

Battarbee, A. N., Palatnik, A., Peress, D. A., & Grobman, W. A. (2016). Association of early amniotomy after Foley balloon catheter ripening and duration of nulliparous labor induction. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *128*(3), 592-597. doi:10.1097/AOG.00000000001563 [doi]

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		0
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To evaluate the	Retrospective matched	• Older women	• Large sample
association	cohort study	were more likely to	size studying
between early		undergo early	specifically the timing
amniotomy after	Instruments:	amniotomy.	of amniotomy after
ripening with a	Electronic medical	• Early	mechanical ripening of
Foley balloon	records were used to	amniotomy was	with a Foley balloon
catheter and	identify all women	significantly associated	catheter.
duration of labor	who underwent	with shorter duration	Standard
induction.	cervical ripening with a	from catheter removal	protocols for labor
	single-balloon Foley	to complete dilation	induction and Folev
Sample/Setting:	Catheter inflated to 80	and to delivery.	balloon management
Northwestern	cc and taped on	 No significant 	were followed.
Memorial Hospital	tension.	differences in maternal	• Women in
between January		or neonatal outcomes	control group and
2010 and October	Methods:	• Early	intervention group
2013. 546	The initial cervical	amniotomy associated	were matched
nulliparous	examination after	with higher odds of	according to healthcare
women with	Foley placement was	vaginal delivery within	provider type.
singleton viable	performed based on	24 hours: although the	favorability of cervical
gestation	symptoms or after 6 h.	difference was not	exam. indication for
undergoing	If spontaneous	statistically significant	induction- reducing
cervical ripening	expulsion did not	Conclusion:	bias that is common in
with a Foley	occur, it was retaped	Early amniotomy after	retrospective studies.
balloon catheter.	for tension and	Foley balloon catheter	Limitations:
273 in each group.	reassessed at 12 h. At	removal is associated	• Cannot exclude
Inclusion criteria:	that time, it was	with shorter duration of	the possibility of
nulliparous with	removed and another	labor induction in	unmeasured
singleton viable	agent for cervical	nullinarous women	confounding variables
gestation	ripening was	numpulous women.	• Due to this
undergoing labor	considered.		being an observational
induction with	After expulsion		study causality cannot
Foley bulb for	standard protocol for		he assured
cervical ripening.	oxytocin was followed;		be ubsured.
	starting at 2		
Johns Hopkins	milliunits/min and		
Evidence	increased by 2 every		
Appraisal	15-20 minutes until		

Level of	labor pattern was	
Evidence: Level	established.	
III	Early amniotomy was	
Quality: Good	defined as AROM less	
	than 1 hour after bulb	
	removal.	

• Implementing a more proactive approach to management of labor induction my decrease the duration of labor and resource utilization.

Implications:

• Early amniotomy following removal of cervical ripening catheter may be effective in reducing duration of labor.

• Early amniotomy in this study was associated with a lower CS rate; however, that was not statistically significant.

Beckmann, M., Kumar, S., Flenady, V., & Harker, E. (2015). *Prostaglandin vaginal gel induction of labor comparing amniotomy with repeat prostaglandin gel* doi://doi-org.ezproxy.bethel.edu/10.1016/j.ajog.2015.07.043

	<u> </u>		
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
Compare 2	Randomized controlled	• 245 women	• Low risk for
inductions of labor	trial	participated in the	bias with this study
protocol.		study. 124 in repeat	design.
Investigating	Instruments:	PGE2 group and 121 in	Randomization
women who	Women were	amniotomy group.	resulted in similar
underwent IOL	approached by the	• Baseline	characteristics for each
who had already	medical officer on the	characteristics of	group; these were
received an initial	evening of their IOL	women in each group	found to be
dose of PGE2	and asked to participate	did not differ with	representative of the
vaginal gel. To	in the study; informed	respect to age, BMI.	characteristics of all
determine whether	consent was obtained.	gestational age.	women undergoing
there is advantage	Study approval was	ethnicity, or indication	IOL during the time
or disadvantage in	obtained from the	for IOL.	period of this study.
continuing to	ethics committee.	Most common	P
administer more	Randomization into the	indication for IOL was	Limitations:
PGE2 rather than	2 study groups was	post-dates	• There was a
perform AROM.	done according to a	• More than 80%	high number of
1	random allocation list.	of the indications were	protocol violations
Sample/Setting:	Sealed sequentially	performed for an	(such as delay in
At Mater Health	numbered opaque	identifiable clinical	starting oxytocin
Services Brisbane	envelopes were	indication	• The sample
between March	prepared. After patients	• Overall the IOI	size was smaller than
2010 and August	consented to	• Overall the IOL	ideal but was what
2013. All women	participate, the	5 hours shorter in	able to be done with
with live singleton	envelope was opened	yomon in the	the grant funding that
pregnancies at or	by the midwife caring	ampiotomy group than	was received
beyond 37 weeks	for the patient and the	the repeat PGE2 group	was received.
gestation who	intervention in the	There was a	
were booked for	envelope was followed.	• There was a $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	
IOL with the use	1	in the ampietemy	
of PGE2 vaginal	Methods:	In the animotomy group and 27.1% in the	
gel and with a	After an initial dose of	group and 57.1% in the	
modified Bishop's	PGE2 vaginal gel in	statistically significant	
score < 7. Total	the evening (2mg for	difference in the two	
sample size of 245	nulliparous and 1 mg		
women; randomly	for multiparous	groups.	
assigned to either	women), women were	 Iviost common indiantian for accordent 	
the repeat PGE2	checked at 0600 the	mulcation for cesarean	

1 (1 0 1)	•		
gel (124) group or	next morning.	tor both groups was	
amniotomy (121)	Immediately before	tetal distress and slow	
group.	cervical examination,	progress.	
	the envelope assigning		
Johns Hopkins	the women to the	Conclusion:	
Evidence	different groups was	AROM after the initial	
Appraisal	opened. Women in the	dose did lead to shorter	
Level of	amniotomy group	duration of labor than	
Evidence:	underwent AROM	repeating doses.	
Level I	regardless of Bishop	No statistically	
Quality: Good	score and received	significant differences	
- •	further doses of PGE2	in the two group for	
	gel only if AROM was	secondary outcomes	
	not physically possible.		
	The repeat PGE2		
	received further doses		
	of 1 mg every 6 hours		
	to a maximum of 3		
	doses until Bishop		
	score was at least 7- at		
	which time AROM		
	was performed. In both		
	group oxytocin was		
	started once ROM		
	occurred. Rate started		
	at 1mU/min and		
	increased by 4mU/min		
	every 30 minutes to a		
	maximum rate of		
	32mU/min until 3-4		
	contractions every 10		
	minutes was achieved.		
	Primary outcome was		
	length of time from		
	IOL until birth. The		
	start of IOL was the		
	time the first dose of		
	PGE2. Secondary		
	outcomes were mode		
	of birth, use of		
	epidural, need for		
	antibiotics, postpartum		
	hemorrhage, uterine		
	hyperstimulation, and		
	duration of hospital		

stay.	

- AROM after an initial dose of PGE2 vaginal gel is statistically significant at reducing IOL to birth time and should be considered once technically possible.
- Future research should focus on health care costs of different induction methods.

Implications:

• There does not appear to be any advantage to repeating doses of PGE2 vaginal gel prior to AROM in regard to mode of delivery (vaginal versus cesarean).

• AROM after initial dose of PGE2 does significantly reduce IOL to birth time.

|--|

Connolly, K. A., Kohari, K. S., Rekawek, P., Smilen, B. S., Miller, M. R., Moshier, E., ... Bianco, A. T. (2016). A randomized trial of Foley balloon induction of labor trial in nulliparas (FIAT-N). *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *215*; *3*(3) doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2016.03.034

u01.10.1010/j.uj05.2	010.05.051		
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To determine	Randomized controlled	• Total of 166	• Power analysis
whether	trial	women enrolled; 82 in	performed prior to
simultaneous use		the simultaneous and	study; sample size was
of Foley balloon	Instruments:	84 in the sequential	more than adequate to
inflated to 60 mL	Patients who were	group.	detect statistically
and oxytocin	eligible were	• Baseline	significant differences.
decreases time to	approached by study	demographic data did	Randomization
delivery in	personnel and informed	not diff much between	helps with eliminating
nulliparous	of risks and benefits.	the two groups.	bias.
women compared	Informed consent	• Time to	
to the sequential	obtained. A random	delivery for	Limitations:
use of Foley	number generator from	simultaneous group on	• Patients and
balloon followed	OpenEpi, Version 3	average was 15.92	providers were not
by oxytocin.	allocated participants	hours; for sequential	blinded.
	into either	group was 18.87 hours.	• Decision to
Sample/Setting:	simultaneous or	• Simultaneous	perform a cesarean
Nulliparous	sequential group. Study	group difference time	delivery in the context
women presenting	approved by IRB.	to deliver was	of nonreassuring fetal
for induction of		statistically significant.	heart rate tracing or
labor at Mount	Methods:	No significant	failed in duction may
Sinai Hospital,	Eligible patients were	difference in cesarean	have been influenced
New York from	approached and those	rate between the two	by the provider's
December 2013 to	that choose to	groups	knowledge of the
March 2015.	participate were then	• However the	length of oxytocin
Nulliparous	randomized into either	simultaneous group	exposure
women at least 24	the simultaneous or	had a higher rate of	• Generalizability
weeks gestation	sequential group. After	cesarean for	of results may be
with live, non-	enrollment each patient	nonreassuring fetal	difficult due to
anomalous	had a transcervical	heart tones	differences in oxytocin
singleton fetus in	Foley balloon placed	No major	protocol between this
vertex	and inflated with 60	• No major differences in meternal	facility and other
presentation with	mL saline. For those in	or neonatal outcomes	facilities
initial cervical	the simultaneous group	Concrean rate	1401111105.
dilation <3 cm	oxytocin was started	Cesarean rate for gimulton going groups	
admitted for	within 1 hour of	101 simultaneous group	
induction of labor	insertion. Started at a	was 40%, sequential	
with cervical	rate of 2 mU/min	1aic was 30%.	

which was doubled every 30 minutes until	Conclusion:	
16 mU/min and then	Simultaneous use of	
increased by 2mU/min	Foley balloon and	
every 30 minutes to a	oxytocin statistically	
maximum dose of 30	reduces time to	
mU/min.	delivery when	
Patients in the	compared with	
sequential group,	sequential use.	
oxytocin was started	No statistical	
within 1 hour of	significance in	
spontaneous expulsion	difference between	
of the balloon	cesarean rate for these	
following the same	groups.	
protocol for increasing		
doses. If spontaneous		
expuision and not occur within 12 hours the		
within 12 hours, the		
and exutation was		
started		
starteu.		
	which was doubled every 30 minutes until 16 mU/min and then increased by 2mU/min every 30 minutes to a maximum dose of 30 mU/min. Patients in the sequential group, oxytocin was started within 1 hour of spontaneous expulsion of the balloon following the same protocol for increasing doses. If spontaneous expulsion did not occur within 12 hours, the balloon was removed, and oxytocin was started.	 which was doubled every 30 minutes until 16 mU/min and then increased by 2mU/min every 30 minutes to a maximum dose of 30 mU/min. Patients in the sequential group, oxytocin was started within 1 hour of spontaneous expulsion of the balloon following the same protocol for increasing doses. If spontaneous expulsion did not occur within 12 hours, the balloon was removed, and oxytocin was started. Conclusion: Simultaneous use of Foley balloon and oxytocin statistically reduces time to delivery when compared with sequential use. No statistical significance in difference between cesarean rate for these groups.

• Simultaneous use of Foley balloon and oxytocin results in shorter time to delivery without increased risk of maternal or neonatal complications and should be considered for induction protocol.

• Future studies should focus on other populations (i.e. multiparous, previous cesarean, or multiple gestation) with these two induction protocols.

Implications:

• Simultaneous use of Foley balloon and oxytocin results in shorter time to deliver but also increased incidence of cesarean due to nonreassuring fetal heart tones.

• Both induction protocols followed for this study had a high rate of cesarean delivery.

Cromi, A., Ghezzi, F., Uccella, S., Agosti, M., Serati, M., Marchitelli, G., & Bolis, P. (2012). *A randomized trial of preinduction cervical ripening: Dinoprostone vaginal insert versus double-balloon catheter* doi://doi-org.ezproxy.bethel.edu/10.1016/j.ajog.2012.05.020

Dum aga/Samula	Design	Degulta	Strongth g/I imitations
Purpose/Sample	Design	Kesuits	Strengths/Limitations
~	(Niethod/Instruments)		~
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To compare the	Randomized controlled	• More women in	• A power
efficacy of a	trial	the double-balloon	analysis was
double-balloon		group achieved vaginal	performed prior to the
transcervical	Instruments:	delivery in 24 hours	study to ensure that
catheter to that of	Patients with	than the PGE2 group	sample size was
a prostaglandin	unfavorable cervixes	(6.8% versus 49.5%).	adequate. This applies
(PG) vaginal	scheduled to undergo	No difference	only to the primary
insert among	labor induction were	in cesarean rate	outcome of vaginal
women	screened for study	between the two	delivery within 24 h.
undergoing labor	inclusion. Women who	groups (23.8% versus	• The
induction.	wanted to participate	26.2%).	randomization process
	were recruited by a	• Oxytocin and	prevented bias.
Sample/Setting:	staff physician and	epidural analgesic were	I
Sample size of	then randomly	administered more	Limitations:
210 (105 in each	allocated to either	frequently when a	• Patient
group) women	preinduction cervical	double-balloon device	satisfaction was no
with Bishop score	ripening with a double-	was used.	addressed.
<6 were assigned	balloon catheter or	• Uterine	• The nature of
randomly to	10mg controlled	tachysystole or	balloon catheter
cervical ripening	release dinoprostone	hypertonus occurred	treatment means that it
with either a	vaginal insert. The	more frequently in the	would not have been
double-balloon	randomization was	PGE2 group	possible to conceal
catheter or a	created with a	 Nonreassuring 	treatment allocation
PGE2. Patients	computer-generated	fetal heart tones	therefore managing
from Obstetrics	randomization scheme	leading to cesarean	obstetrician could have
Department of	with a 1:1 allocation.	section were more	inadvertently
University of		common in the group	influenced factors
Insubria, Varese,	Methods:	with the PGF2 vaginal	related to time to
Italy from August	The group assigned to	insert	delivery or decision to
2010 to October	mechanical ripening	Conclusion:	nerform cesarean
2011. Inclusion	with a double-balloon	The use of a double-	• The study lacks
criteria: singleton	catheter, which was	halloon catheter for	sufficient power to
gestation, vertex	inserted into the	cervical rinening is	show significance to
presentation,	cervical canal under	associated with a	secondary outcomes
Bishop < 6, intact	direct visualization	higher rate of vaginal	secondary outcomes.
membranes,	during a sterile	hirth within 24 hours	
gestational age	speculum examination	compared with a DCE?	
>34 weeks, and	Once both balloons	compared with a FOE2	

ļ	reassuring fetal	entered the cervical	vaginal insert.	
	heart tracing on	canal, the first balloon		
	admission.	was filled with 50 mL		
		saline above the level		
	Johns Hopkins	of the internal os and		
	Evidence	then pulled snugly		
	Appraisal	back against the os.		
	Level of	The second (vaginal)		
	Evidence:	balloon was then		
	Level I	inflated with 50 mL of		
	Quality: Good	saline. The external		
		end of the device was		
		taped without traction		
		to the medial aspect of		
		the patient's thigh.		
		Then patients were		
		monitored for 30		
		minutes for fetal heart		
		rate. The device was		
		left in place for 12		
		hours per		
		manufacturer's		
		recommendation. The		
		catheter was removed		
		either because maximal		
		time was reached,		
		SROM occurred, the		
		balloon was expelled		
		spontaneously, or		
		patient entered labor.		
		In the group for		
		pharmacological		
		ripening, the insert was		
		placed, and fetal heart		
		rate was monitored for		
		at least 1 hour. The		
		insert was removed for		
		the following reasons:		
		maximum time (24n),		
		onset of labor, or		
ļ		huterine		
		nyperstimulation or		
		nonreassuring fetal		
		neart rate patterns.		
		After removal of either		

the catheter or the	
vaginal insert, oxytocin	
was administered if the	
women were not in	
labor.	
Oxytocin was started at	
5mU/min and	
increased by 5mU/min	
every 15 minutes to	
achieve 7 contractions	
in 15 minutes or up to a	
maximum dose of	
30mU/min.	
If slow progress	
occurred (<1 cm of	
cervical change in 2 h)	
then an amniotomy	
was performed if	
membranes were	
intact.	

• Further research should be conducted to clarify the usefulness of targeting subgroups of patients that would most likely benefit from mechanical methods; those that are at an increased risk for fetal distress.

Implications:

• Mechanical dilation had improved rates of vaginal delivery within 24 h than pharmacological ripening.

• Pharmacological ripening had higher rates of fetal distress (nonreassuring heart tones) that lead to cesarean.

• Double-balloon catheter may be a more efficient method for cervical ripening and result in fewer incidences of fetal distress.

Du, C., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Ding, H., Zhang, R., & Tan, J. (2015). Double-balloon catheter vs. dinoprostone vaginal insert for induction of labor with an unfavorable cervix. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 291(6), 1221-1227. doi:10.1007/s00404-014-3547-3

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
1 1	(Method/Instruments)		8
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To compare the	Prospective cohort	 No significant 	Allowing
efficacy of a	study	differences between the	patients to choose their
double-balloon		two groups in baseline	method might more
catheter with the	Instruments:	characteristics.	accurately reflect what
dinoprostone	Women undergoing	• No significant	is happening in clinical
vaginal insert for	induction with	differences between the	practice than a random
induction of labor	unfavorable cervix	groups in change of	controlled trial.
in women with an	were informed of the	Bishop score, vaginal	Limitations:
unfavorable	study and the risks and	delivery within 24 h or	Patients were
cervix.	benefits of the two	48.	allowed to choose the
	methods, the women	• No significant	preferred induction
Sample/Setting:	then chose which	difference between the	method, which has
Study was	method they wanted to	groups on the rate of	potential to produce
conducted at the	use. Information was	cesarean section.	bias.
Obstetrics	gathered during	• Time in active	• Chinese
Department of	patient's stay and from	labor was less for the	patients have a
Sun Yat-sen	additional chart review.	double-balloon catheter	mistrust of clinical
Memorial Hospital		group.	trials and many
of Sun Yat-sen	Methods:	• The length of	declined participating.
University, China,	Labor induction was	the first stage of labor	• There is the
from May 2010 to	performed with either a	was significantly	potential for an error in
January 2013. A	10 mg-controlled	longer in the vaginal	the study groups for up
total of 155	release dinoprostone	insert group.	to 23%; there was not
women	vaginal insert or a	 More patients 	very high power with
participated in the	double-balloon	in the double-balloon	this study.
study: 76 women	catheter. After agreeing	group received	5
in double-balloon	to the study, patients	oxytocin.	
catheter group and	were allowed to choose	• Uterine	
79 in dinoprostone	the induction method	hyperstimulation was	
vaginal insert	they wanted to use.	also less in the double-	
group.	The vaginal insert was	balloon group (no	
Inclusion criteria	inserted and left in for	cases vs 10%)	
were singleton	24h unless labor or	• No significant	
gestation, vertex	uterine	differences in neonatal	
presentation,	hyperstimulation	outcomes	
intact membranes,	occurred. If labor did	Conclusion.	
Bishop score <6,	not occur, amniotomy	Double-balloon	
gestational age of	was performed, if 1 h		

at least 37 weeks,	after amniotomy labor	catheter and	
and normal	had still not occurred,	dinoprostone vaginal	
preinduction fetal	then oxytocin was	insert are associated	
heart rate tracing.	started per facility	with similar vaginal	
Exclusion criteria:	protocol as outlined	delivery and cesarean	
any	below. For the balloon	section rates and	
contraindication	catheter induction, both	neonatal outcomes. No	
for vaginal	balloons were filled	major differences	
delivery, previous	with 80 mL and left in	between the two	
uterine or cervical	place for 12h. If a	methods were found in	
surgery,	patient did not go into	this study.	
intrauterine death,	labor within 12 h after		
antepartum	balloon insertion, an		
bleeding, active	amniotomy was		
infection,	performed, if labor did		
eclampsia.	not begin 1 h after		
Johns Hopkins	amniotomy, then		
Evidence	oxytocin was started.		
Appraisal	Oxytocin rate was		
Level of	started at 2.5 mU/min		
Evidence:	and increased every 15		
Level III	minutes up to a		
Quality: Good	maximum of 20		
	mU/min or until 3		
	contractions within 10		
	min, lasting 30-60		
	seconds.		
	Primary outcome was		
	vaginal delivery within		
	24 h. Secondary		
	outcome was cesarean		
	rate section. Other		
	outcomes measured		
	included: interval from		
	the start of induction to		
	active labor to delivery,		
	the length of first stage		
	of labor and total		
	length of labor, the		
	need for oxytocin,		
	occurrence of		
	hyperstimulation,		
	meconium staining,		
	and neonatal outcomes.		

• Additional studies should be done with adequate statistical power to detect differences.

• Further research should be done with larger sample size to determine more accurate

results.

Implications:

• This study did not show any significant differences in vaginal delivery within 24h between the two methods.

• The authors admitted that their study lacked adequate statistical power and may have a high error rate; so, the results of this study should be interpreted cautiously.

Gagnon-Gervais, K., Bujold, E., Iglesias, M., Duperron, L., Masse, A., Mayrand, M., ... Audibert, F. (2012). Early versus late amniotomy for labour induction: a randomized controlled trial. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, *25*(11), 2326-2329. doi:10.3109/14767058.2012.695819

u01.10.5107/14/0/0	30.2012.073017		-
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To compare early	Randomized controlled	• The trial was	• The
vs. late amniotomy	trial	stopped after 3 years	randomization
in a population of		due to low recruitment	Stratification
women	Instruments:	rate.	by parity
undergoing labor	Randomization was	• Both groups	• A strict
induction at term.	done using numbered	were comparable for	oxytocin
	opaque sealed	baseline characteristics.	administration
Sample/Setting:	envelopes (which was	• Indications for	protocol
Study conducted	done with a computer	induction were similar	• Strict
at two academic	program) from October	between the groups;	definitions of early and
perinatal centers in	2006 to June 2009.	postdates was most	late amniotomy
Montreal, Canada	From July 2009 to May	common.	• Verv high
from October	2010, randomization	• Cesarean rates	compliance of the
2006 to May 2010.	was done using a web-	were similar in both	allocated treatment
A total of 143	based system due to a	groups (18% vs 17%).	Limitations:
women enrolled in	second center joining	Most common reason	• A nower
the study; 71 for	the study. Outcomes	for cesarean was arrest	analysis determined
early amniotomy	were collected after	of labor. No cord	that each group needed
with oxytocin and	delivery by a research	prolapses occurred.	to have 180
72 for late	assistant that was	• Duration of	participants (360 total)
amniotomy.	blinded for allocation	labor was significantly	to detect significant
Inclusion criteria:	group.	shorter in the early	differences: however
admission to		amniotomy group.	due to low recruitment
hospital for labor	Methods:	Conclusion:	and the study being
induction, >18	After informed consent	There was no	stopped the sample
years, term	was obtained, a digital	statistically significant	size was inadequate
singleton fetus in	examination was	difference in the rate of	Selection bias
cephalic	performed to confirm	cesarean between the	in the recruitment
presentation, intact	feasibility of	two groups. There was	process toward a very
membranes, and	amniotomy. If a	significant difference in	low-risk group of
normal fetal heart	woman was still	duration of labor: early	women. The study was
rate tracing.	eligible, then she was	amniotomy was much	undernowered to show
Exclusion:	randomized into a	shorter.	differences in rate of
maternal infection,	treatment group. In		cesarean
maternal fever,	early amniotomy,		• The number of
fetal growth	oxytocin infusion and		eligible women was
restriction, severe	amniotomy was started		cingibic wonnen was

preeclampsia,	within the first hour of	not recorded because
prior cesarean,	randomization. In the	several women were
SROM,	late amniotomy group,	not offered to
unfavorable cervix	oxytocin infusion was	participate by the
(Bishop <6), or	started with amniotomy	attending physicians.
women who had	performed after 4h or	
received	unless deemed	
prostaglandins for	necessary by physician.	
cervical ripening.	Oxytocin was started at	
Johns Hopkins	1mU/min, increased to	
Evidence	2, 4, 8, and then by	
Appraisal	2mU/min every 30	
Level of	minutes- decreased or	
Evidence:	stopped if	
Level I	hyperstimulation	
Quality: Good	occurred. Primary	
	outcome was cesarean	
	delivery. Secondary	
	outcomes included the	
	mean duration of labor,	
	the mean amniotomy to	
	delivery interval and	
	rate of fever.	

• Further research should be done with adequate power to show statistically significant differences.

• Early amniotomy should be considered to help shorten the duration of labor.

Implications:

• This study was well designed but due to inadequate sample size, it is difficult to draw conclusions.

• This study does suggest that early amniotomy with oxytocin for induction leads to shorter duration of labor without an increase in cesarean rate.

Source:			
Garba, I., Muhammed, A. S., Muhammad, Z., Galadanci, H. S., Ayyuba, R., & Abubakar, I. S.			
(2016). Induction to	delivery interval using tra	anscervical Foley catheter	plus oxytocin and
vaginal misoprostol: A comparative study at aminu kano teaching hospital, kano,			
nigeria. Annals of A	frican Medicine, 15; 3(3),	114-119. doi:10.4103/159	96-3519.188890
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To compare the	Prospective	• Both groups	• Results of this
induction delivery	randomized controlled	were comparable in	study are comparable
intervals using	trial	baseline and	to similar past studies.
transcervical	Instruments:	demographic	Limitations:
Foley catheter	Data analyzed using	characteristics.	• Study only
plus oxytocin and	SPSS version 17	• Higher rate of	focused on IOL for
vaginal	computer software;	cesarean in misoprostol	postdates and not on
misoprostol, and	comparisons of	group (20% versus	any other indications
to identify the	categorical variables	9%)	Power analysis
factors associated	were done using Chi-	Induction to	was not performed so
with successful	squared test.	delivery interval was	it is not known if
induction among	Computer-generated	shorter in the	sample size would be
postdate singleton	random numbers were	misoprostol group than	adequate
multiparous.	used to allocate the	in the Foley plus	 Study does not
Sample/Setting:	study groups.	oxytocin group	detail the oxytocin
All consenting	Questionnaire was	• Failed IOL was	protocol that was
postdates	administered before	more common in the	followed for dosing
singleton	and completed after	misoprostol group	followed for dobiling.
multiparous	delivery for baseline	• There were no	
pregnant women	characteristics.	cases of fetal distress in	
at Aminu Kano	Methods:	the Foley plus oxytocin	
Teaching Hospital	Informed consent was	groun	
in Africa from	obtained. Patients were	Conclusion:	
February to May	randomly assigned to	Use of vaginal	
2015. Gestational	either the Foley	misoprostol for	
age of 41 weeks	catheter or vaginal	cervical ripening and	
and 3 days.	misoprostol group.	IOL was found to	
Sample size of	Induction to delivery	result in shorter labor	
136; 70 in	interval was calculated	duration There were	
misoprostol group	from cervical dilatation	no statistically	
and 66 in Foley	of 4 cm to the delivery	significant differences	
Catheter oxytocin	of the fetus. The	in maternal and	
group.	APGAR scores,	neonatal outcomes.	
Johns Hopkins	maternal vital signs,		
Evidence	estimated blood loss,		
Appraisal	and induction to		
Level of	delivery interval were		

Evidence:	recorded on the	
Level I	questionnaire.	
Quality: Good		

The use of vaginal misoprostol for cervical ripening and IOL, among postdate multiparous singleton pregnant women, is recommended and preferred over Foley catheter plus oxytocin infusion.

Implications:

• This study showed that cesarean rate was higher with the misoprostol group than the Foley plus oxytocin group.

• Duration of labor was shorter for the misoprostol group.

• Due to the small sample size, lack of power analysis and short time frame for the study; the results of this need to be taken cautiously.

Gross, M. M., Fromke, C., & Hecker, H. (2014). The timing of amniotomy, oxytocin and neuraxial analgesia and its association with labour duration and mode of birth. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics, 289*(1), 41-48. doi:10.1007/s00404-013-2916-7

Cyneeology a cost			,
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To study the	Non-experimental	Nulliparous (n=2090)	Combination of
association of	Longitudinal	and multiparous	a longitudinal
different timings	Prospective	(n=1873) were included	methodological
of intrapartum	observational study.	in the study.	approach with a
interventions with		• Intrapartum	dynamic environment.
labour duration	Instruments: Data was	amniotomy was	• Time to event
and mode of birth.	collected in the	performed in 34.4% of	analysis is a promising
These	German state of Lower	nulliparous and 41.8%	technique to analyze
interventions	Saxony in 47 maternity	of multiparous.	the timed sequence of
include the timing	units during and after	• Oxytocin	interventions during
of augmentation	births between April-	augmentation was	labor
with oxytocin,	October 2005.	52 4% in nulliparous	
amniotomy, and	Institutional approval	and 27% in	Limitations:
neuraxial	for the anonymous	multiparous. Median	• Lack of
analgesia.	gathering of	initiation was 6 hours	cervical dilation data
C	information was	after the onset of labor	• Lack of
Sample/Setting:	granted by the Ethics	in nulli and 4 hours in	information on uterine
Data collected	Committee of	multi	contractions
from 47 maternity	Hannover Medical	Median time	• Look of data on
units in Germany	School and by the	from oxytocin to birth	• Lack of data off
during and after	Ethics Committee for	was shorter in	
births between	public hospitals.	multiparous (1 Abr) than	• Imprecision in
April and October	r	nullinarous (3 2hrs)	laber
2005 Pregnant	Methods: Data	Ear mulliparous	labor
women with a	collected from 47	• For numparous	• Lack of data
single fetus in	maternity units in	women oxytochi was	regarding oxytocin
cephalic	Germany Pregnant	risk of C sostion	dose and titration
presentation and	women with singleton	Tisk of C-section.	• Lack of
planning a vaginal	gestation in cephalic	• Flist stage of	information regarding
birth Pregnancy	presentation and	labor was accelerated	type and drug
of at least 34	planning a vaginal	when an amniotomy	concentration of
weeks	birth were included	was performed when	neuraxial analgesia
Nulliparous	Pregnancies of at least	compared to SROM or	• Inclusion of
(n=2.090) and	34 weeks were	intend and the second s	VBAC patients
multiparous	included The onset of	multine never, for	• Lack of data
(n=1, 873)	labor was defined as	nulliparous women this	regarding indication
(regular or irregular	increased the need for	for interventions
Johns Hopkins	contractions in	an emergency C-section	• Non-inclusion

Evidence	association with	in the first stage.	of all eligible patient.
Appraisal	increasing cervical	Oxytocin	• Not all variable
	dilatation as assessed	augmentation in the	with significantly
Level of	by a midwife.	second stage of labor	altered hazard ratios
Evidence: Level	Additional variables	increased risk C-section	may be clinically
III	that may have	rate in nulliparous and	significant.
	confounded labor were	operative vaginal birth	2
Ouality: Good	grouped and included:	in both groups.	
	demographics, risk-	8	
	associated, induction	Conclusion:	
	and infant variables.	The administration of	
	Woman with previous	amniotomy, oxytocin	
	cesarean section with	initiation or neuraxial	
	no vaginal birth were	analgesia is associated	
	classified as	with when a woman	
	nulliparous. After	will give birth as	
	power analysis target	compared to women	
	number of n=1,888 for	who do not receive	
	each nulliparous and	these interventions.	
	multiparous. This study	However, the birth	
	looked at hazard ratios	mode is altered as well.	
	for different outcomes.	Oxytocin	
		administrations is	
		associated with an	
		increased risk of	
		adverse outcomes such	
		as cesarean section and	
		fetal distress which	
		often requires additional	
		interventions and may	
		lead to a cesarean.	
		Applying amniotomy,	
		oxytocin and neuraxial	
		analgesia at their	
		optimal timing may	
		improve the progress	
		and outcome of labor	
		such as vaginal	
		delivery, assisted	
		delivery (vacuum or	
		forceps) or cesarean	
		section.	

• Observations need to be interpreted cautiously. Results were reporting evidence of timerelated associations and not definitive causal relationships.

• Results regarding amniotomy timing demonstrate a need for an RCT for the timing of amniotomies.

• The effects of these interventions should be studied further regarding time-related effects. **Implications:**

• Oxytocin administration does increase the risk of adverse outcomes such as C-section or operative vaginal birth in nulliparous women.

• More research needs to be found on cause/effect relationships between these interventions and C-section/duration of labor.

• This study shows that these methods may speed up the labor process but does not take into effect why these interventions are being done and what those factors might have on the timing of labor.

Guerra, G. V., Cecity, J. G., Souza, J. P., Founds, A., Morais, S. S., Gülmezoglu, A. M., ... Carroli, G. (2011). Elective induction versus spontaneous labour in Latin America. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 89(9), 657-665. doi:10.2471/BLT.08.061226

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
1 1	(Method/Instruments)		8
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To evaluate the	Cross-sectional	• Of elective	• Very large
frequency of	observational study	inductions	sample size.
elective induction	Instruments:	88.2% resulted	Limitations:
of labor in Latin	A secondary analysis	in vaginal	• Study was done
America, the	was performed on data	delivery with	in Latin
procedure's rate of	obtained from the	little variation of	America and
success in	World Health	induction	was done by
achieving vaginal	Organization Global	method used.	reviewing
delivery, the	Survey on Maternal	Oxytocin	medical
factors	and Perinatal Health	administration	records.
determining its	(WHOGS). Database	was the most	• The specific
application and	was from WHOGS	common	protocols used
any associated	2004-2005.	induction	for induction
unfavorable		method used.	was not
maternal and	Methods:	Cesarean was	addressed
perinatal	Data was collected	performed in	(although the
outcomes.	from medical records	11.8% of the	method used
Sample/Setting:	in 120 randomly	elective	was), it is not
All women who	selected health	inductions;	known how the
had elected	facilities from eight	compared with	protocol used
inductions from	randomly selected	8.6% of women	might have
120 randomly	countries in Latin	in spontaneous	varied from
selected facilities	America. In each	labor. This	different
in 8 randomly	country data was	difference is	facilities and
selected countries	collected on every	statistically	how that would
in Latin America.	single woman who	significant.	compare with
Sample size was	gave birth in every	 No increased 	what other
97,095 total births	selected facility.	occurrence of	facilities in
with 1,847	Primary outcome	neonatal	other countries
elective induction	measured was vaginal	complications in	are doing.
of low-risk women	delivery.	the elective	
which were		induction group.	
included in the		• Women that	
study. These were		underwent	
compared with		elective	
35,597 low-risk		induction, did	
women who went		have an	
ın labor			
spontaneously. Exclusion criteria: previous uterine scarring, clinical or obstetrical pathological condition, induction for medical reasons, pre-term, post- term and non- cephalic presentation. Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal Level of Evidence:	 increased risk of adverse maternal outcomes. The cesarean rate for all inductions (including those that were done for medical reasons) was 29.5%. Cesarean rate for misoprostol was 21.8%. Conclusion: Women with inductions had increased rates of cesarean section. 		
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	
Level of	had increased rates of		
Evidence:	cesarean section.		
Level III			
Quality: Good			
Zunny, Good			

Caution should be exercised when inducing labor electively (without medical indication), since no clear benefits outweigh the associated risks.

Implications:

- Elective induction is associated with a higher rate (11.8%) of cesarean than spontaneous labor (8.6%). Overall, induction (including those done for medical indications) has a higher rate of cesarean (29.5%).
- There are no clear benefits outweighing the risk of elective inductions.

Kandil, M., Emarh, M., Sayyed, T., & Masood, A. (2012). Foley catheter versus intra-vaginal misoprostol for induction of labor in post-term gestations. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 286(2), 303-307. doi:10.1007/s00404-012-2292-8

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To investigate	Prospective quasi-	• All patients were	• The study was
whether a fluid-	randomized controlled	primigravida at	well designed;
filled intra-uterine	trial.	41 weeks or	however, it was
extra-amniotic	Instruments:	more.	a small sample
Foley catheter is	Women were selected	 No major 	size.
an effective	from clinic that were	differences in	Limitations:
alternative to	post-term and	baseline	• The number of
vaginal	scheduled for labor	characteristics	women
misoprostol in	induction. Women with	between the 2	enrolled was
inducing labor in	odd dates were	groups.	too low to
primigravid	allocated to group one,	• Induction to	relate to intra-
women with post-	women with even dates	delivery time in	partum
term gestation.	were allocated to group	the Foley group	complications.
Sample/Setting:	two.	was significantly	
At total of 100	Methods:	shorter than the	
primigravid	In group 1 (Foley	misoprostol	
women with post-	group) Foley catheter	group. This was	
term gestation	was inserted sterile	statistically	
(more than 41	fashion into cervix	significant.	
weeks) were	with speculum exam	Cesarean rates	
enrolled and	and inflated to 30mL,	were similar in	
randomly assigned	then taped to thigh with	the two groups;	
to two groups (50	tension. Left in place	however, the	
in each group).	until expelled	misoprostol	
Study was done at	spontaneously or	group did have	
the Department of	removed in 12h.	cesarean done	
Obstetrics and	In group 2	for	
Gynecology,	(misoprostol), women	nonreassuring	
Menofyia	were given 25	fetal heart more	
University	micrograms vaginally	than the Foley	
Hospital, Egypt	every 4 hours.	group. The other	
between January	For both groups once	reason for	
and October 2010.	3-4 cm dilation	cesarean was	
Johns Hopkins	occurred, amniotomy	labor dystocia.	
Evidence	was performed.	Conclusion:	
Appraisal	Oxytocin was used if	Fluid filled Foley	
Level of	labor did not progress	catheter seems to be	
Evidence:	for 2h. Induction was	superior to 25	

	•			
Level II	considered successful	micrograms of vaginal		
Quality: Good	if women delivered	misoprostol regimen		
	within 12h of	when used to induce		
	amniotomy and start of	labor in primigravida		
	oxytocin. Cesarean was	women with post-term		
	performed for failed	gestation with have a		
	induction or for	shorter induction to		
	nonreassuring fetal	delivery interval but		
	heart tone.	more need oxytocin		
	Outcomes measured	administration.		
	were induction to			
	delivery interval, need			
	for oxytocin, route of			
	delivery, occurrence of			
	chorioamnionitis,			
	APGAR at 1 and 5			
	min, and admission to			
	NICU. Results were			
	analyzed on an IBM			
	computer using Epi			
	Info, word-processing,			
	and statistics program.			
Author Recommen	dations:			
Foley cathet	er use is an effective method	od for inducing labor.		
• Additional studies with larger sample sizes should be performed to confirm these				
findings.				
• Also, studies should be done to find the ideal volume to inflate the balloon to.				
Implications:				
• Mechanical dilation with Foley catheter is effective at inducing labor and has a shorter				
time to deliv	/erv		-	

time to delivery.
Misoprostol use is associated with more cases of uterine hyperstimulation and fetal distress than Foley use; this should be considered when deciding which method to use.

Levine, L. D., Downes, K. L., Elovitz, M. A., Parry, S., Sammel, M. D., & Srinivas, S. K. (2016). Mechanical and pharmacologic methods of labor induction: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *128;* 6(6), 1357-1364. doi:10.1097/AOG.00000000001778

Purnose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
i ui posc/sampic	(Method/Instruments)	NUSUIIS	Strengths/Elinitations
Purnose	Study Design	Results.	Strengths
To compare the	Stratified Randomized	Baseline	• Large sample
time to delivery	controlled trial	characteristics similar	size appropriately
among four	Instruments [.]	among the groups	nowered
different routinely	Patients approached by	• Overall	Randomized
used cervical-	healthcare providers.	combination methods	trial that compared
ripening methods	informed consent	achieved a faster time to	head to head four
for induction of	obtained Patients	delivery than single	common methods of
labor including	randomized into one of	methods. This occurred	induction
two different	the 4 groups using an	in both nullinarous and	Managamant
combination	internet-based clinical	multiparous	• Management
methods	trial management	• Misoprostol	limit confounding
Sample/Setting:	system Research	• Misopiostoi-	factors
Study done at the	Electronic Data	those women were	Vory for
Hospital of the	Capture. Computer-	twice as likely to deliver	• Very lew
University of	generated	sooper	multion multidations
Pennsylvania from	randomization scheme	• No statistically	increasing the
May 2013 to June	that used balanced	significant difference in	generalizability of the
2015.	treatment allocation in	assaraan rate among the	findings
Total sample size	blocks of 20;	A groups: Pate of	Limitations:
was 491:	randomization was	esarean ranged from	Noithor
Misoprostol only	stratified by parity.	24.2% to $30.4%$	• Incluici nationts nor provider
(n=120),	Methods:	highest rate was with	were blinded to
misoprostol and	Approval was obtained	the Foley-oxytocin	intervention
cervical Foley	from IRB at University	group and lowest rate	The study was
(n=123), cervical	of Pennsylvania.	was with misoprostol	• The study was
Foley only	Eligible patients were	only group	gtotistically significant
(n=123), cervical	identified and	Conclusion.	differences for the
Foley and	approached for study	Combination induction	nrimary outcome but
oxytocin (n=125).	inclusion by healthcare	methods do	was not nowered
Inclusion criteria:	providers. After	significantly reduce the	adequately to detect
at least 18 years	consent was obtained,	time to delivery when	differences for the
old, full term (37	they were randomized	compared with single	secondary outcomes
weeks), singleton	into one of the four	methods.	such as cesarean rate
gestation, cephalic	treatment groups. Each		such as cosarcan rate.
presentation, both	group had a standard		
nulliparous and	protocol for induction.		
multiparous, intact	No blinding to		
membranes,	providers or patients		

Bishop <6,	but research personnel	
cervical dilation	was blinded to study	
<2cm. Exclusion	group during data	
criteria:	abstraction.	
Contraindication	Misoprostol group	
for vaginal	received 25	
delivery, previous	micrograms vaginally	
cesarean, maternal	every 3 hours up to 5	
infection, known	additional doses up to	
fetal anomaly,	24 h. Oxytocin was	
nonreassuring	initiated if there was a	
fetal heart rate	contraindication to	
tracings, fetal	another misoprostol	
growth restriction,	dose or if additional	
prior attempt at	cervical ripening was	
induction.	not indicated and labor	
Johns Hopkins	had not started on its	
Evidence	own.	
Appraisal	Cervical-Foley only	
Level of	group, had an 18F	
Evidence:	Foley placed and	
Level I	inflated to 60 mL and	
Quality: Good	then taped to thigh with	
	gentle traction.	
	Removed after 12 h if	
	not expelled	
	spontaneously.	
	Oxytocin was started if	
	labor did not begin on	
	its own once Foley was	
	no longer in place.	
	Misoprostol-Foley	
	group had both placed	
	using the procedures	
	outlined above.	
	Foley-oxytocin group	
	had Foley placed as	
	described above and	
	oxytocin was started	
	concurrently.	
	Oxytocin was given	
	per the following	
	protocol: $2mU/min$,	
	increasing by 2	
	mu/min every 15	

	1	
minutes until regular		
contractions occur.		
Maximum dose for 40		
mU/min; no limit to the		
amount of time.		
Providers were able to		
perform amniotomy at		
any point during the		
labor course. Cesarean		
done at their discretion		
with guidelines if not		
in active labor after 36		
hours or if undelivered		
after 12h of active		
labor.		
Primary outcome was		
time to delivery.		
Secondary outcomes		
were cesarean delivery		
rate, time to vaginal		
delivery, time to		
cesarean delivery, time		
to active labor, delivery		
within 12h, within 24h		
and maternal length of		
stay.		

Future studies should focus on validating these results in different patient populations and be large enough to evaluate secondary outcomes such as cesarean rate.

Implications:

- Combining induction methods has potential to significantly reduce the duration of labor.
- This study lacks power to show differences in cesarean rate of the different methods.

Source:			
Mackeen, A. D., Du	rie, D. E., Lin, M., Huls, C	C. K., Qureshey, E., Paglia,	M. J., Sciscione, A.
(2018). Foley plus o	xytocin compared with ox	sytocin for induction after r	nembrane rupture: A
randomized controll	ed trial. Obstetrics and Gy	vnecology, 131; 1(1), 4-11.	
doi:10.1097/AOG.0	00000000002374		
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To assess whether	Multicenter stratified	• Baseline	• Multicenter
cervical ripening	Randomized controlled	characteristics were	randomized controlled
with Foley	Trial	similar between the two	trial with a diverse
catheter plus	Instruments:	groups.	patient population.
oxytocin decrease	Randomization based	• In 84% of	• Computerized
interval to delivery	on a one-to-one	patients, Foley was	randomization with
and associated	computer-generated	removed due to	stratification for
complications	schema in random-	spontaneous expulsion	hospital site, parity,
compared with	sized blocks stratified	within the 12 h time	and preterm status.
oxytocin alone in	by multiparty or	period.	• All patients had
women at 34	primiparity; maintained	• Epidural use	an initial cervical
weeks gestation or	through a Microsoft	similar between both	exam prior to being
greater with	Access database at	groups.	considered for
PROM.	each site. Data	• Average	inclusion.
Sample/Setting:	collected from charts.	induction time was	• All four sites
Conducted at 4	Methods:	shorter in the Foley	used the same
institutions:	Women with live,	group when compared	oxytocin protocol.
Geisinger (PA),	singleton gestation at	with the oxytocin alone	• Data entry was
Lehigh Valley	least 34 weeks with	group; but the	double-checked for
Health Network	PROM and	difference was not	accuracy
(PA), Banner	unfavorable cervix	considered statistically	Limitations:
University	were approached for	significant. (mean of 6.9	• Initial
Medical Center	study participation.	hours to 7.9h)	calculations were for a
(AZ), and	Informed consent was	• No significant	sample size of 194
Christiana Care	obtained, and women	differences in rate of	women to detect
Health System	were enrolled then	cesarean section	statistical significance.
(DE) from March	randomized into one of	between the groups;	After the study was
2014 to July 2016.	two groups. Either	slightly higher rate in	done, a power analysis
Women with a	oxytocin alone or	oxytocin alone group	showed only a 70%
live, singleton	Foley with oxytocin.	but this was not	power to detect the
gestation at least	Oxytocin started at	statistically significant.	difference.
34 weeks with	2mU/min, increased by	No major	• The results of
PROM, an	2 mU/min every 30	differences in maternal	the study were neither
unfavorable cervix	minutes up to 30	or neonatal	statistically significant
(less than 2cm or	mU/min until adequate	complications between	or clinically
80% effaced), no	contraction pattern was	the two groups.	significant
contraindication	achieved. For women	0 r	

for labor. Sample	in Foley group, 16F	No statistically	
size total was 201	Foley placed and	significant differences	
women; 93 in	inflated to 30 mL and	in indication for	
Foley plus	taped to thigh with	cesarean between the	
oxytocin group	gentle traction. If not	two groups.	
and 108 to	expelled in 12h, Foley	Conclusion:	
oxytocin alone	was removed. Primary	In patients with PROM,	
group. Exclusion	outcome was interval	there was not a	
criteria: active	from induction to	statistically significant	
labor, infection,	delivery. Secondary	difference between	
abruption, latex	outcomes of note:	using oxytocin alone or	
allergy, prior	cesarean delivery,	Foley with oxytocin in	
cesarean, fetal	vaginal delivery in 24h,	shortening the duration	
anomalies,	48h, infection,	of labor.	
category II or III	complications, and		
fetal heart rate	neonatal complications.		
tracings.	Planned sample size		
Johns Hopkins	was determined after a		
Evidence	power analysis was		
Appraisal	performed and		
Level of	minimum sample size		
Evidence:	needed to detect		
Level I	statistical significance		
Quality: Good	was 194.		

Further studies should be done to confirm these results; preferably with higher power.

Implications:

- This study did not show any significant difference in duration of labor between the two methods even though there was a slightly shorter duration in the Foley group.
- This study also did not show any statistically significant difference in rate of cesarean, even though the oxytocin alone group did have a slightly higher rate.
- A study with a larger sample size should be done to detect any statistically significant differences.

Macones, G. A., Cahill, A., Stamilio, D. M., & Odibo, A. O. (2012). *The efficacy of early amniotomy in nulliparous labor induction: A randomized controlled trial* doi://doi-org.ezproxy.bethel.edu/10.1016/j.ajog.2012.08.032

Purnoso/Sample	Design	Results	Strongths/Limitations
i ui posc/sampie	(Mathad/Instruments)	Kesuits	Strengths/Elimitations
Purnose	Study Design	Results	Strengths.
To assess whether	Randomized controlled	• Farly	Randomization
early amniotomy	trial	amniotomy shortens	strategy effectively
reduces the		the time to delivery by	balanced the study
duration of labor	Instruments	at least 2 hours	groups with respect to
or increases the	A permuted block	at least 2 nours.	groups with respect to
or increases the	randomization	• Early	potentially
subjects who are	reacdure was used to	amniotomy increases	contounding effects
delivered within	formulate aggignment	the proportion of	
24 hours in	lists to assume close to	induced nulliparous	balanced them on
24 nours in	lists to assure close to	women who deliver	unmeasured
nulliparous	equal numbers of	within 24 hours.	confounders.
patients with	subjects in each group;	• 2 most common	• The study is
undergo labor	a uniform block size of	indications for	relatively large in size.
induction.	4 was used.	induction were >40	• Diverse group
Sample/Setting:	Information was	weeks and gestational	of patients with
Study performed	gathered during the	hypertension.	various indications and
at Washington	induction/delivery with	• The improved	methods; leading to
University in St	additional information	labor outcomes did not	generalization of
Louis and the	gathered from chart	come at the expense of	results.
University of	review.	increased	• Broad
Pennsylvania. A	Methods:	complications.	inclusion criteria and
total of 585	Eligible subjects were	Most women	leaving decision
patients were	approached by trained	received misoprostol	making up to
randomized into	research nurses and	for induction 30%	physician may lead to
two groups; 292 to	were offered	received Foley bulb.	results translating
early amniotomy	enrollment into the	most women received	better into clinical
and 293 to	trial. After informed	multiple methods	practice
standard	consent was received,	No difference	Limitations:
management.	subjects were	in cesarean rate	• The study was
Inclusion criteria:	randomized into one of	between the 2 groups	unblinded which could
nulliparity,	two groups. Early	(rates of $11%$ and	have notential for
singleton, term	amniotomy was	(1ates 01 41 / 0 att d)	unequal distribution of
gestation, need for	performed prior to	4070	cointerventions
labor induction.	4cm. Standard	• Increased fates	There is
Johns Hopkins	management was	of chorioamnionitis in	 Increase Increase Increase
Evidence	amniotomy done after	the early amniotomy	potential for blas.
Appraisal	4 cm. The decision for	group.	• Different
Level of	amniotomy in the	Cord prolapse	induction methods
Evidence: Level I	standard treatment	occurred 2 times in	were used and not

	•		
Quality: Good	group was left to the treating physicians.	early group and none in standard.	addressed by the study: there was not a
	The primary method of	Conclusion:	standard induction
	induction was also at	Early amniotomy is a	method or induction
	the discretion of the	safe and efficacious	protocol followed- this
	physicians. Statistical	adjunct in nulliparous	was left completely up
	analyses were	labor inductions.	to the physicians
	performed. Primary		
	outcome was time from		
	induction to delivery		
	and number of women		
	delivered within 24 h.		
	Secondary outcomes		
	included cesarean		
	delivery rates,		
	indications for		
	cesarean, maternal		
	complications, and		
	neonatal complications.		

Early amniotomy does shorter the duration of labor in nulliparous inductions and should be considered for use in adjunct with inductions.

Implications:

• Early amniotomy should be considered for use to shorter labor in inductions, but more research on how that plays a role with different induction methods/protocols should be done to determine other confounding factors.

So	ur	ce:
$\sim \circ$		

Makarem, M. H., Zahran, K. M., Abdellah, M. S., & Karen, M. A. (2013). Early amniotomy after vaginal misoprostol for induction of labor: A randomized clinical trial. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, *288*; *2*(2), 261-265. doi:10.1007/s00404-013-2747-6

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To test the	Randomized controlled	• Groups were	Randomized
effectiveness and	trial	similar in baseline	study
safety of early	Instruments:	characteristics including	Adequate
amniotomy after	Randomly assigned to	indication for induction.	sample size.
vaginal	a group by a computer-	• More subjects in	Diversity of
misoprostol for the	generated	amniotomy group	patient population
induction of labor	randomization table	achieved vaginal	increases ability to
at term.	and allocation kept in	delivery within 24h,	generalize results.
Sample/Setting:	consecutively	than in control group.	Limitations:
Women's Health	numbered sealed	• Shorter duration	• Study was not
Center, Assiut	opaque envelopes; data	of labor in amniotomy	blinded to either
University, from	collected during	group by about 4 h.	participants or
September 2008 to	induction process and	This was statistically	providers.
December 2010. A	obtained from chart	significant.	• Performing
total of 320	review.	• No difference in	amniotomy in control
patients; 160 to	Methods:	neonatal outcomes or	group was left up to
each group.	After random	maternal complications.	the discretion of the
Patients with	assignment to	• Early	provider.
medical or	intervention or control	amniotomy group had	r
obstetric	group. Patients	fewer cesarean	
indication for	received Misoprostol	deliveries than control	
labor induction	50 micrograms	group but that	
were approached	vaginally every 6 h	difference was not	
for inclusion.	until labor achieved or	statistically significant.	
Inclusion criteria:	maximum of 200	Conclusion:	
at least 36 weeks,	micrograms. Early	In well-selected cases,	
singleton living	amniotomy was done	early intervention with	
fetus, cephalic	for group A when	amniotomy after	
presentation, AFI	cervix was at 3 cm,	vaginal misoprostol for	
more than 5cm,	provided head well	labor induction has a	
reactive non-stress	applied to cervix.	higher rate of vaginal	
test. Exclusion	Group B did not have	delivery within 24 h and	
criteria:	amniotomy done and	a shorter induction	
macrosomia, fetal	either had SROM or	interval.	
anomalies, growth	AROM as judged by		
restriction, uterine	senior resident.		
scars, PPROM,	Primary outcome was		
head not applied	successful induction by		

on cervix at time	vaginal delivery within	
of amniotomy.	24 h. Secondary	
Johns Hopkins	outcomes were	
Evidence	induction to delivery	
Appraisal	interval, amniotomy	
Level of	delivery interval,	
Evidence:	duration of labor,	
Level I	number of misoprostol	
Quality: Good	doses, need for	
	oxytocin, and neonatal	
	outcomes.	

Early amniotomy should be considered for patients undergoing misoprostol induction when feasible to help with increased rate of vaginal delivery.

Implications:

• This study shows that early amniotomy may be associated with a shorter duration of labor without increasing the rate of cesarean.

Schoen, C. N., Grant, G., Berghella, V., Hoffman, M. K., & Sciscione, A. (2017). Intracervical Foley catheter with and without oxytocin for labor induction: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *129*; *6*(6), 1046-1053. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000002032

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To evaluate	Randomized controlled	• Baseline	• The study was
whether adding	trial	characteristics were	powered for parity;
oxytocin to	Instruments:	similar among the	which allowed it to
preinduction	Information was	groups.	detect differences in
cervical ripening	recorded during labor	• A total of 90	the primary outcome.
with a Foley	induction and obtained	nulliparous and 71	• Population is
catheter increases	from chart reviews.	multiparous were	generalizable given it
the rate of delivery	Research Electronic	assigned to Foley with	was multicentered and
within 24 h.	Data Capture used to	concurrent oxytocin.	diverse.
	support data collection.	• A total of 94	Management
Sample/Setting:	Randomization	nulliparous and 67	after Foley expulsion
From January	completed by computer	multiparous were	was left to the
2015 to July 2016,	software; done	assigned to Foley	discretion of the
at Thomas	separately for	followed by oxytocin.	provider; so, it holds
Jefferson	nulliparous and	• In nulliparous.	more similarities to
University	multiparous	the rate of delivery	clinical practice.
Hospital in	Methods:	within 24 h with Foley	Limitations:
Philadelphia and	Women scheduled for	and oxytocin was 64%	Study was not
Christiana Care	labor induction	compared to 43% in	blinded.
Hospital in	meeting the criteria	women with Foley	• Different
Newark,	were approached and	followed by oxytocin.	catheters were in use,
Delaware, 323	offered participation in	• In multiparous,	but all were inflated to
patients were	the study. Written	rate of delivery in 24 h	60 mL.
enrolled in the	consent was obtained.	was higher in	Primary
study; 184	Patients were randomly	concurrent group (87%	outcome and safety
nulliparous and	assigned to either	vs 72%)	were not powered for
139 multiparous.	concurrent use of	• Nulliparous,	all the subgroups.
Johns Hopkins	oxytocin with Foley	Foley and oxytocin had	• Differences in
Evidence	catheter or sequential	a Cesarean rate of 42%.	secondary outcomes
Appraisal	use of oxytocin.	Foley then oxytocin had	(such as mode of
Level of	Depending on the site	a rate of 32%	delivery) might lack
Evidence:	and provider, either a	Multiparous	statistical
	16 F 30 mL balloon	Foley and oxytocin had	power/significance.
Quality: Good	(inflated to 60 mL), 75	a 13% cesarean	
	mL Foley balloon	compared to Foley	
	(inflated to 60mL), or a	followed by oxytocin	
	Cook double-balloon	which was 16%.	
	catheter was placed.		

For Cools anthatar only	Conclusion	
the internal of halloon	Lonciusion:	
was inflated to 60 mJ	induction with	
(hoth wars not	infusion added to Folow	
(both were not	significantly in analog d	
inflated). Oxytocin was	significantly increased	
administered either at	the rate of delivery	
the time of placement	within 24 nours in both	
of balloon or after	nulliparous and	
removal (either	multiparous when	
spontaneous or after	compared with Foley	
12h). Oxytocin started	followed by oxytocin.	
at 2mU/min and		
increased by 2mU/min		
every 30 minutes with		
a maximum of		
40mU/min as tolerated		
by mother and fetus. If		
active labor started		
during Foley placement		
oxytocin was not used.		
Primary outcome was		
vaginal delivery in 24h		
or less. Secondary		
outcomes were time to		
Foley expulsion,		
change in Bishop		
score, the need for		
additional ripening,		
analgesia, time in		
second stage, delivery		
within 12 h, total time		
to delivery, duration of		
oxytocin use, mode of		
delivery, and maternal		
and neonatal		
complications.		
1 /1		

Combination methods such as Foley catheter with concurrent oxytocin use should be considered to help shorter the duration of labor.

Further research should look at combination methods with larger sample sizes to confirm these results.

Implications:

• Combining Foley catheter use with oxytocin does show shorter duration of labor.

• Concurrent use of Foley and oxytocin in nulliparous does have a higher rate of cesarean but this study lacked power to show if this is a statistically significant difference.

Seyb, S. T., Berka, R. J., Socol, M. L., & Dooley, S. L. (1999). *Risk of cesarean delivery with elective induction of labor at term in nulliparous women* doi://doi-org.ezproxy.bethel.edu/10.1016/S0029-7844(99)00377-4

org.ezprony.seemen		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design: Non-	• Results:	Strengths:
To quantify the	experimental Cohort	• Women	• This study had
risk of cesarean	observational study	undergoing elective	a large sample size
delivery		induction tended to be	(n=1561).
associated with	Instruments: Data	older, white, and to	• Those
elective induction	collected from Prentice	have a private	conducting the study
of labor in	Women's Hospital of	obstetrician. The mean	did do a power
nulliparous	Northwestern	BMI was higher in the	calculation to estimate
women at term.	Memorial Hospital	medical induction	a minimum number for
	during an 8-month	group than in the other	the sample size for
Sample/Setting:	period.	two groups; which	each group.
All term		was found to be	• This study
nulliparous		statistically	considered multiple
women admitted	Methods: All term (at	significant.	factors that might
to the labor and	least 37 weeks)	• Women in the	impact mode of
delivery unit at	nulliparous women	elective induction	delivery
Prentice	during the 8-month	group tended to have	• This study
Women's	period with vertex,	an epidural analgesia	clearly explained the
Hospital of	singleton gestation	more frequently than	inclusion and
Northwestern	were divided into three	the other 2 groups	exclusion criteria for
Memorial	groups: Spontaneous	Cervical	the study
Hospital from	labor, elective	ripening was used for	• The study was
November 1996	induction, and medical	55 women in the	able to show
to June 1997	induction. The risk of	medical induction	statistically
were considered.	cesarean delivery was	group and for 21	significance for the
Inclusion criteria	determined using	women in the elective	findings
was women	stepwise logistic	induction group	Limitations.
laboring with a	regression to control	Cesarean	The date was
singleton fetus in	for potential	delivery rate for	• The data was
vertex	confounding factors.	spontaneous labor	hospital: so although
presentation at 37	Indications for medical	group was 7 8%	mony different
weeks or later.	induction: gestational	group was 7.870.	nany different
Women	age over 41 weeks,	• Medical	(doliver) at this
undergoing	premature rupture of	multion cesarean rate	facility the results
cesarean delivery	membranes, fetal	was 1/.//0.	navinity, int results
without labor	growth restriction,	• Elective	another facility
were excluded.	preeclampsia, chronic	mouction cesarean rate	
1561 women met	hypertension.	was 17.5%.	• I his study aid
the inclusion	nonreassuring fetal	• Factors	not look at the

criteria for the	surveillance	associated with higher	different interventions
study.	(nonreactive nonstress	cesarean delivery risk:	used for induction.
Spontaneous	test or amniotic fluid	elective or medical	
labor (n=1124)	index <5),	induction, maternal	
Elective	macrosomia, diabetes	BMI greater than 26,	
induction (n=143)	mellitus, and other	gestational age of 40	
Medical	(cholestasis of	weeks or greater, birth	
induction (n=294)	pregnancy, maternal	weight greater than	
	thrombocytopenia,	4000g, PROM,	
Johns Hopkins	recurrent	epidural use,	
Evidence	nephrolithiasis).	magnesium sulfate use	
Appraisal	Indications for elective	in labor, and	
	induction group:	chorioamnionitis.	
Level of	elective (term,	Most common	
Evidence: Level	favorable cervix or	indication for cesarean	
III	"impending"	delivery was labor	
	postdates), "suspect"	dvstocia.	
Ouality: Good	preeclampsia,	 Induction of 	
Ouality	"suspect" fetal growth	labor required	
	restriction. "suspect"	significantly more	
	macrosomia. decreased	time on labor and	
	amniotic fluid (but >	delivery than	
	5), and other (history	spontaneous labor and	
	of multiple pregnancy	was associated with a	
	losses idiopathic	longer postpartum	
	polyhydramnios	stav. No increase in	
	remote history of	nostnartum	
	genital hernes	complications	
	naranlegia	Neonatal	
	gastroenteritis family	• Incollatal	
	history of	statistically	
	preeclampsia	significantly different	
	successful external	significantly unificient	
	cephalic version		
	history of	groups.	
	cholelithiasis	• Cost analysis	
	infertility with donor	was lowest lol	
	oocvte)	spontaneous labor.	
		increased for elective	
	Criteria for	induction by $1/.4\%$	
	snontaneous labor were	and by 29.1% for the	
	regular nainful uterine	medical induction	
	contractions together	group.	
	with either complete	• Risk of	
	cervical effectment or	cesarean was twice as	
		high if there was	

	rupture of membranes.	epidural placement	
		before 4 cm dilation	
		them if an idential was	
		than II epidural was	
		placed later.	
		-	
		• Conclusion:	
		Both alastiva and	
		medically indicated	
		labor inductions are	
		significant risk factor	
		for cesarean delivery.	
		• There is an	
		increased asst hurden	
		mereased cost builden	
		tor both elective and	
		medically indicated	
		labor inductions	
		autor maachons.	
Author Decommon	dations		

• That it is prudent to consider other pain management techniques prior to 4 cm dilatation; holding off on epidural placement until after that 4 cm.

• To not perform inductions for liberal indications; rather to perform them for appropriate medical indications.

Implications:

• That induction increases the risk of cesarean delivery, more than twice as likely to occur for an induction than when compared with spontaneous labor.

- That there is an increased cost occurred with inductions than with spontaneous labor.
- Hospital stays are longer with inductions than with spontaneous labor.

Tam, T., Conte, M., Schuler, H., Malang, S., & Roque, M. (2013). Delivery outcomes in women undergoing elective labor induction at term. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 287(3), 407-411. doi:10.1007/s00404-012-2582-1

Purpose: To determine elective induction of labor outcomes in term, low-riskStudy Design: Retrospective cohort observational studyResults: • Ages ranged from 16-43 years old. Mean age 28.2Strengths: • Large sample size.More a ge 28.2 (delivered in a community teaching hospital.Instruments: percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, and effect tests with 2006 to January 2010 for electiveInstruments manuary analyzed using JMP• Mesults: • Ages ranged from 16-43 years old. • Mean age 28.2 • Mean gestational age was 39.9 weeks.• Study did look at induction agents that were used together.Image: statistics and reported using multiple from January 2010 for electiveImage: statistically significant shorter length of induction for analyzed using JMP• There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for anticipateImage: statistical delivered in a community teaching hospital.• There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for anticipate• The study was done at only one facility which may	Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
Purpose: To determine elective induction of labor outcomes in term, low-risk delivered in a community teaching hospital.Study Design: Retrospective cohort observational studyResults: • Ages ranged from 16-43 years old. Mean age 28.2 • Mean gestational age was 39.9 weeks.Strengths: • Large sample size. • Study did look at induction agents that were used together.Sample/Setting: Women admitted from January 2010 for electiveInstruments: Manual chart review. Descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, and effect tests with respective values. DataNesults: • Ages ranged from 16-43 years old. Mean gestational age was 39.9 weeks. • The majority of patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent.Strengths: • Large sample size. • Study did look at induction agents that were used together.Sample/Setting: 2010 for electiveanalyzis of variance, analyzed using JMP• There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients act age• The study was done at only one facility which may	1 1	(Method/Instruments)		8
To determine elective induction of labor outcomes in term, low-risk women who delivered in a community teaching hospital.Retrospective cohort observational study• Ages ranged from 16-43 years old. Mean age 28.2• Large sample size. Instruments : Manual chart review. delivered in a community teaching hospital.Instruments: Descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with 2006 to January 2010 for elective• Ages ranged from 16-43 years old. Mean age 28.2• Study did look at induction agents that were used together.Sample/Setting: Women admitted from January 2010 for electiveInstruments: malyzed using JMP• Mean gestational age was 39.9 weeks. • The majority of patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent. • There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients not or worke analyzed using JMP• Mages ranged from 16-43 years old. Mean age 28.2 • Mean gestational age was 39.9 weeks. • The majority of patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent. • There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients not or facility which may maly a the results not or the results not or the results not or the results not or	Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
 elective induction of labor outcomes in term, low-risk women who delivered in a community teaching hospital. Sample/Setting: Women admitted from January 2010 for elective Sample/Setting: analyzed using JMP frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analyzed using JMP from 16-43 years old. Mean age 28.2 Mean age 28.2 Mean gestational age was 39.9 weeks. The majority of patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent. There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients for mation for patients for mation for mation. There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for mation for mation. 	To determine	Retrospective cohort	• Ages ranged	• Large sample
 of labor outcomes in term, low-risk women who delivered in a community teaching hospital. Sample/Setting: Women admitted from January 2010 for elective Instruments: Manual chart review. Descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple analysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMP Mean age 28.2 Mean age 28.2 	elective induction	observational study	from 16-43 years old.	size.
 in term, low-risk women who delivered in a community teaching hospital. Sample/Setting: Women admitted from January 2010 for elective in term, low-risk women who delivered in a community teaching hospital. Instruments: Manual chart review. Descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMP Mean gestational age was 39.9 weeks. The majority of patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agents that were used together. Limitations: Study included both nulliparous and multiparous women. There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients analyzed using JMP 	of labor outcomes		Mean age 28.2	Study did look
 women who delivered in a community teaching hospital. Sample/Setting: Women admitted from January 2010 for elective Manual chart review. Descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with 2006 to January Manual chart review. Descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMP Manual chart review. Descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data Manual chart review. Descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data Manual chart review. Descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analyzed using JMP Manual chart review. Bestational age was gestational age was 39.9 weeks. The majority of patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent. There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients. 	in term, low-risk	Instruments:	• Mean	at induction agents that
 delivered in a community teaching hospital. Sample/Setting: Women admitted from January 2006 to January 2010 for elective analyzed using JMP 39.9 weeks. The majority of patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent. There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients for patients and effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMP 	women who	Manual chart review.	gestational age was	were used together.
community teaching hospital.frequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with 2006 to January 2010 for elective• The majority of patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent.Limitations: • Study included both nulliparous and multiparous women.Sample/Setting: Women admitted from January 2010 for electivefrequencies and percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMP• The majority of patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent.• Study included both nulliparous and multiparous women.• There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients• The study was done at only one facility which may make the results net as	delivered in a	Descriptive statistics,	39.9 weeks.	
 teaching hospital. percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, from January 2006 to January 2010 for elective percentages were reported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMP patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent. patients had oxytocin as the primary induction agent. There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients 	community	frequencies and	• The majority of	Limitations:
Sample/Setting: Women admitted from January 2006 to January 2010 for electivereported using multiple regression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMPthe primary induction agent.both nulliparous and multiparous women.• There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients• There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients• There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients	teaching hospital.	percentages were	patients had oxytocin as	Study included
Sample/Setting: Women admitted from Januaryregression analysis, analysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMPagent.multiparous women.2010 for electiveanalyzed using JMPstatistically significant induction for patientsmultiparous women.		reported using multiple	the primary induction	both nulliparous and
Women admitted from Januaryanalysis of variance, and effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMP• There is a statistically significant shorter length of induction for patients• The study was done at only one facility which may make the results not as	Sample/Setting:	regression analysis,	agent.	multiparous women.
from January 2006 to January 2010 for electiveand effect tests with respective values. Data analyzed using JMPstatistically significant shorter length of induction for patientsdone at only one facility which may make the results not as	Women admitted	analysis of variance,	• There is a	• The study was
2006 to January 2010 for elective analyzed using JMP induction for notion to make the results not as	from January	and effect tests with	statistically significant	done at only one
2010 for elective analyzed using JMP induction for notionts make the results not as	2006 to January	respective values. Data	shorter length of	facility which may
Induction for patients indice the results not as	2010 for elective	analyzed using JMP	induction for patients	make the results not as
induction of labor software by SAS induced with AROM applicable to other	induction of labor	software by SAS	induced with AROM	applicable to other
at a community Institute Inc. and oxytocin. facilities.	at a community	Institute Inc.	and oxytocin.	facilities.
teaching hospital • Having a parity	teaching hospital		• Having a parity	
(resurrection Methods: of >1 held statistical	(resurrection	Methods:	of >1 held statistical	
Healthcare/Saint Data abstracted from significance for a	Healthcare/Saint	Data abstracted from	significance for a	
Joseph hospital). manual chart review. vaginal delivery.	Joseph hospital).	manual chart review.	vaginal delivery.	
Low risk patients Data was de-identified • The majority of	Low risk patients	Data was de-identified	• The majority of	
between 39 and in accordance with patients who had	between 39 and	in accordance with	patients who had	
41 weeks with HIPPA regulations. cesarean delivery were	41 weeks with	HIPPA regulations.	cesarean delivery were	
singleton The office of the nulliparous.	singleton	The office of the	nulliparous.	
pregnancies in Institutional Review	pregnancies in	Institutional Review	1 I	
vertex Board at Resurrection Conclusion:	vertex	Board at Resurrection	Conclusion:	
• The use of	presentation.	Health Care/Saint	• The use of	
1,159 women Joseph Hospital oxytocin on women	1,159 women	Joseph Hospital	oxytocin on women	
identified and of approved the study. with unfavorable	identified and of	approved the study.	with unfavorable	
those 848 were Data included patient cervical exams resulted	those 848 were	Data included patient	cervical exams resulted	
included in the demographics, in a higher rate of	included in the	demographics,	in a higher rate of	
sample size for admission cervical cesarean.	sample size for	admission cervical	cesarean.	
examination and induction method • Oxytocin was	the study.	examination and	Oxytocin was	
Lakes Harling Outcome massures the only induction	Iahua II.c l.····	Outcome massures	the only induction	
Exidence were delivery method method that showed to	JUNNS HOPKINS Evidence	vore delivery method	method that showed to	
Appreciate were derivery method have significantly less		and accorrect	have significantly less	
Level of indications time to delivery.	Appraisai Level of	indications	time to delivery.	

Fvidence • Level	Time categories were	Cervical	
	length of induction	• Cervical	
111	which was determined	the longest time to	
Quality: Good	from initiation of	delivery	
Quality. 0000	induction method until	denvery.	
Quality		• A favorable	
	Delivery.	initial cervical exam for	
	Exclusion criteria:	elective induction	
	prepregnancy medical	results in a higher rate	
	conditions (include but	of vaginal delivery.	
	not limited to pre-		
	gestational diabetes,		
	chronic hypertension,		
	cardiac disorders, and		
	neurological disorders),		
	gestational diabetes,		
	gestational		
	hypertension,		
	preeclampsia,		
	polyhydramnios,		
	oligohydramnios, prior		
	hysterotomy, and		
	multiple gestations.		
	Fetal exclusion criteria:		
	intrauterine growth		
	restriction, intrauterine		
	fetal demise, known		
	fetal anomaly,		
	nonreassuring fetal		
	heart tracing, fetal		
	malpresentation on		
	admission, and		
	gestational age > 41		
	weeks.		
	Induction methods		
	include oxytocin,		
	prostaglandin cervical		
	ripening agents		
	(dinoprostone or		
	misoprostol),		
	mechanical dilator with		
	cervical ripening		
	balloon or amniotomy.		
	For the purpose of the		
	study only the initial		
	induction method was		

analyzed even though multiple methods in combination were often used.	

• Initial cervical exam is an important factor in deciding which of the several induction methods to choose for induction of labor. Women with unfavorable exams should have steps that make the cervix more favorable before oxytocin is started.

• Other hospitals can use the same methodology as this study to determine criteria for elective inductions.

Implications:

• Inductions are more successful if the cervix is favorable; bishop score should be taken into consideration with which induction method is being used.

- Oxytocin use with unfavorable cervix resulted in a higher rate of cesarean section.
- Should perform interventions to make the cervix favorable prior to starting oxytocin.
- Oxytocin resulted in shorter time to delivery than cervical ripening catheter or prostaglandin agents for cervical ripening.

Wollmann, C. L., Ahlberg, M., Petersson, G., Saltvedt, S., & Stephansson, O. (2017). Time-todelivery and delivery outcomes comparing three methods of labor induction in 7551 nulliparous women: a population-based cohort study. *Journal of Perinatology*, *37*(11), 1197-1203. doi:10.1038/ip.2017.122.

doi.10.1050/jp.201/	.122		
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		
Purpose:	Study Design:	• In vaginal	Strengths:
Determine time to	Non-experimental,	deliveries and cesarean	the population-based
delivery and mode	retrospective chart	deliveries due to labor	design with access to
of delivery in	review. Descriptive	dystocia and induction,	prospectively collected
labor induction	design.	mean time-to-delivery	data in standardized
among women		was 2.1 h and 9.8 h	electronic medical
with unripe	Instruments: Data was	shorter in women	records and templates
cervix.	collected from the	induced with	including maternal
	population-based	misoprostol and balloon	characteristics,
Sample/Setting:	Stockholm-Gotland	catheter respectively,	pregnancy and
7551 nulliparous	Obstetric Cohort. This	compared with women	delivery information,
women with	database contains daily	induced with	such as Bishop Score
singleton	automatically	dinoprostone.	at induction start, data
deliveries at 37	forwarded data from		on labor progress,
weeks or greater	electronic medical	• 95% of women	interventions during
gestation with	records systems.	induced with the	delivery and neonatal
induced labor		balloon catheter	outcome.
from January	Method: Participant	delivered within 24 h,	Because data on
2008 to October	information was	whereas only 55% and	exposure was recorded
2014 at seven	obtained from the	54% where delivered	before outcome there
hospitals. Only	database. all	within 24 h for the	was no possibility for
live-birth in	nulliparous women	misoprostol and	recall bias.
cephalic	with a singleton, live-	dinoprostone group	The large sample size
presentation and	born infant in cephalic	respectively.	of more than 7500
Bishop scores less	presentation at 37		induced primiparous
than or equal to 6.	completed gestational	Conclusion: the	women enabled them
	weeks or later with	balloon catheter	to study time-to-
Johns Hopkins	induced labor from 21	compared with	delivery and adverse
Evidence	January 2008 and until	prostaglandins had a	maternal and neonatal
Appraisal	22 October 2014 were	significantly shorter	outcomes with high
	included. Women	length of labor, with no	statistical power.
Level of	registered with more	difference in mode-of-	
Evidence: Level	than one first induction	delivery or adverse	Limitations:
111	method or Bishop	maternal and neonatal	collected data was not
	Score / or more were	outcomes	specially designed to
Quality: Good	excluded.18		answer the study
Quality	Incomplete Bishop		questions and there is
	Score (five components		always a risk of

ordinarily evaluated)	residual confounding.
were considered as	
missing. The primary	Further, some women
outcome was time-to-	with induced labor
delivery from the start	might not have been
of the induction.	recorded as inductions
Women with c-section	and therefore not
due to fetal distress	included in the study
were excluded.	population.
	Oxytocin
	augmentation was
	more often used in the
	balloon catheter group;
	however, they
	considered oxytocin as
	a mediator rather than
	a confounder and
	consequently did not
	adjust for it in the
	multivariable analysis.
	Since induction
	methods were not
	blinded in the study,
	this may have
	influenced other
	aspects of the labor
	management, for
	example, it is possible
	that the increased use
	of oxytocin may be an
	explanation for the
	shorter duration-of
	delivery in the balloon
	catheter group. A
	separate study would
	have to investigate the
	different usage of
	oxytocin in balloon
	catheter versus
	prostaglandin
	inductions. Higher
	usage of epidural
	anesthesia is rather a
	signal of pain than
	different

F				
	administration by induction method. On the contrary epidural has been associated with longer deliveries and would therefore have a negative influence on time-to- delivery. Due to limitations in the data they had no possibility to report on the magnitude of additional interventions during labor such as number of misoprostol doses, cumulative dose of			
	interventions during labor such as number of misoprostol doses, cumulative dose of oxytocin, occurrence of tachysystole with fetal heart rate tracing			
	abnormalities, and need for tocolysis.			
Author Recommendations:				
Performing randomized controlled trials with the different interventions and having more				

control in future studies.

Implications:

Trans-cervical balloon catheter inductions did show a decrease in length of labor when compared with medication methods for cervical ripening.

This study answers part of the PICO question on how trans-cervical balloon catheter inductions and medications impact labor duration.

Wu, X., Wang, C., Li, Y., Ouyang, C., Liao, J., Cai, W., . . . Chen, H. (2018). Cervical dilation balloon combined with intravenous drip of oxytocin for induction of term labor: A multicenter clinical trial. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 297(1), 77-83. doi:10.1007/s00404-017-4564-9

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
i ui pose, sumpre	(Method/Instruments)	1054105	
Purpose:	Study Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To investigate the	Randomized controlled	• No major	• Patients
effectiveness and	trial.	difference in baseline	randomly assigned
safety of a method	Instruments:	characteristics of the	• Results were
combining double-	Data collected during	two groups.	statistically significant.
balloon catheter	induction process and	• The group that	Limitations:
for cervical	additional data	used double-balloon	• Small sample
ripening and	retrieved from	catheter and oxytocin	size; may make
intravenous drip of	reviewing patients'	had statistically	generalization of
oxytocin on the	chart.	significant higher rates	results difficult.
induction of term	Methods:	of successful induction,	
labor, providing	Patients randomly	vaginal delivery rate,	
reference for	divided into research	and decreased duration	
clinical safety.	group (double-balloon	of labor.	
Sample/Setting:	with oxytocin) or	• No significant	
Total of 120 term	control group	differences in maternal	
pregnant women	(oxytocin). Informed	or neonatal	
hospitalized	consent obtained.	complications.	
between January	Study approval from	• The oxytocin	
2015 and June	IRB. Oxytocin was	only group had a	
2015 at Longgang	increased every 15	36.67% rate of cesarean	
District Center	minutes until regular	compared to a 6.67%	
Hospital of	contraction pattern was	rate in the double-	
Shenzhen, China.	achieved. Double-	balloon and oxytocin	
Each group had 60	balloon catheter was	group.	
patients. Inclusion	placed, and each		
criteria: 18-40	balloon filled with 80	Conclusion:	
years, 37-41	mL saline. Remained	Compared to labor	
weeks gestation,	in place for 12h unless	induction of oxytocin,	
Bishop score <6,	spontaneous expulsion	the method of	
single live fetus	occurred. After	combining double-	
with cephalic	removal AROM was	balloon catheter for	
presentation,	performed, if not in	cervical ripening and	
without premature	labor after 1 h,	oxytocin for induction	
rupture of	oxytocin was started	of labor has a higher	
membranes,	with the same dosing	vaginal delivery rate,	
reactive NST.	as the control group. If	shorter total duration of	
Exclusion criteria:	patients were not in	labor and does not	

severe maternal complications, contraindications for vaginal delivery, or fetal anomalies. Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal Level of Evidence: Level I Quality: Good	active labor after 48h; induction was considered a failure.	increase the incidence of postpartum hemorrhage and neonatal infection. It is a safe and effective method for induction of term labor.	
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Double-balloon catheter use with oxytocin decreases the duration of labor and the rate of cesarean when compared with oxytocin use alone for induction.

Implications:

- Double balloon catheter use with oxytocin is safe and effect for labor induction.
- It results in shorter duration of labor and lower rate of cesarean.